

SR

NON-WOODY LAND PLANTS

AS A RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE

BIOMASS AS A NONFOSSIL FUEL SOURCE

gan EN

?

& % CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

5 é

---Page Break---

NON-HOODY LAND PLANTS AS A RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE

Presented To The ACS Symposium

BIOMASS AS A NONFOSSIL FUEL SOURCE

Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979

Contributed By.

?The UPR Center for Energy and Environment Research

?Bionass Energy Program

Rio Piedras, P. R.

---Page Break---

PRODUCTION OF NON-NOODY LAND PLANTS AS A RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE

?Table Of Contents

Topic

?INTRODUCTION 1

1. Non-Woody Land Plants in Perspective 1

2. Prior Studies on Herbaceous Plants as Energy Sources 3

BOTANICAL AND AGRONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS 4

1. Botanical Considerations 5

(a) Photosynthesis 3

(b) Photosynthesis in an Energy Crop Perspective 7

(c) Reduction State of the Primary Photosynthate 9

(@) Water Utilization Efficiency 10

2. Agronomic Considerations nu

(a) Growth Characteristics a

(b) Tissue Expansion vs Maturation a

(e) Harvest Frequency 4

(@) Energy Crop Rotations as

HARVEST AND TRANSPORTATION 6

2. Mowing vs Conditioning as Harvest Options cu

2. Solar Drying Ft)

3. Compaction and Baling 20

4. Transport and Storage a

PRODUCTION costs 2

1. Obtaining Correct Cost Data 22

2. Production Costs for Tropical Grasses 22

3. Management as a Production Cost Factor 23

summary 25

REFERENCES. 27-34

TABLES 1-4 35-38

FIGURES 1&2 39-40

---Page Break---

PRODUCTION OF NON-WOODY LAND PLANTS AS A RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE

Alex 6, Alexander

University of Puerto Rico

Center for Energy and Environment Re:

Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico

[INTRODUCTION

Non-Woody Land Plants in Perspective:

Literally thousands of terrestrial plant species can be regarded as

potential energy sources. A majority of these are herbaceous seed plants

which complete their growth and reproductive processes within a single growing season of a few months duration, They are widely distributed from arctic regions to the tropics (1,2,3). They are equally diverse with respect

to their growth and anatomical characteristics, their cultural requirement:

and their physiological and biochemical processes:

1s (2-9). Yet all have the capacity to convert sunlight to chemical energy and to store this energy in the form of biomass. An oven-dry ton of herbaceous biomass represents about 15×10^6 Btu's of stored energy. The direct firing of one such ton, in a stoker furnace with high-pressure boiler having a 70% conversion efficiency, would displace about two barrels of fuel oil.

In addition to their fibrous tissues, some species also produce sugar

and starch in sufficient quantities to warrant extraction and conversion to

A/ Present address: UPR Agricultural Experiment Station, P.O. Box "

Rio Piedras, P. R. 00928,

---Page Break---

-2-

ethanol. The latter can displace petroleum in the production of motor fuel or chemical feedstocks (10-19). Other species store additional energy in the form of natural hydrocarbons (20,21,22).

Waiter is not correct to say that herbaceous land plants have been over

looked as a domestic energy resource, only a small number have been examined

closely for this purpose. Among the latter are tropical grass species of Ze.

Sorghum, Saccharum, and Pennisetum which were recognized for their high yields

of fiber and fermentable solids long before the oil embargo of 1973. Through-

out their history as cultivated crops, plants such as corn, sweet sorghum, sugarcane, and napier grass have evolved extensive technologies for their

cultivation, harvest, post-harvest transport and storage and for their processing

ust be made in their

.24).

and

and marketing. Yet, even for these plants major chan;

managenent if they are to serve most effectively as energy crops (6,5,23,

Other tropical plants having very fine botanical or agronomic attributs

enjoying

ignored as energy resource

year-round climate suited to biomass production have been generally

Pineapple, cassava, and a range of underutilized

?@

tropical species are appropriate exanpl 13)

A majority of herbaceous land plants have never been cultivated for food

or fiber. In warm climates wild grasses such as Sorghum halepense (Johnson

er

where occasional use has

)» *Aeluropus donax* (Japanese cane), and *Bambusa* species are borderline cases

made of their high productivity of dry matter.

In cooler climates self-seeding plants such as reed canary grass, cattail,

wild oats, and orchard grass may be viewed with mixed feeling by landowners

unable to cultivate more, valuable food or forage crops. Plants such as rag-

weed, redroot pigweed, and lambsquarters are recognized for their persistent

Source habits while otherwise regarded as common pests. However, the value

---Page Break---

-3-

Of such species could rise dramatically as biomass assumes its future role

as a non-fo

il domestic energy resource,

2, Prior Studies on Herb

us Plant

as Energy Source

Aside from sugarcane and ?allied? tropical grasses (6,7,13,23,24,25),

relatively Little attention has been given to herbaceous land plants specif-

ically as sources of fuels and chemical feedstocks. Studies were initiated

recently at Battelle-Columbus Laboratories on common grasses and weeds as

Potential substitutes for fossil energy (26), Plants showing promise as

boiler fuels include perennial ryegrass, reed canarygr:

sudangrass, orchard-

of

grass, bromegrass, kentucky 31 fescue, lanbsquarters, and others. A ran

speci

have indicated some potential as sources of oil, fats, protein, dyes,

alkaloids, and rubber. Such plants include giant ragweed, alfalfa, jimsonweed,

ernabe, redroot pigweed, doghan, millweed, and pokeweed.

In 1978 the US Department of Energy issued an RFP for herbaceous plant screening as means to close the information gap in this area of biomass energy

development (27). The DOE objective has two parts

First, to identify

promising species for whole-plant biomass production in at least six different regions of the U.S., and second, to perform field evaluations on at least 20 species per region, with a view toward identifying those most suitable for cropping on terrestrial energy plantations. Arthur D. Little, Inc., was selected to conduct Phase I (2).

Six regions were designated on the basis of climatic characteristics, land availability, and land resource data provided by the U.S. Soil Conserva-

tion Service (2). A list of 280 potential species was prepared on the

of published literature and personal interviews, These were screened in

---Page Break---

-4-

accordance with botanical and economic characteristics, with emphasis on

previously uncultivated species. Certain

agricultural plants were also

considered.

Factors such as yield potential, cultural requirements, tolerances to

Physiological stress, production cost

+ and Land availability were considered

in ranking the candidate species of each region (2). Plants with yields less than 2.2 tons/acre (5 metric tons/hectare) were eliminated. For the potential energy crop species comparisons were done with six categories of economic

plants, including tall and short broadleaves, tall and short grasses, legumes,

and tubers. Some 70 species were recommended for consideration in the program's Second phase (field screening). Some of these plants (redroot pigweed, lambs-

quarters, Colorado river hemp, ragweed) have no prior history as cultivated

species and their cultural needs remain obscure. Other

species (Bermuda grass,

Kenaf, reed canary grass, sudan grass) have been improved and cultivated for decades (2).

?BOTANICAL AND AGRONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

?The initial steps taken by DOE to evaluate herbaceous land plants will help to clarify their value as a renewable energy source. However, an extensive research effort is needed to complete this task even as it applies to

existing plant forms already managed as agricultural crops. A continuing

effort will be needed over a period of several decades in the areas of new

species evaluation, genetic improvement, herbaceous plant cropping on marginal

lands, and crop tailoring to changing energy needs. The remainder of this

Paper offers some general guidelines and considerations for dealing with the

vast pool of existing herbaceous land plant

---Page Break---

1, Botanical Considerations:

(a) Photosynthesis: Photosynthesis is the process by which the radiant energy of sunlight is converted to chemical energy by plants. Its primary reaction can be stated simply as

Sunlight

$6CO_2 + 6H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{Sunlight}} C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$

Green Plants

The amount of energy retained in the photosynthate ($C_6H_{12}O_6$) is about 468 kJ/mole.

Although not an efficient process

conversion on earth that has operated at any appreciable magnitude and with any

» It is the only system of solar energy

appreciable economy for any appreciable period of time. An estimated 1350

Joules/a² arrives at the earth's upper atmosphere in the form of solar radiation

but only about half penetrates to the earth's surface (28). A theoretical 8

Percent of this radiation could be converted photosynthetically; however, a

maximum conversion, efficiency of only 4 percent has been attained and this under

conditions of low light intensity (29). Agricultural plants average perhaps 0.5

to 1.0 percent efficiency. Land plants on a world-wide basis probably average

less than 0.3 percent efficiency. Nonetheless, the earth's plants store annually

shot 10 enna mre cut tha a hind tl soe 0 vane tse thn so

cool snay te tnd G2),

Picoenia cnet of to past (a) Brey cpa, ying eben

sney ot vounng pean), tn sedacien or seeder? af samt

ony, Th earn ceduecin pte 8 sama ty then atvince pete

(ey tk. ach pcany A fun mg th ws herbenon te

Hames bt th uty ts tha tnt dey attracts et glee sch

assimilate carbon at night, are relatively less important even though their utiliza-

tion of water is generally more efficient than for C₃ species. The C₄ pathway

---Page Break---

was at first thought to reside only in sugarcane and related tropical grasses (Gi, 32,33). It was soon found in temperate plants such as Zea, Sorghum, and Amaranthus (34-38). The C₄ species constitute a kind of apex in photosynthetic

Proficiency, aided to some extent by attributes such as a low Γ_0 , compensation

point, a

and higher Light intensities than do C₃ and CAM species (549,39).

lack" of photorespiration, and a capability to utilize both lower

An important aspect of photosynthetic energy conversion often overlooked

in higher plants is their "spectral proficiency", that is, their ability to

convert different regions of the sun's spectral energy distribution. When

Photosynthesis by a given leaf is measured at different wavelengths of equal

quantum flux, say from 400 nm in the blue-violet to 720 nm in the far-red, a

Photosynthetic action spectrum is attained which tells us much about the leaf

ability to "vary

" the entire package of visible light energy received from

the sun. With sufficient replications an action spectrum characteristic of the

species is derived, a kind of spectral finger print complete with peaks and

depressions typifying that species. Typically, more than 60 percent of

incoming solar energy is received at wavelengths shorter than 550 nm, while

(apparently) most plants are photosynthetically active at wavelengths longer

than 600 nm.. There is some evidence that *Saccharum* and a few other species

have major photosynthesis activity in the blue-violet to blue-green region

(40,41). Photosynthetic action spectra have been determined for approximately 30 agricultural plants (40-45). The vast majority of herbaceous land plants have not been examined in this context.

---Page Break---

-7-

?A plant physiologist

() Photosynthesis in an Energy Crop Perspectiv

or biochenist measuring photosynthesis in the laboratory usually determines the quantity of CO₂ assimilated per unit of leaf area in an hour or some other convenient time interval (mg CO₂/m²/h). It does not necessarily follow

conditions will translate

that superior assimilation rates noted under th

to high photosynthate yields in the field. A more convenient measure of photosynthetic potential in biomass-candidate species is the quantity of dry matter produced per square meter of leaf surface per day (g/DM/m²/day). A majority

of herbaceous plants would produce in the order of 2-8 grams of oven-dry

?srowth or tissue

zpmision phase. A yield of 15 g/n"/day would be quite good and would typify

material per square meter per day, during the peak of th

sone C, pathway species. Potential maximum yield estimates have been placed at 34 to 39 g/a"/day for Cy plants and 50-54 g/n*/day for Cy plants (46).

To an energy planter the most meaningful measure of solar energy conversion to biomass is the number of kilograms of dry matter produced per hectare per

year (or tons per acre per year). While photosynthetic processes per

Fennin an important factor, equally important are all other processes and constraints of plant growth and development which come into play as photosynthate is elaborated to harvestable bionass. Each of these factors finds expression in the energy planter's gross yield of biomass. Annual éry satter yields in

the order of 22,500kg/ha (10 tons/acre) are common for a few species but the

majority of herbaceous land plants probably yield less than 4500 kg/ha (2 tons/

acre).

The reckoning of dry matter yields on an annual basis rather than an

hourly or @ daily basis might seem inappropriate to non-woody species whose

Growth period lasts only a few weeks or months. However, it is correct to do

---Page Break---

80 since many of the energy planter's expenses (including land rentals, taxes,

equipment depreciation, and land maintenance) are incurred on an annual basis

(9,47,48). Moreover, some herbaceous plant species do produce dry matter

continually throughout the year and others could do 60 if managed as energy crops.

A plant such as sugarcane propagated as a 12-month sugar crop can yield dry

matter at the rate of 10-12 g/m²/day, or about 10 tons/acre/year. The highest

dry matter yields attained to date by the author were with first-ratoon sugar-

cane managed for total biomass rather than sugar. These amounted to 36.6 tons/

acre year, or 26.6 g/m²/day over a time-course

of 365 days (49).

It

safe to say that for most plants there is no direct relationship between photosynthetic potential, as determined in the laboratory, and the total.

ry bioass to be harvested in the field, The principal reasons for this are a

series of botanical and agronomic factors which prevent the elaboration of Photosynthate to biomass at rates commensurate with the plant's, carbon reduction Potential. Some of these factors are fundamental constraints against growth and development essentially beyond the control of the energy planter (though

sometimes controllable by the plant breeder). Other constraints are a reflec-

tion of plant management and can be eliminated through research and development

of the species

an energy crop.

It is also safe to say that some non-woody land plants will be found to have good biomass potentials but little prospect of ever being managed as agricultural energy commodities. For such plants a decisive attribute will be their ability to survive and produce some biomass with the barest minimum of production inputs (8,2). Yet even in these instances one must not over-emphasize photosynthesis rate as an energy yield indicator; there is simply

too much variability in the measured rates of photosynthesis and too little

---Page Break---

-9-

correlation with measured biomass yield (5,33,50). An example of this was found

in photosynthesis rates

of "wild" sugarcane (*Saccharum* species) who

varied by factor of 10 while their bioass yields varied by a factor less than 2 (40). Variation is similarly high among the hybrid sugarcane of commerce

(G3,51). In a given field of sugarcane, completely uniform as to soil series,

variety, planting date, and cultural management, one can expect to find photosynthesis and growth rates that vary by a factor of 3 to 5 among randomly-selected

sampling sites (5).

(©) Reduction State of the Primary Photosynthate: To this point we have

considered biomass as "elaborated photosynthate", consisting mainly of cellulose,

and lignin derived from glucose or polyglucosides having the basic formula

(giiy20,). This is quantitatively the most important form of biomass for both

woody and herbaceous plant species, However, as a form of stored energy it has

the Limitation of being only partially reduced. The presence of oxygen in the

structure of plant tissues, starch, and extractable sugars limits the energy content of such materials to approximately $14-16 \times 10^6$ BrUs per dry ton. Alternately, some plant species store energy in more highly reduced compounds having progressively less oxygen in their structure, Plant materials such

isoprene

polymers, sterols, oils and waxes consist mainly of carbon and hydrogen and contain in the order of $40-50 \times 10^6$ stUs per dry ton. Calvin and others have advocated the study of "hydrocarbon plants" as superior biomass energy sources (22,21,52). Many of these species have the added advantage of good adaptability to lands that are semi-arid, roughly-contoured, and otherwise marginal for the Production of more conventional food and energy crops (8 453,54)+ Hydrocarbon-bearing plants include both woody and herbaceous species.

Some of the better-known examples, such as the rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*)

---Page Break---

and guayule (P: woody perennials, while others, such

?as Euphorbia and Calotropis species, are borderline cases that could be managed either as forest or agronomic energy crops. Milkweed species (Asclepidacea) are predominantly herbaceous, but one member found in the tropics, Calotropis procera (the "giant miliveed"), is a woody perennial reaching heights of 9 to 12 feet over a period of several years. In Puerto Rico it is regarded as a forest specimen (55), but as an energy crop would most likely be managed as a frequently-recut for:

(55), Hydrocarbon-bearing land plants as a group have

been generally underexplored by the ERDA and DOE biomass energy program

(4) Water Utilization Efficiency: Water will quite definitely be a deci-

sive limiting factor in the worldwide expansion of agriculture (54, 9,37),

It is therefore important that water utilization efficiency be considered in

the future screening and development of herba:

ious land plants as energy

resources. Three factors must be assé

ed from the onset: (a) Ue{lfzation

efficiency in photosynthetic processes; (b) water extracting capability from

the candidate species! natural terrain; and (c), the species capacity for water

conservation by anatoaical means.

?Among candidate herbaceous species the efficiency of water utilization will

bbe influenced markedly by the plant's pathway of carbon reduction. C, species

should tend to reduce more carbon per unit of water transpixed than C, species

bbut Jess chan plants using the CAM pathway. C, plants such as sugarcane (5944)

have a lower mesophyll resistance (r_q) than C_y plants, favoring in turn a steeper

00, gradient between the atmosphere and photosynthetic reaction sites in the

leaf. C₄ plants have a Δ comparable to C₃ plants, but they assimilate carbon at night when transpirational water loss is at a minimum. The CAM pathway in effect is a plant water conserving mechanism.

---Page Break---

-u-

Intensively-cultivated herbaceous plants, such as sugarcane, require about 150 mm of water per month (6 inches) to sustain maximum growth (60,61,54). Most herbaceous plants having some potential as biomass resource will not receive that quantity of water as rainfall nor are they likely to be given this quantity as irrigated crops. An important feature of any herbaceous plant screening program for arid or semi-arid regions would be the selection

of deep-rooted (or tap-rooted) and thick-leaved candidates having the capability to draw upon subsoil water and to conserve water used in tissue expansion. Irrigation for such species would be confined to the planting period to aid germination or plant establishment. Considering that virtually all regions of the U.S. receive adequate rainfall on a seasonal basis, some effort should be made to identify herbaceous species that will survive arid conditions and produce a "flush" of biomass when rainfall is adequate to do so. Examples of herbaceous plants that do this include willowweed, tansy (*Tanacetum*), ragwort

(Genesio), alfalfa, and most Euphorbia species.

2. Agronomic Considerations:

The production of biomass involves the collaboration of physiological, biochemical, botanical, and agronomic factors under any set of conditions.

However, for the intensive management of biomass production, particular attention must be given to the field-scale behavior of plant masses in which an individual

plant of a crop complex loses the importance we attach to it as a botanical or horticultural entity. Several agronomic considerations critical to successful

biomass production are herein discussed.

(a) Growth Characteristics: To attain maximum biomass on a per annum

basis one would ideally select a year-round growing season and plant species

capable of growing on a year-round basis. Certain tropical grasses (sugarcane,

---Page Break---

-n-

rapier grass, Johnson grass, bamboo) do thie very nicely if planted in the

tropics, Some of their menbers produce well also in sub-tropical or even tem-
erate regions, but given equal managenent they vill realize only part of their

full yield potential when grovth is constrained for several months by cool

temperatures,

Te is important to recognize also that grovth is a 2i-hour process as well

2 12-month process. The photosynthetic and tissue-expansion systens that

operate each day are fully dependent on the nocturnal transport and mobilization
of grovth-supporting compounds. For this reason the tropics are again favored

by their ware nights for biomass production. In a similar vein the cool nights

of the southwestern arid Lar

are probably as restrictive for biomass as are

the limited moisture supplied

Possibly the most desirable growth characteristic of all for herbaceous species is the ability to produce new shoots continually throughout the year, year after year, from an established crop. This is a predominant characteristic of sugarcane and certain other tropical grasses both related and unrelated to Saccharum species. Such plants do not require the periodic dormancy and rest intervals so important to most temperate species. Nor is this compensated by

of a

the intensive flush of May-June growth by temperate plants? Over the course of the year the slower-growing tropical forms will out-produce them by a factor of three or four.

A less obvious but utterly critical feature of the perennial crop is its continual underground contribution of decaying organic matter to the soil. This process proceeds concurrently with the continuous renewal of underground crown

?and root tissues, For this reason the long-term harvest and removal of above

round stems, together with the burning off of "trash", does not have an adverse

effect on sugarcane lands. There are some in Puerto Rico that have produced

---Page Break---

and

sugarcane more or less continually for four centuries without destruction of

their physical properties or nutrient-supplying capability. On the other hand,

seasonal crops such as field corn and grain sorghum do not develop a perennial

?crown. For these plants a good case can be made against the removal of above-

Ground residues from this cropping site.

(b) Tissue Expansion vs Maturation: A common misconception holds that

bionass growth involves mainly a visible increase of size, and that per acre

tounages of green matter are a reasonably accurate indicator of a plant's yield

potential, It is also frequently assumed that the moisture content of plant tissues is essentially constant at around 75 percent, and that dry matter yields can be calculated rather closely from green weight data, These assumptions are not correct in any case but are particularly erroneous with respect to herbaceous

species. In virtually all such plants "growth" consists of discrete, diphasic

processes of tissue expansion followed by maturation. The tissue expansion

phase produces visible but succulent growth consisting mainly of water (in the

order of 88-92 percent moisture). The maturation phase corresponds to physiological aging and senescence, that is, to flowering and seed production, slackening of visible growth, yellowing and loss of foliage, and hardening of the formerly

succulent tissues. During this period the dry matter content will increase by

@ factor of two to four in a time interval that may be shorter than that of the

yield-expansion phase. For example

the hybrid forage grass Sordan 70A more

than doubles its dry matter yield in a time-span of only two weeks (23), i.e.,

during weeks 8 to 10 in a 10-week growth and reproduction cycle. For this

reason the optimal period of harvest must be determined with care for each

candidate species. Again, as a rule of thumb, the allowing of additional time

before harvest will work in favor of increased biomass yields from herbaceous

plants.

---Page Break---

~ ue

For most herbaceous plants the production of dry matter can be plotted:

as an S-shaped curve (Figure 1), Dry matter content will not ordinarily exceed

20 to 12 percent during the period of rapid tissue expansion but will begin to

rise dramatically at some point in time that is characteristic of the individual species. Dry matter will rarely increase beyond 40 percent in herbaceous plants.

Attempts to hasten this rise (by withholding water) or to delay it (by use of

growth stimulants) have met with limited success in tropical grasses (63). Some increase in the magnitude of dry matter accumulation has been attained over short

periods of time with the plant growth regulator Polaris (63).

(c) Harvest Frequency: Once the diphasic nature of biomass

growth and

maturation is recognized the importance of harvest frequency is also underscored,

The optimal period for harvest in the maturation curve of one species will differ enormously from the optimal harvest period of another—even among varieties with

in the same genus and species. For this reason it is convenient to group

candidate species into distinct categories based on the time interval that must

elapse after planting to maximize dry matter yield (63). The management and

harvest requirements of each group will also vary. On this basis it has been

convenient to organize tropical crops into short, intermediate

and Long-

rotation" categories (Table 1).

As illustrated in Figure 2, the tissue maturation curves for typical members of each category vary greatly over a time-course of 12 months. Hence, to harvest sugarcane at the 10-week intervals favorable to Sordan 70A would yield

little dry matter. Similarly, any delay of the Sordan harvest beyond 12 weeks

is a waste of time and production resources. Napier grass, an "intermediate rotation" species, is more than a match for sugarcane at two-and four-ninths of age, and will nearly equal sugarcane yields at six months, but thereafter sugar

cane will easily out-produce napier grass. In this context a short-rotation

---Page Break---

species should be harvested four or five times per year, an intermediate-rotation species two or three times per year, and a long-rotation species no more than once per year. This need for careful attention to the maturation profiles of candidate species is underscored by yield data for sugarcane and napier grass harvested at variable intervals over a time-course of 12 months (Table 2).

It is also evident that, while Sordan and napier grass attain rather level

Plateaus for dry matter, sugarcane continues to increase dry matter beyond 12 months (Figure 2). Sucrose accumulation profiles are very similar for sugarcane. For many years sugar planters have taken advantage of this feature by extending the cane harvest interval beyond 12 months. Hence, the Puerto Rico sugar industry harvests two crops—the "gran cultura" (14 to 16 months between harvests) as opposed to the primavera crop (10 to 12 months between harvests).

In Hawaii sugarcane is commonly harvested at two-year intervals.

@) Energy Crop Rotations: From Figure 2 one would surmise that the energy

plantation manager should plant a herbaceous species such as sugarcane and leave

it there? up to 18 months if possible? before harvest. In addition to maximum fiber he would also harvest fermentable solids as a salable by-product. This

reasoning would probably be correct in a tropical ecosystem suited to *Saccharum*

species and where a regional tradition exists for sugar planting. However,

these circumstances do not exist in many countries having an otherwise good

Potential for growing biomass. For example, there is no region of the U.S.

mainland suited for 12-to 18-month crop

16 Of sugarcane, although there are

vast regions there suited, to some form of tropical grasses. Hence, a future

energy planter in Florida, Louisiana, southern California, or southern Texas

might seriously consider whether he should harvest a 6 to 8 month crop of sugar

cane per annum or two crops of napier grass in the same time-frame,

---Page Break---

-16-

Equally important is the fact that some countries will not be able to

afford a land occupation of 18 months by 2

single energy crop. This is especially

true of densely populated, developing tropical nations having an urgent need for

domestic food production (64). In such cases a short-rotation species such

Sordan may be the popular choice for energy planting since it can be sown as a

stop-gap between the harvest of one food crop and the planting of another. In

this capacity it would also prevent soil erosion and weed growth while acting

avenger for residual nutrients left over from the prior food crop.

Seasonal climate changes will also be a factor in the rotation of biomass

energy species with conventional food and fiber crops. Short-rotation tropical grasses such as Sorghum are ideally suited to the tropics but they can be grown on a seasonal basis during the heat of summer in most temperate regions. Such plants could be propagated to maturity in a mid-June to mid-August time frame.

In a given year the same site could produce a cool season food crop (a Brassica species, spinach) or a cool season forage (ryegrass, fall barley) both preceding and following the biomass energy crop.

BARVEST AND TRANSPORTATION

Perhaps the weakest point in current production research for biomass is the lack of proven harvest equipment and methodologies for the maximized stands of biomass that each contractor strives to attain. This is most evident in

woody biomass scenarios where conventional forest harvesting technology is either not applicable or simply doesn't exist in the context of silviculture energy plantations. The outlook for harvesting herbaceous land plants is considerably better but a good deal of research remains on harvest and post-

harvest technology, together with equipment redesign and modification.

---Page Break---

--

1. Moving and Conditioning As Harvest Options?

The vast majority of herbaceous land plants can be harvested nicely with

the sickle-bar mower (assuming that land slopes and contours are otherwise

suited for mechanized operations). This implement was designed more than a

century ago as a replacement for the hand sickle and manual grass scythe. As

a 44-horse-draw implement it revolutionized the harvest of grain and forage crops.

Today it is usually operated from the power take-off of Class I and II tractors.

The original wooden parts have been replaced, bearings and lubrication systems

have been improved, and it is no longer geared to the slow forward pace of

draft animals. But it operates on basically the same principle as its horse-

draw predecessors.

There are two principal limitations of the sickle-bar mower as a harvest implement for herbaceous biomass crops: (a) It is designed to operate in

Relatively low-density stands of plants, and (b), its cutting process is confined

to a single slice near the base of upright stems. In other words it is a mechanized sickle for severing stems rather than a stem conditioner. This over has a preference for dry and upright stems whose total mass does not exceed about 12 green tons per acre. It experiences real difficulty with wet and lodged materials and with plant stands in any condition whose mass exceeds 15 green tons per acre. Since its operation is based on a cutting principle the sickle must be kept continually sharp for effective performance. Its efficiency is immediately lowered by contact with mole hills, rocks, wires, scrap metal, and durable objects of any kind encountered in the field.

In the author's experience the modern sickle-bar mower operating in a

typically dense tropical grass:

Sordan 70A (about 20-25 green tons/

acre), will experience a frequent tripping of its "fail-safe" mechanism. This

is a built-in feature of the implement designed to prevent its destruction when

---Page Break---

~18-

striking unseen stumps or other fixed objects at operational speed. Nonetheless, the sickle-bar mower is probably very adequate for harvesting most herbaceous land plants, that is, those plants whose standing green mass will not exceed about 12 tons per acre at any given harvest interval.

For harvesting somewhat higher densities of herbaceous material a series of "flail" and "conditioner" designs have proven to be superior to the sickle-bar mower. Such implements do not perform on a cutting principle but rather break off the plant stem by striking it with extreme force. Sharpness of the contact blades is not a decisive feature, in fact they will perform fairly adequately even when dulled from long use. These machines, do require high horsepower (90 to 120 hp) and high PTO speed (1000 rpm).

The most effective implement of this type tested to date in Puerto Rico is the MAC "rotary scythe-conditioner". The plant stems are broken off by four lines of whirling blades and are repeatedly shattered as the blades re-attack the stems at 3-to 5-inch intervals. The resulting "conditioned" biomass is evenly distributed in a broad swath behind the rotary scythe. In this state the subsequent drying and baling operations are more easily performed than with conventionally-mowed biomass, that is, with plant materials received in clumps and mats and with only one cut surface to facilitate water removal.

4a additional advantage of the rotary scythe-conditioner is its capacity to harvest plant densities roughly double those handled by the sickle-bar mover.

A second added advantage is its ability to harvest lodged and wet materials. Such plants are harvested about as readily as those in a dry and upright condition. A third advantage is its relatively trouble-free operation. The number of parts subject to malfunction are purposely reduced to # minisun,

At this writing the rotary scythe-conditioner has given excellent performance in plant densities amounting to about 22 green tons per acre (2). It is believed that its upper density limit will be in the order of 40 green tons per acre (65).

---Page Break---

-19-

Plant yields considerably higher than 40 green tons per acre are anti-

ipated for a few herbaceous species. Sugarcane yields in excess of 90 green

tons per acre year were recently demonstrated in Puerto Rico (49). Most sugarcane harvesters marketed today begin to have difficulty with cane densities

in the range of 50 to 60 standing green tons per acre (65). The most effective

sugarcane harvester in Puerto Rico at present is the Class Model 1400. Originally developed in East Germany, the Class is a single-row, whole cane harvester which employs a powerful air blast to remove organic trash and soil from the cane at the point of harvest in the field. It has accommodated over 60 tons

of green cane per acre. With modifications it might possibly

harvest 80 to 90

tons per acre (65).

2. Solar Drying:

A characteristic difficulty with biomass is its low density relative to fossil energy and its high water content which is costly to transport to

drying centers. Wherever possible it is desirable to remove most of this

water at the harvest site by solar drying. One exception to this is the use

of green biomass for anaerobic digestion. Another exception is found in sugarcane. In this case the whole green stalk is transported to a centralized mill for devatating. The plant's soluble fermentable solids are recovered there

from the expr

fed juice and sold as refined sugar or molasses.

Very adequate equipment for the solar drying of non-woody land plants can be found in the cattle forage industry. The rotary acythe-conditioner described above does much to prepare herbaceous plants for rapid drying in the sun (66,67). Ordinarily these materials would be turned over once or twice in bringing the moisture content down to about 15 percent. Three windrows would then be combined

into one shortly before baling. Each of these operations can be performed with

---Page Break---

~~

standard side-delivery forage rakes operating from the power take-off of a Class I or II tractor. When higher density biomass is to be raked (Sordan or napier grass) a heavy-duty "wheel" rake may be more suitable. These implements

are also becoming standard equipment for forage-making operations.

3. Compaction And Baling:

Solar-dried bionass is rarely transported to its processing site today in

4 loose state, although once this was standard practice:

For economy of space

in transport and storage, as well as ease of handling, such materials are first

compacted and then bound with a suitable twine or wire. The standard hay

"bale" today is actually a compactor. It produces conveniently-sized cubes having a controlled density range of roughly 8 to 20 pounds per cubic foot.

A typical hay "bale" would weigh 60 or 70 pounds and is easily handled by one man in transport and storage procedures or in cattle-feeding operations.

A different concept in biomass baling has appeared in recent years. This is the "bulk" or "round" baler which operates as a windrow wrapper rather than a compactor. This implement produces large cylindrical bales weighing up to 1500 pounds each (68,69). Since no appreciable compaction is involved the bale density is relatively low in the order of 10 to 12 pounds per cubic foot. More recent modifications enable this machine to produce cube-shaped bales which are

- Both, front- and rear-end

more economical of space during transport and storage, loaders suitable for handling these bales are marketed as conventional tractor attachments (65).

There are two types of balers for sugarcane: the baling press

and the briquetting press (JO). The first type is

hydraulic press employing

the same compaction principle used for hay, The bagasse is baled in a a

Breen stat

and the formed cubes are tied with twine or wires to prevent thea

---Page Break---

-n-

from reexpanding. Their density will range from 25 to 40 pounds per cubic foot.

Bales of this type must be stacked carefully to prevent spontaneous combustion, that is, with sufficient space between them to allow air circulation. The

briquetting press operates with dry bagasse having a moisture content of 8 to 15

a

percent. This press provides high pressures in the order of 5,000 to 15,000

Under these conditions extremely compact cubes are produced which retain their

form without the use of twine or wire

?Transport And Storage:

Herbaceous biomass that has been solar-dried and baled can be transported

to processing or storage sites without appreciable difficulty with existing

equipment. However, this can entail @ significant cost. Ordinarily each

material would be loaded directly in the field on a low-bed truck. Standard

bales (60-80 pounds) can be loaded manually or with mechanical loaders requiring

only one laborer on the truck for final positioning of the bales. Bulk bales

would be stacked two layers deep on the truck bed with tractor-mounted loaders.

?The same truck would transport the biomass to a final processing or storage

facility without intermediate transshipment operations. In the case of sugar-cane, the harvested whole stalks, or stem billets, whatever the case may be, are hauled in carts to the adjacent mill. The same materials could be carted to an intermediate reloading point for truck delivery to more distant sugar mill

Delivery costs will vary considerably with the individual biomass production operation. As a general feature a 40-ton low-bed truck with driver can be hired for about \$180 per 24-hour day. Loading equipment with operators must be stations

each end of the delivery run. In an ideal biomass production

operation, ie, one managed by a private farmer for profit, the land owner would

---Page Break---

-2-

probably own and help operate the truck and accessory equipment. An estimated delivery cost for solar-dried biomass on a 20-mile run would be \$6.00 to 8.00

per ton.

PRODUCTION COSTS

Published production costs for both herbaceous and woody biomass show broad variations that are both understandable and inevitable (48,58, 6,7,3).

A given contractor will want to present his speciality crop in the best possible light relative to the dollar inputs needed to obtain a million BTUs in biomass form. This topic was reviewed in detail recently in a USDA report by Kathryn

A. Zeimets (48). The author concluded that most biomass researchers greatly underestimate the cost of biomass production, excluding from their calculations significant indirect costs, long-term repercussions on ecosystem resources, future competition for land and water, and both the cost and efficiency of biomass conversion systems.

1. Obtaining Correct cost

A seriously misleading trend is to base the production costs of a biomass candidate on its published yield performance

4 conventional food or fiber

crop. Sugarcane is an, appropriate example. In Puerto Rico, sugarcane managed

for sucrose yields 25 to 30 green tons per acre year; as an energy crop it can

yield 60 to 90 tons per acre year with only moderate increases in production

8 G9). Napier grass data are similarly misleading. There is a wealth of

Printed matter on the yields of napier gr:

?wanaged as a tropical forage crop,

that is, vhen harvested re}

tedly at five-or six-veck intervals at moisture

pProaching 90 percent. As an energy crop napier gra:

Produces

Toughly two to three times more dry matter per annum at less cost than the cattle

forage (49).

---Page Break---

-2-

2. Production Goses For Tropical

Since June of 1977 considerable information has been gathered on production

costs for sugarcane and other tropical grasses. whose agriculture has been managed

for maximum dry matter yield in a tropical ecosystem (62,63). A breakdown of

Production input charges for "energy cane" is presented in Table 3. These data

pertain to a privately-owned, 200-acre operation yielding 33 oven-dry tons of

biomass per acre year, Total cost, including delivery to the milling site, amount to \$25.46 per ton or 1.70 per million BTUs. Under Puerto Rico conditions about 70 percent of this dry matter would be burned as a boiler fuel.

The remainder would be extracted as fermentable solids

during the cane dewatering process and later sold as constituents of high-test molasses. This is a solid credit to the insular energy cane planter owing to Puerto Rico's precarious reliance on foreign molasses as feedstock for her rum industry (11).

Assuming a market price of \$0.75 per

gallon for high-test molasses the fermentable

solids

?from one such ton of energy cane would be valued at more than \$45.00,
?OF about \$1500.00 per acre. Cane milling costs today in Puerto Rico are about
\$4.50 per ton (72).

Production costs for Soréan 70A are presented in Table 4. Although
Sordan's biomass yield is lower than that of energy cane, production input costs
are also lower. The final cost of an oven-dry ton of Sordan 70A is about
\$24.00, of \$1.50 less than a ton of energy cane. In this instance there is no
sale of fermentable solids. Production costs for napier grass would be not-
arately lower than Sordan 70A owing to a much higher yield per acre year for
napier grass (49,62). This crop similarly has no sales of fermentable solids.

3. Management As A Production Cost Factor:

Production costs for energy cane listed in Table 3 include "management"
as 10 percent of the cost subtotal, This is an indefinite term covering the

---Page Break---

me

administrative skills expended by way of good agricultural technique to maximize
biomass yield. It also reflects the morale (or profit incentive level) of the
individual grower or institution in charge of production.

The management factor contribution to future biomass production scenarios

?can range from very good to very bad, but it will have the potential to be
ang

scisive in all production operations. Again, using sugarcane as a convenient
example, it is common knowledge that little profit is to be made anywhere in the
world today by planting sug:

+ but it is the well-managed operations that will

Minimize losses and offer the best prospect of survival until sugar values are

again equitable, At one extreme superior management will be found in privately~

owned plantations which in some countries are still basically family operations,

Were the land owner has an inherent interest in his property and capital invest

ments and possess

the skills and incentive to make @ good Living from agriculture.

Such individuals can still be found today, for example, in the Queensland suger sodustry. At the other extrene is the government-owned production operation.

Uistorically, governments have not made good farmers. A farm manager who has ttle incentive to make profit and who cannot be held accountable when making

a lo

will ultimately have the inferior production record.

Government take-over of an agricultural comodfty is sometimes viewed

necessary intervention in a free market vhere important soctal or political

considerations could not otherwise be served (73). This was the case with ou

cane in Puerto Rico whe;

@ large and otherwise unemployable labor force could no

longer be sustained by privat

Enterprise (64,79). As a consequence it now costs

about 28 cents to produce « pound of sucrose in Puerto Rico, at a time when its

value on the world su

market is only about 14 cents per pound, It is fair to

?say that management is not the only factor contributing to high production costs?

environmental quality standards have also had a negative impact on the PK sugar

industry (29)?but poor management is clearly the main contributing factor.

---Page Break---

-2-

In a well-managed production scenario for herbaceous terrestrial biomass:

some straight-forward steps will need to be taken to assure maximum returns from

production input expenditures. These will include the following: (a) Correct and preparation, including land Leveling and planning where needed; (b) correct design and installation of the irrigation system; (c) correct seedbed preparations (d) careful selection and treatment of seeds (e) correct seeding (relative to depth, density or row spacing, and season); (f) reseedling of vacant space when necessary; (g) correct pest control programs (including administration of control on weekends and holidays when required); (h) maintenance of correct irrigation, fertilization, and cultivation programs; (i) correct timing and synchronization of harvest operations; (j) correct selection and use of harvest equipment; (k) post-harvest maintenance of land and machinery.

For most biomass crops the costs of these measures will accrue whether they

are performed correctly or not. The decisive factor will be the skill and

motivation of the operation's field managers. Good management can best be assured

when production is retained in the context of privately owned plantations that

are operated for personal profit.

SUMMARY

?The nature of herbaceous Land plants and their potential usefulness as a

future energy resource is presented in broad outline, The large number of herbaceous species found in both cool and warm climates and in both the wild and cultivated state suggests that at least « small percentage of these could become valuable sources of fuel. Extensive screening will be needed in a range of

ecosystems to bring the number of candidate species to a manageable level. Both

botanical and agronomic features to be evaluated during the screening process are

briefly discussed, Some of the production and harvest operations required of

---Page Break---

- 16 =

herbaceous plants as agricultural commodities are also reviewed, together with Partial cost analyses for the production operations. Management of the energy crop is seen to be the decisive cost input. This factor will be optimized in

privately-owned operations motivated by a strong profit incentive.

---Page Break---

-m-

Literature Cited

1. Schery, R. W, "Plants for Man" (Second Ed.); Prentice-Hall, Tne.

Englewood Cliffs, WN. J., 1972.

2, Saterson, K. Herbaceous Species Screening Progran. Third Annual Biomass

Energy Systens Conference. Colorado School of Mines, Golden,

Colorado, 1979,

3: Alich, J. A, Crop, Forestry, and Manure Residues: An Energy Resourc

Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., 1976.

4. Marzola,

D. Ly and Bartholomew, D. P. Photosynthetic Pathway and Bionass

Energy Production, Science, 1979, 205, 555-559.

Alexander, A. G. Sugar and Energy Attributes of the Genus Saccharum

An Overview. Presented to the symposium "Alternate Us

of Sugarcane

for Development", San Juan, P.R., March 26-27, 1979, Publisher:

UPR Center for Energy and Environmental Research, Caparra Heights

Station, San Juan, Puerto Rico, 00935.

6 Lipinsky, B. S., Kresovich, S., McClure, T. A., Jackson, D. R., Lavhon,

W. Ty Kalyoneu, A. A., and Daniels, L. Sugar Crops as a Source of

Fuels. Vol. 1, Agricultural Research Battelle-Columbus Division:

Columbus, Ohio, 1979.

Lipinsky, . . .

W.T., and Otis, J. L. Systems Study of Fuels From Sugarcane, Sweet

fathan, R. A., Sheppard, W. J., MoClure, T. A., Lavhon,

Sorghum, and Sugar Beets. Vol. I. Comprehensive Evaluation; Battelle-
Columbus Division: Columbus, Ohio, 1977.

Anon, "Underexploited Tropical Plants with Promising Economic Value";

Washington, D. C., 1975,

9+ Anon. "Agricultural Production Efficiency"; National Academy of Science

Natio

1 Academy of Science:

Washington, D. C., 1975.

---Page Break---

~ 28

10, Lipinsky, E. S., Nathan, R. A., Sheppard, W. J., and Otis, J. L. "Fuels From Sugar Crops. Vol. IIT. Conversion; "Battelle-Columbus Division: Columbus, Ohio, 1977.

AL, Scheller, W. A., The Use of Ethanol-Casoline Mixtures for Autosotive

Fuel. IGT Symposium on Clean Fuels From Bionass, Orlando, Florida, 1977.

12. Thompson, G. D., Ethanol From Sugarcane. Prox

?A. Sugar Technol.

Assoc., June, 1979.

13, Anon, Alcohol: A Brazilian Answer to the Energy Crisis. Science, 1976, 195, 564-566,

1s, Scheller, W. A., The Production of Industrial Alcohol From Grait

Symposium on Fermentation in Cereal Proct

ings Am. Assoc. Cereal

Chemists, New Orleans, 1976.

15. Lipinsky, E. S., Conversion of Sugarcane Products Into Fuels and Chenical

Feedstocks. Sugar J. 1976, 8, 27-30,

16. Anon. Comparative Economic Assessuent of Ethanol From Biomass; DOE

Contract No, ET-78-C-01-2654; Mitre Corp.; Metric Division: McLean,
Virginia, 1978,

17, Anderson, E. V. Gasohol: Energy'Mountain or Molehill, ch

Engineering News, July, 1978,

18. Park, W., Price,'G., and Selo, D., Biomass-Based Alcohol Fuels: The

?The Near-Term Potential For Use With Gasoline; Final Report to DOE.

Contract No. B6-77- ϕ -01-6101, 1978,

19, Fricke, C. R., A General History of the Nebraska Grain Alcohol and

Gasohol Progran; American Institute Of Aeronautics and Astronautics,

Inc., 1978,

---Page Break---

a.

22.

23,

2h.

25,

26.

27.

2».

-W-

Calvin, M., Hydrocarbons Via Photosynthesis. Technical Information

Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California,

Berkeley, Calif., 1977.

Calvin, M, Petroleum Plantations for Fuel and Materials. Bio Science,
2979, 29(9), 533-538.

Anon, The Petroleum Plant: Perhaps We Can Grow Gasoline, Scien

1976, 194, 46.

Alexander, A. G., Production of Sugarcane and Tropical Grasses as
Renewable Energy Source, DOE contract no. ET-78-S-05-5912, First
Quarterly Reports, 1978 and 1979.

Thompson, G. D., Production of Biomass by Sugarcane; Proc. S.

Sugar

Technol. Assoc. June, 1978.

Lipinsky, E. S., Carbohydrate Crops as a Source of Fuels} DOE Contract

No. W7405-eng 92, Battelle-Columbus Division, Columbus, Ohio. (3rd

Annual Biomass Energy Systems Conference Abstracts, Colorado School

of Mines, Golden, Colorado, 1979).

Anon, Battelle-Columbus Laboratories Studying Energy From Common

Grasses, Weeds; Solar Energy Intelligence Report, 5(40), 395, 1979.

?Anon. Request for proposal for establishment of herbaceous screening

Program. RFP No, ET-78-R-02-0014. DOE Chicago Operations Office, 1978.

Monteith, J. L. Attenuation of solar radiation: A climatological study.

Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc. 1962, 88, 508-521,

Moss, D. N. Improvement of plant photosynthesis through genetic engineering.

IGT Symposia on Clean Fuels From Biomass and Wastes, Orlando, Florida

1977.

Hall, D. O, Plant as an energy source. Nature, 1979, 278, 114-117.

---Page Break---

a.

32,

33,

35.

36.

37.

=30-

Watch, M.D. and Slack, C. R. Photosynthesis by sugarcane leaves. A

new carboxylation reaction and the pathway of sugar formation. Biochem.

J, 1966, 101, 103-111,

Hatch, M.D, and Slack, C. R, The participation of phosphoenolpyruvate

synthetase in photosynthetic Co, fixation of tropical grasses. Arch.

BMochem, Biophys., 1967, 120, 224-225,

Kortschak, H. P. Photosynthesis in sugarcane and related species. In:

Photosynthesis in Su

eane, Proceedings of an international eyspostua

held June 12 and 13, 1968, at the Royal Lancaster Hotel, London, pp.

18-29,

Hatch, M. D., Slack, C. R., and Johnngon, H. S. Further studies on a nev

atiway of photosynthetic carbon fixation in sugarcane and its

occurrence in other plant specie

Bochea. J. 1967, 102, 417-422,

Slack, C. R. The photoactivation of a phosphopyruvate synthetase in
Leaves of Anaranthus palnert. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 1968,
30, 483-488,

Slack, C. R.y Hatch, M. D., and Goodchild, D, J, Distribution of enzymes
in mesophyll and parenchyma-sheath cloropl

's of maize leaves in

relation to the C, dicarboxylic actd pathway of photosynthesis.

Biochem J., 1969, 114, 489-498,

Hatch, M. D., Kagawa, T., and Craig, S. Subdivision of C₃ pathway species based on C₃ decarboxylation systems and ultrastructural features.

a

J. Plant Physiol. 1975, 2, 111-118.

Downton, W. J. A., and Treguma, E. B. Carbon dioxide compensation? its

Relation to photosynthetic carboxylation reactions, systematics of

?the Gramineae, and leaf anatomy, Can J. Bot. 1967, 46, 207-215.

Zelitch, I, Photosynthesis, Photorespiration, and Plant Productivity.

Acadente Pre:

MN. Ys, 1971, 347 pp.

---Page Break---

-a-

Alexander, A. G., and 0, Biddulph. The photosynthetic action spectra

of Saccharum species. Pro

Sugar Cane Technol. 1975,

15, 966-983 (South Africa).

41, Alexander, A. G., and 0, Biddulph. Action spectra for the assimilation
of ^{14}C into leaf sugar components of sugarcane. Proc. Int. Soc.

Sugar Cane Technol. 1977, 16 (Brazil).

42. Hoover, W. N., The dependence of carbon dioxide assimilation in a higher
plant on wavelength of radiation. Saithson. Misc. Coll. 1937, 95, 1-13.

43, Balogh, S. H., and Biddulph, O. The photosynthetic action spectrum of the bean plant. *Plant Physiol.* 1970, 46, 1-5.

44, McCree, K. J. The action spectrum, absorptance, and quantum yield of

Photosynthesis in crop plants. *Agr. Meteorol.* 1972, 9, 191-216.

45, Bulley, N. R., Nelson, C. D., and Treguna, E. B. Photosynth

1 Action

spectra for leaves in normal and low oxygen. *Plant Physiol.* 1969,

44, 678-684,

46. Monteith, J. L. Reassessment of maximum growth rates for C₃ and C₄ crops.

Bagtl. Age. 1978, 14, 1-5.

47, Jewell, W. J. (Ed.), Section I, Energy and Food Production. In: Energy, Agriculture, and Waste Management. Proceedings of the 1975 Cornell Agricultural Waste Management Conference. Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Inc. 1977, pp. 5-142.

48, Zeimets, K. A. Growing Energy. Land for Bioass Farms. USDA Economics, Statistics, and Cooperative Service, Agricultural Economics Report No. 625, 1979.

49. Alexander, A. G., Garcia, M., Ramirez, G., Velé

As, Chu, T. Le, Santiago,

Ysy and Allison, W. Production of sugarcane and tropical grasses as

4 renewable energy source, First and second quarterly report to DOE,

Year 3, DOE Contract no. DE-ASOS-78ET20071, 1979,

---Page Break---

50.

a

52.

53,

55.

56.

37.

59,

6.

~me

Burr, G. O. A note on the efficiency of sugarcane. Taiwan Sugar Exp.

Sta, Res. Rept. No. 3, 1969.

Alexander, A. G. Chap. 1 in Sugarcane Physiology: A Study of the

Saccharum Source-to-Sink System. Elsevier, New York, 1973. 752 ppe

Calvin, M. Hydrocarbon bearing plants

4 renewable energy source.

Technical Congress for the Investigation and Conservation of Energy Resources, San Juan, Puerto Rico, 1979,

?Anon. Guayule: An Alternative Source of Natural Rubber. US National

Academy of Sciences, 1977.

Plant Environment and Efficient Water Use. W. H. Pierre (ed). Am. Soc. Agron, and Soil Sci, Soc. Aa., Madison, Wisconsin, 1965,

Personal communication with Dr. Frank Wadsworth, Director, USDA Institute of Tropical Forestry, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico, May, 1977,

Personal communication with Dr. Melvin Calvin, Director, Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics, University of California, Berkeley, June, 1977.

Doering, O. C. Future competitive demand on land for biomass production.

ToT Symposium on Clean Fuels From Biomass and Wastes, Orlando,

Florida, 1979,

Allch, J. As, and Iman, RE. Effective utilization of solar energy to
Produce clean, fuel. Stanford Research Institute, final report for
NSP grant no, 38723, 1974,

Alexander, A. G. Chap. 4 in: Sugarcane Physiology: A Study of the
Saccharum Source-to-Sink System. Elsevier, Nev York, 1973. 752 pp.

?Von Dillewtjn, C. Botany of Sugarcane, The Chrontca Botanica Co., Waltham,

Mass., 1952,

Humbert, R. P, The Growing of Sugarcane. Elsevier, New York, 1968,

---Page Break---

- 335

62, Alexander, A.C. Production of sugarcane and tropical grasses as
venevable energy source. Second Annual Report to DOE, Contract No.
3T-78-5-05-5912, 1979.

63, Alexander, A. ϕ . Production of sugarcane and tropical grasses as a
Fenewable energy source. First Annual Report to DOE, Contract No.
80-77-6-05-5912, 1978,

64, Alexander, A. 6. The potential of sugarcane as a renewable energy source

for developing tropical nations. International Conference on Bio-
Resources for Development. University of Houston, Houston, Texas, 1979,

65, Personal communications with Mr. W. Allison, Director, Dept. of Agricultural Engineering, UPR Mayaguez Campus, 1979,

66, Anon. HAC Rotary Scythe-Conditioner?The Non-Stop Mower Conditioner. Commercial brochure, Mathews Company, 500 Industrial Ave., Crystal Lake, IL., 1979,

67. Allison, W, Harvest machinery for high-density biomass. DOE biomass:

harvesting workshop, Baton Rouge, La., 1979.

68. Anon. New Holland Round Balers, Commercial brochure:

Sperry New Holland

(a division of Sperry Rand Corporation), New Holland, Penna, 17557.

69, Anon, Operator's Manual, New Holland Round Baler Model 851. Sperry New Holland Co., New Holland, Penna, 1978,

Wugot, B. Handbook of Cane Sugar Engineering. Second ed. Elsevier, New

866-868,

York, 1972,

71, Personal communication with Dr, C. L. Yordan, Executive Secretary, Puerto Rico Rum Producer's Association, 1979.

72, Personal communications with Mr. C, Rodriguez, Manager, Aguirre Sugar

Factory, Salinas, P. R., 1979,

---Page Break---

-

73. Rask, N. Food or fuel? Implications of using agricultural resources for alcohol production. (Discussion draft of report to the Puerto Rico Energy Office, October, 1979).

76, Romaguera, Me A.

factory management concepts for an integrated sugar
energy industry. Proceedings of a Symposium on Alternative Uses of

Sugarcane for Development, San Juan, P, R., March 26 and 27, 1979,

---Page Break---

~35-

TABLE 1, Categories of Tropical Grasses and Leading Candidate Clones Under
Investigation as Renewable Energy Sources in Puerto Rico 1/

Harvest Interval

Category (ont) Candidate clones

I. Short Rotation 2 Sordan 70A %

foun 7

ite 2

Bermuda Grass

XK Hybrids

Roma (Sorghum)

TL, Intermediate Rotation 4-6 Merker)

Napter Hybrid PI 30086 2/

Napier Hybrid PI 7350

NK Hybrid

Saccharum spontaneust

US 67-22-2

us 77-70

SES 231

S. spont. Hybrid (Wild)

Tntergeneric Hybrids

IIT, Long Rotation 12-18 Saccharum Hybrids

NCo 310

PR 980 2/

PR 6G-1791

B 70-701

us 2

USDA Imports

re

AY DOB Contract No, DE-ASOS-78ET20071.

2/ Underlined clones are leading candidates for their category.

---Page Break---

TABLE 2. Dry Matter Yields of Sugarcane and Napier Gra:

Variable Frequencies Over a Time-Course of One Year 1/.

Inte fo. of Tons DM/Acre/Year For ?

(@onths) __Harvests Species Plant Crop Ist Ratoon Crop

2 6 Cane 6.5 33

Napier 2/ 12:7 3

4 3 cane wat 1.9

Napier 22.6 25.1

6 2 cane 16.6 20.6

Napier 25.6 33.0

2 2 Cane 25.5 33.6

Mapier 9:3 25.8

4 nos contract No. DE-ASOS~788120071.,

2

computed mean of three varieties and two row spacings.

computed wean of one variety and two row spacings.

---Page Break---

-9-

TABLE 3, Dry Matter Production Costs for Firat~Ratoon Sugarcane Managed

?as an Energy Crop

aa

Land Area: 200 Acres

Production Interval! 12 Months

TM Yield: 33 (Oven-Dry) Short Tons/Acres Total 6600 Tons

Preliminary Cost Analysis

ten Gost (\$/Year

1, Land Rental, at 50,00/Acre 20,000

2, Seedbed Preparation, at 15.00/Acre 3,000

3, Water (600 Acre Feet at 15.00/ft³) 12,000

4, Water Application, at 48.00/Acre Year 9,600

5. Seed (For Plant Crop Plus Two Ratoon Crops),

1 Ton/Acre Year at? 15.00/Ton 3,000

6. Fertilizer, at 180,00/Acre 36,000

Pesticides, at 26.50/Acre 5,300

Harvest, Including Equipment Charges,

Equipment Depreciation, And Labor 20,000

9. Day Labor, 1 Man Year (2016 hrs at 3.00/hr) 2/ 6,048

10, Cultivation, at 5.00/Acre 1,000

11, Land Preparation & Maintenance (Pre-& Post-Harvest) 600

12, Delivery, at 7.00/Ton/20 miles of Haul 46,200

13, Subtotal: 152,748

14, Management: 10% of Subtotal 15,275

15, Total Cost: 168,023

e023

¥Y vot contract no, DE-AS0S-78ET20071.

2! Labor which {2 not included in other costs

Total Cost/Ton: $(168,023 + 6600): 25.46$

Total Cost/MilLion BTUs: $(25.46 +15)! 1.70$

---Page Break---

~ 38 -

TABLE 4, Dry Matter Production Costs for Sordan 70a 2/

Land Area: 200 Acres

Production Interval: 6 Months

Sordan 70A Yield: 15 (Oven Dry) Short Tons/Acre, Total 3,000 Tons

Preliminary Cost Analysis

Item

1, Land Rental, at \$50/Acre Year

2, Water (Overhead Irrigation), 360 Acre £¢ 2,160

3. Seed, at 60 Ubs/Aere 4,800

4, Feretitzer 10,000

5. Pestieldes 4,000

6. Bqutpaent Depreciation (6 mo.) 2,650

7, Equipment Maintenance (73% of Depreciation) 1,988

8. Equipment Operation (75% of Deprectatton) 1,988

9. Diesel Fuel 2,200

10. Day Labor (90.00/Day for 140 Days) 12,600

U delivery, at 6,00/Ton 18,000

13, Managenent (10% of Subtotal) 6,538

SSeS

1k, Total Cos 71,924

Y dor contract no, DE-AS05-78E720071.

Total Cost/Ton: $(71,924 + 3,000): 23.97$

Total Cost/Million BTUs $(23,97 = 15): "1.59$

---Page Break---

50

Sugarcane

10}

Napier Grass

DRY MATTER (2)

8

2 16 20 30 ~ 30

AGE OF SPECIES (WEEKS)

Figure 2, Relative maturation profiles for Sordan 70A, napier grass,

and sugarcane over a time-course of one year. The:

plants are

Representative of the short-, intermediate-, and long-rotation

cropping categories, respectively.

---Page Break---

Period of

?Tissue Maturation

DRY MATTER (x)

Period Of

?Tissue Expansion

° 10 30 30 70 30

AGE OF SPECIES

Figure 1, 4 generalized representation of the maturation profile of

herbaceous land plants. While no specific time-frame or plant

form is depicted, the diphasic process of tissue expansion followed

by maturation is typical of non-woody plant species. With the visible growth phase essentially completed, the energy planter will gain much additional dry matter by allowing a brief additional

time interval to elapse before harvest.

---Page Break---