

# CEER-B-085

LAND USE ANALYSIS OF PUERTO RICO

Prepared For

CONVENT. RESEARCH

!

O1 Glenridge Kay #66

4,

q

sitted December 20, 1979

---Page Break---

LAND USE ANALYSIS OF PUERTO RICO

Prepared vor

iiit UPR CENTER FOR EXFECY AND CMVTRON  
BIOMASS EEERCY PROGRAY,

By

Dr. George Sanuels

Research Consultant

Agricultural Research Ascociates, 2001 Glen  
Winter Park, Florida 32792

Submitted Decesber 20, 1975

---Page Break---

LAND-USE ANALYSIS OF PUERTO RICO

## Table of Contents

Topic

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

THE LAND

1. Physiographic and Geologic Features

2] Landform and Topography

3. Soil Classification

4. Land Capability Classification

5. Ecological Life Zones

6. Climate

LAND-USE POTENTIALS

1. History of Puerto Rican Agricultural Policy

2. Agriculture

(2) Human Resources

(b) Farm Size

2. Forests

BIOMASS

A. Introduction

2. Biomass Energy Sources

(a) Sugarcane

(b) Tropical Grasses

(e) Silviculture

3, A Balance: Food and Energy Cropping

REFERENCES.

?TABLES

FicuRES

20

a

2

2

23

23

26

29-38,

39-43,

---Page Break---

## LAND-USE ANALYSIS 9° PUERTO RICO

George Samuels, Ph.D.

COER-UPR Biomass Pnergy Program

University of Puerto Rico

### ABSTRACT

Puerto Rico has an area of 2,189,026 acres with 901,484 available for agriculture, a population of 3.47 million people, and 0.26 acres of arable Land per person, With these dimensions to consider, every acre of Land becoues importunt, for its use directly or indirectly affects everyone, be the land chosen for food crops, energy crops, forest, industrial plants or coerce. The outstanding feature of the landscape is its rugged topography with only about 20% of che tots! area having a slope of 5 degrees or less. The Cat lowlands ere the creas of comercial agricultural production, the nountain lands areas cf forest and gubsistence agriculture, and the rolling intermediate. The soils have been thoroight recent soil surveys (1965-80) available for

Agricultural policy and planning for Puerto Rico for about 75 years has been one of lack of continuity, Its agriculture has not become modern, nor efficient despite costly injections of funds via subsidies and programs. Agriculture has lost out to industrialization and urban growth decreasing agricultural acreage. A modern agricultural program developed by the Puerto Rican Department of Agriculture has as its major thesis to produce most of the food it now imports, improve its economy by stimulating domestic agriculture production, and provide employment. New rice, beans, and vegetable enterprises will be established on completely mechanizable land. The cattle industry will be expanded, Tobacco will disappear and sugar production will

be downgraded. Commercial forests will be developed. Choices are needed between small and large size farm units.

Biomass, plant material to be used as fermentable or combustible solids for recoverable energy, is a new crop that must be considered in land-use planning for Puerto Rico which imports over 98% of its energy. Sugarcane with both combustible and fermentable solids is the most efficient energy crop with tropical grasses (Napier & Sordan 70A) and silviculture "energy plantations" offering viable energy sources. Because of limited land area, balance must be achieved between food and energy cropping. Suggested examples of possible biomass land uses compatible with a modern agriculture program are given.

A/ Research Consultant

---Page Break---

Puerto Rico has a

ited area with Large dinands placed upon it by a

growing population for food ana energy crepes

fing space, indwatry and

comerce. If is the obsective of +

paper £0 give an analysis of past and

present lend uses

tion to food crops and guidance in developing Puerto

Rico's biomass energy c-opping.



There are simple geographic factors which must govern @ land-use analysis

of Puerto Rico.

Scale ~ Its extent is 35 miles by 100 miles with an area of 3,435 square

miles.

Insularity ~ its frontier is the sea,

Density - There are 3.47 million people, 14

10 per square mile with 0.26

of an acre per capita,

Physiography - 80% of its surface consists of hills and mountains; 20%

has to be shared by agriculture, manufacturing, highways,

airports, recreational areas, and cities.

With these dimensions to consider, every acre of land in Puerto Rico

becomes important, for its use directly or indirectly affects everyone, be

land chosen for food crops, energy crops, forests, industrial plants,

housing, or commerce.

## THE LAND

### 1. Physiographic and Geologic Features

Puerto Rico may be divided roughly into three principal physiographic

divisions (Fig. 1) according to Roberts (1). They are the complex mountain

---Page Break---

-3-

Which are the most extensive, the coastal plains, and the piedmont (beach)

plains

?An east-west mountain range divides Puerto Rico into a northern and southern part, Its crest (about 3,000 feet) is far to the south of a latitudinal Line passing through the center of the Island, To the south of the crest, the relief is rugged and is characterized by many steep-walled rock cliffs,

abrupt high waterfalls, and jagged peaks. On the north side of the crest and

?extending to the coastal plain, the relief gradually becomes less rough.

?The coastal plains parallel nearly the entire coastline. They range in width from a maximum of 13 miles at Lares to nil at several points along the eastern and west coasts. Most of the coastal plains are confined to areas that are covered by a thick deposit of Limestone that several fluctuations in sea level in times past have caused the landscape to have a belted appearance. Erosion has produced numerous hills and valleys.

The playa pl

8 include the level alluvial plains, lagoon deposits, and elevated beach sands. The flat alluvial plains occupy the largest area and comprise the most valuable agriculture land. They occur along all the streams, but are most extensive near the mouths of

the large rivers.

Betnroth (2) uses Mitchell's seven physiographic regions to describe

the physiography of the Island in more detail.

## 2. Landform and Topography

The limitations and potentials of agricultural development are largely determined by the Island's physical characteristics. The outstanding feature of its landscape is its rugged topography. Only about 20% of the total area has a slope of 5 degrees or less. The land area by percent slope is given

in Table 1.

---Page Break---

mae

Young (4) identified 12 different landform areas based on local relief,

Percentage of steep slope, and percentage of flat land. The three major landform classes defined were lowlands, hill lands and mountain lands, In percent-

age of total area they are as follows:

Lowland - 19%

\ lowlands = 11

Rolling Lowlands with some flat land - 22

3, Rolling lowlands - &

Nili-lands = 37%

Ae Rol

ing hi21 Land with some flat Land ~ 4%

Boling hi

land ~ 22%

3. Rough hill Land = 42

4, Bugged hit land with some flat land ~ less than 1%

5+ Rugged hii Land ~ 62

Yountain Langs ~ 47

1, Rolling mountain Land ~ 6%

2. Rough sountain land ~ 15%

3. Rugged mountain land - 23%

A description of these landform areas is given by Fields (3, pp. 99-101).

Fields superinposed 11 rainfall regions on Young's 12 landform regions to give seven landform-climatic regions for the Island that is meaningful from the standpoint of agriculture (Fig. 2).

At the risk of over generalization, the flat lowlands may be characterized

as the area of commercial agricultural productions the mountain lands as t!

area of for

's and subsistence farming: and the rolling lowlands and hill

---Page Break---

lands as intermediate between the two, characterized by mixed, small-scale  
commercial agriculture and subsistence farming.

Land area in Puerto Rico distributed by altitude is as follows (3):

Feet at level of Land area

352

sos. 999 a

1000 + 2%

?Though 55% of the U.S. Land area, 1.2 million acres, is under 500 feet in elevation, the percentage of flat land is substantially less with only 400,000

ven

acres having slopes of

acreage in subject to constraints

in cultivation as a result of such factors as erosion, drainage, and climate

importance of the flat land lies in its suitability for mechanized agriculture



The soils of Puerto Rico have been thoroughly classified. The first detailed survey of the Island was completed by R. C. Roberts and party in 1936

by the Division of Soil Survey, Bureau of Plant Industry, USOA (1). This

soil survey is good, covering both crops and soils. It still serves as a handy reference for anyone wishing to know Puerto Rican agricultural status before 1936.

The Robert's soil survey was updated by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS), USDA beginning in 1965 with a survey of the Lajas Valley area. Since

then additional soil conservation districts have been covered with the 1

Arecibo, to be published in 1980. The districts covered and their acreages

---Page Break---

Diserier

Arecibo

unacco (6) 470,202

Lajas (7) 102,609

Yayencer (6) 460,836

Fonce: Sur (8) 171,790 acvas

Caribe (10) 123,613 acres 305, 402

San Juan (11) 447,279

TOTAL. sere 2,274,094

The recent

105-80) soil survey is quite comprehensive, and it provides  
fore than a mere classification of soils, The make-up of the soil survey for

4 conservation district contains:

3s General nature of the area and climate.

2. General soil map for broad land-use planning with map unit descriptions and soil associations.

3, Description of the soils describing each soil series in detail, and

then briefly, for the layman use, each mapping unit in that series.

4, Use and management of the soils, This section

contains an explanation

of the system of capability grouping used by the SCS. Estimated yields for the principal crops and pasture grasses under two levels of management (local

and improved) are given. Management of the soil for woodland is also discussed:

Information and Limitations that affect engineering practices and recreation

uses are presented in tables.

the information and classification of the soils as to major factors of soil formation are given with the definition of the system currently used for classifying soils by the six higher categories of order, suborder, great

---Page Break---

family, suborder, and series. A table is included of the classification

the series giving the family, suborder, and order for each series.

G. The climate of the area is covered with tables for temperature and rainfall, and sometimes net evaporation rates,

7. A guide to seepage units which contains:

the capability unit for non

irrigated and irrigated soils of each mapping unit as well as its woodland

unit

8. Sheet maps of these soils with their mapping units are imposed on

aerial photographs (scale 1:20,000).

the Force survey, based on two publications, the Sur (9) and Caribe (10)

contains only tables on the soil properties and limits

at Gotect engineering and recreation uses and land capability,

erodibility, and hydrological classification. The Tajas Valley survey does

Rot contain # table on recreat

?The soil engineering tables presented in the soil survey have potential  
value for Land-use classification providing the needed data on soil properties

at different seasons?

Soil water table levels, depth to bedrock, texture, permeability,  
infiltration, available water capacity, drainage for cropland and pasture, irrigation,  
and suitability for terraces, diversions, and pond reservoir areas,

The new

soil system

developed by the USDA-SCS classified 9 Orders, 22

Suborders, 37 Great Groups, 106 Subgroups, 54 Families, and 164 Series for the

tat

4 G2, p. 19). A brief description of the nine Orders of Puerto Rican soils, to aid the reader in the use of tables and maps, is given in Table 2. An excellent review and explanation of the taxonomic classification of the soils of Puerto Rico has been prepared by Lugo-López and Rivera in 1974 (13) with an update for 1977 (14). An inventory of Puerto Rican soils calculated on a percentage basis for each of the nine Orders for the six soil survey areas is given in Table 5,

---Page Break---

-a-

#### 4. Land Capability Classification

The capability classification used by the SCS is a grouping that shows

in a general way, how suitable soils are for most types of farming. It is a

practical grouping based on limitations of tillage, the

risk of damage when

they are used, and the way they

respond to treatment.

In this system, all of the soils are grouped at three levels: the

capability class, subclass, and unit:



In the broadest grouping are the eight

capability classes

designated by Roman numerals I through VIII. The classes

are the soils that have no limitations, the wide range of use, and the

least risk of erosion when they are used. The soils in the other classes have

progressively greater natural limitations. In class VIII are soils and land-

forms so rough, shallow, or otherwise limited that they do not produce worth-

while

fields of crops, forage or wood products.

The subclasses indicate major kinds of

limitations within the classes.

They are (e) erosion, (4) water a

poor drainage, (s) shallow, droushy, or  
stony, and (c) climate too dry.

?The capadis

¥ classificstion unit for each coil is Listed in the ?cuide

Fe Mapping Units" and at the end of the mapping unit description in the section,

?scription of So

's" in the Soil Surveys of Puerte Rice (6-21).

?The soils of Puerto Rico were grouped by Vicente-Chandler (5) in 1978 in  
accordance with their agricultural potentiel. The grouping is staple and

usable within the framework of the soil mapping units of the land capability

of

ification of the SCS. The soils are divided into five groups (Table 4).

This grouping has served as the basis for the modern agriculture program now being carried on by the Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, It is a very useful grouping that can be used as a base for land-use assignments of crops,

silviculture, and other agricultural enterprises including energy crops.

---Page Break---

review of the status of the land-use inventory of Puerto Rico

of both

our planning. The Department of Natural Resources made a land-

use inventory of the IsLané inventozy was sade from aerial

Photogearhs and teo cosmutor proctams which alioyce deteraination of the laud

use to a detail of 62 acres, hey obeoined ageicuteural acreagex of 1,176,816

and forest 102,278.

The acreage of agricu

yeai laud sulcable for echanization te an

ingottant factor in ?aicause decisions. Vicente-Chandler (5) estivated

337,000 total acres (276,000 availabi« acres allowing 20% off for coads, housing,

ete.) of Land that can be used for fruit mechanization, This is primarily class T

Land and the derivation of adequate land available, Sonnet (22) stated that some 523,191 acres, about 244 of Puerto Rico's total cropland, are

suited for mechanized cultivation of sugarcane, whether for sugar or for total

biomass (Table 5). This includes losses

TI, and some 21 million acres

slopes 20% or less.

te

5. ecological

?The Puerto Rican ecosystems are extremely important, because they form a

Substantial component of the Life-support system for over three million people.

Evel and Whitmore (16) mapped the ecological Life zones for Puerto Rico

According to the Holdridge system, They identified six distinct Life zones

Which are also widely represented in Central and South America, Detailed

emphasis was given to ecological zone features, water balance, and biotemperature theory, 90 that research done in Puerto Rico, Central America and South

America will be mutually beneficial.

---Page Break---

?The area occupied by the ecological Life zones in Puerto Rico are (15)!

Subtropical Dry forest 300,578 ha

Subtropical moist forest 1,315,105 ha \*

Subtropical wet forest 325,087 ha

Subtropical rain forest 3,262 ha

Subtropical lower montane wet forest 26,959 ha

Subtropical lower montane rain forest

3,939 ha

?Subtropical? mont

and wet forest zones occupy 57% of the total Land

area in Puerto Rico.

More thorough study has been given to

streams of Puerto Rico and

the Ww

San Juan:

than 40 and other tropical regions, the ecological studies

of Puerto Rico prior to 1900 were summarized by Wiesvorth (17) in 1950,

Morphy (18) was one of the first to provide detailed &



vegetations of Puerto

Rico's forest in 1916. A classification

description of the island's plant communities

was published by Cowell and Gleason (29) in 1926, which still serves as a standard

reference work. In 1965 Tansley (20) published phyogeographic and floristic

descriptions of more than 100 vegetation types in Puerto Rico

and designated

six principal vegetation

ive zones conditioned primarily by climate

secondarily

by either physiography or plant zonation. Publications with accompanying maps of vegetation were issued by Little and Wadsworth (21) in 1964, by Wilfong

(22) in 1967, and by Odum and co-workers (23) in 1970.

6. chivas

A meaningful land-use analysis of

Puerto Rico should include a brief

discussion of local climate, for its climate, plus

humidity as related to rainfall

and evaporation, i

juences a great deat of the agricultural usage of the land.

---Page Break---

-ue

J, wndfora, oceanic elinase, and om

arly ideot

& heavy precipitation over most of che area. The highest  
peaks and the northern snd eastern cides of the acuntain ronges receive the

ts

low rainfall (Fig. 3). The southern side, northwestern corner.

shoreline and the interior valleys receive the least.

The average annual rainfall ranges from

less than 30 inches in the south

to more than 200 inches on the highest peaks in

Sierra de Guadalupe (Fig. 4). There are no definite seasons, but rather a rainy

period from May to November and a dry period the other 6 months. Throughout

the winter, however, many showers occur,

the heaviest torrential rains take place in the summer.

The high evaporation rate combined with high temperatures, low relative

humidity and constant winds tends to cause semi-arid conditions even where the

average annual rainfall is 45 inches. A rain

fall of 39 inches in Puerto Rico

is equivalent in effectiveness to about 15 inches in the United States. Many

of the agricultural stresses on the southern coastal plain have evaporation rates

much higher in comparison to their rainfall during the dry weather

that

in other seasons, and irrigation is necessary:

?even in areas having an average  
annual rainfall of 65 inches (Fig. 5).

The water available from dams, wells, and rivers must face competition  
with domestic and industrial consumption, thus depicting supplies available for  
irrigation. A water balance for the semi-arid southern region reveals an  
average 5 million gallons per day deficit (Table 6).

?The monthly range is 5°F from summer to winter

temperatures range no more  
with a yearly mean average temperature of 77-79°F on the coastal plains to

71-74°F in the mountains. The temperature seldom rises higher than 90 and even

---Page Break---

in the highest, coolest parts of the

id, it seldep fal1s below 50°F.

Sunshine occurs daily over uost of Lie Island wits the exception of a fev days each year.

Temperature ané sunlight are not Linicing factors for agricultural produe-  
ton in Puctrs Rico. Rainfall is Lisiting in some areas of the south and  
southwest coasts during the winter moaths, but {rrigacion, when available,  
compensates for this water deficit.

L.O-USE POTE

Ns Agetouteuran

Puerto Rico's past was cents

2 in ageieulture, Since the days when the

Island was first settled by the Spanish, the people have been concerned with producing agricultural crops. Up until the twentieth century, the agriculture in Puerto Rico, as in most of the Caribbean, was based on large farms of

plantations, owned by a few, growing sugarcane, tobacco, cotton, and fruits for

export. Domestic food was grown on small plots by the farm laborers.

In 1900, when Puerto Rico became a U.S. possession, Congress passed a law limiting land holdings to no more than 500 acres by any individual or corporation. The law was not enforced, and large land holdings continued. Some of the agricultural policies of the U.S. government in the early 1900's were

applied to the island, with some variations.

Reforestation programs beginning in

1923, the WPA programs in the 1930's, and the Farm and Home Administration Program of family farms of 30 to 40 acres in 1948.



?The change of political climate in the early 1940's, with the beginning  
Of the Popular Democratic Party of Muñoz-Marín, marked the start of the local  
Puerto Rican agricultural policy. In 1961, the island's legislature passed  
the land law (Ley de Tierras). It reaffirmed the 500-acre Limitation law.

---Page Break---

At this time, sugarcane occupied over 70% of the crop acreage with the major

part being controlled

by large companies whose shareholders were not in Puerto Rico,

?The 1941 Land Law established the Land Authority of Puerto Rico, a semi-

autonomous, part of the

for the Rice Department of Agriculture.

5 tasks were to end the

operate. To help small farmers, encourage

poor farmers and make best use of the lands for public good under efficient and

economic production, the Land Authority handled the disposal of the large

by Proportional Zoning

area (Title IV) consisting of

large commercial areas and sugar mills organized and run by the Authority

devoted mainly to sugarcane; small plots (1-7 acres) were distributed to low income families (Title V); and family farms of up to 25 acres were sold to farmers (Title VI).

as

\* the creation of the Land Authority in 1941, the island's agriculture was subjected to many plans, programs, and reports evolved to help formulate a sound and dynamic agricultural policy. High points identified by year, are

summarized as follows:

1953 = "A Comprehensive Agricultural Program for Puerto Rico"

" drawn up by Dr.

Ns Koenig, USDA, with the cooperation of

This study was not thorough in its ex

amination of soil erosion, water use and

control, reclamation, forest and grassland resources, as well as its analyses

of agricultural credit, marketing problems, farm size, unemployment in rural

areas and the problem of land use and taxation. Many of the technical findings

and conclusions recommended remain useful

and valid today. Nevertheless, the

study's usefulness as a guide to the formulation of a development strategy for

the

country was weakened, because it incorrectly assessed the impact of factors

external to agriculture, mainly industrialization. Wrong conclusions were

Gram, because it divorced agricultural planning from the mainstream of develop

ment decision for the Island as a whole.

---Page Break---

ure of Puseto Ri

1966 = "the kgete

fom and Possibilities" (25)

presented by thy Se:

yoof age/tactuve Me ts

nicz-Agrete in collaboration

with vazives loco! scientists. Tha vepurt mace voromendacione concerning

agriculeural policy ard

utlnad a congran of setter for devel!

pment of many

aspects of

Teore Snstuting ?emang of

Tunds, conservation of water,

soils, and forestry, drainage projects, and increasing the agricultural budget.

Parts of the program were the Jat

ion, but not with unified Long-range

Planning.

period, various agricultural secretaries have given

impulse to districts! parts of

s program and assess which criteria are the

uations of the time {2 off/cr.

Agricultural Land #9 Suet. leo", = study by

the Lelsod Manning Soa.? ané Agricultecat Senet).

?The repores was dawn up to examine the probtens affecting sgt iculture? Land

ownersh{p and t9 recone! ype

te uearures 8 to x0aing oF aon-eoning

laws for ice prose



me A prodectiviyy Inger wan developed te serve an a

basis cor farm classifieution. Tine nermitied che study to envelop only

tex for 1963 date with dotntle for the Yaysguee area. The report contains

8 good generat descrinsisn of crome and taney

phy {Sy pp LOI-LSt) and a ceview

of soit ares characteristics (3, Anpexcix 4

No oni

What action was taken

for this report by government authorities despite the clear need for a clear

policy on zoning to preserve the good agricultural lands of Puerto Rico.

## 2 Agriculture

It appears that the agricultural policy and planning for Puerto Rico for

about 75 years has been one of lack of continuity. The past policies were

limited to responding to a succession of crises in different sectors rather

than any long-range planning. The island's agriculture has

not become modern,

---Page Break---

nor efficient. deapére co

y avjactions of funda vis cube:

hase qsrter Uf rhs cwontieth ce:

into botonce var os

A comprehensive review

Progress for a Yodern

"Agriculture and the Teo" (5° was developed by J. Vecente-Grandier with the

and of mainly ?oon. cevantints and subeited to the Commonwealth Department

Agriat!

46 guide in Developing 2 complete long-range plan for agricul

tare. The ndor ?heads of the 9

4 that Puerto Rico requires a modern

program to fully

water, and year round

5 of the food it now imports, improve its economy by

stimulating domestic agriculture: increase education, and provide employment, but not

the price of raising

oote.

does on

The repo wely on generalities, Ti presents definite recon

mendations a5 to croy and f

needs, econamis demands,

farm employment, snd a tire-fyane for development by 1688

tive ig to octulate food prodsetion and minimize 4

product:

the goals based on modern Farm with agronomic supervision to bring the

modern technologies to the farmer.

The plan has designated

some drastic changes in land use, as the modern

agriculture develops over the next 19 years (table 7), The area in sugarcane

will be markedly reduced and will be limited to completely mechanizable lands

The new rice, vegetables axé bean enterprises will be established on completely

mechanizable land.

Soybean and hay production will be expanded on the

mechanizable lands where they are now concentrated. The production of pigeon

peas and starchy vegetables will be transferred from sloping lands to

---Page Break---

- ee

mechanizable 36)

the industry will expand, The tehasco

dustry



WALL dicavpesr. The procuction of coffee, cittrs, sananss, and plantains

will be cenceutralcct on moderately steep so:

wit industry will

be developed on soit,

?5 land fm the semiarid egien, the production of

voultry, digs, and crnaventals will cpand nustly en soils with moderate

slopes in che ne

4 region enJ on nechaazaste but very sendy soils. Com

Bercia? forests will bo developed msclly of steep, deep soils which are at

present largely at

erdoaei, Aquaculture witi be develcnes in appropriate areas

ang the very atuey

Y shalinw soils will be hep: cn satural woodlands

tn Table A, che total acreage for eacts one!

of the enterprise, the

production costs projected for 1988, and the farm values are presented. Total

value of agricultural production at the farm level

is projected to increase

from \$421.3 million in 1976 to \$793 million in 1988. The plan presents yearly production costs of Labor, materials and other items for the different agricultural enterprises in 1988 (5, Table (43)). They point out that enterprises

with high labor costs have

2 greater impact on the e:

Story of the Ysland than

Of those whose costs are mainly for materials, they estimate that every dollar in farm wages has a 1.8 multiplier effect on the total national product,

and that each job in agriculture

creates another opportunity for employment

in other phases of the economy. Attention has been given in the plan as to

the needs of the farmer for credit,  $\phi$

technical incentives, services and materials,

marketing and food conservation and processing.

As one reads the modern agricultural plan, the thought comes to mind,

"If only this had been done years ago". in

context of normal times, this

agricultural plan appears to provide a definite plan with proper regard to

---Page Break---

-ue

Jan 4 use and the people, both country and urban, Possibly two obstacles may

be difficult to overcome in the near future, despite the recognition and

allowances made by the planners. There is a shortage of water for full

serfiteural potential and is used for more highly irrigated, motivated, and  
disciplined farmers and farm laborers

Unfortunately, we are not in « context of normal times. An energy crisis affects all of our planning. Thus, the modern agriculture must try and bring the present and future energy needs of the Island into its concepts, plans, and programs. This #

important matter will receive further attention in the

Bionass part of the report.

(2) Human Resources Puerto Rico's human resources

islands comprised a population

of 3.47 million in 1979, projected to be estimated 3.58 million in 1986 (5).

The island area is 3,435.61 miles and will not grow any larger. This gives a

Population of 1,010 people per sq.

Le, giving it a population density greater

than Japan and about the same as Taiwan. It becomes impossible to make any decisions in land use for agriculture without consideration of this average population density.

An average of 47,000 out of 718,000 persons exist

in agriculture, The majority of the

over 44 years old and have an average of 4 years of schooling. On the other hand, more than half the population of the island is less than 19 years old and more than 400,000 workers are high school graduates.

The modern agricultural program in 1958 will employ about 47,000 persons throughout the year (Table 5) including farm workers,

farmers, and farm managers. An additional 40,000 jobs will be generated from

this agriculture giving a total of 88,000 jobs.

---Page Break---

The medors agracet fe Puerto Bice Sas the necessary

tesourses En divas

st en effective aoe consimuovs effort is

reeuited

In bse requivad die chills. Ae pointed

out before. ©



oc nnd disci ps

resents an obstacte to

© rated for fn the praweaa.

(9) Fem

Bi Tbs recive ageieutiarat jo" Lutes v7 iuerie Seo sfnce 1900

have trie! to lest with far

Mictorically, beesuse of

8 ugeicutearal

past, tae bess tots wore Zormed into Large teltinge with onal? fare being

im total acroage. Variiss auministesticns have tried

vom. Anege versus seat? fens she

ngs. The government via

yeed Jo create geali faens usin, ?stir ¥ ané VE grants.

AL present, £200 iy the covered

see of the cour industry, the government

Recent ince heer.

?The farm sizes for the island in 197e are given in Table 2. over 86t of

the farms were below 30 acres, but this represented only 24% of the total farm

acreage, There were 72 farms (2.9% of total number of farms) over 260 acres

Some represented 50% of the total farm acreage, later=

recently, compared to 1950, the number and acres

of small size farms are

decreasing and the large-size farms increasing.

Modern agriculture requires

for large-size farms for certain crops (sugarcane,  
rice) and small-size farms can do well in intensive and specialized crops and

enterprises (consentals, poultry). The modern agriculture? plan appears to

be working £

a he:

Of various farm sizes suited to th

stop of enterprise

The political advantage of small-size farms must be

weighed against the

practical reality of the skills of the farmer, his resources, and the viability

of the agricultural system he must deal in.

---Page Break---

as

The forests of Puerto Rico have played an important role in protecting

streams, reducing the amount of soil

erosion and water resources by silt

pateral Jest wo! protenging runoff into periods of

dey woachor, Wher che Leland wae colonived in the eacly 1550", it wes com

for farms was oon begun. By

ty covered with forest, but clearing Tan

1930, nnat 9? the ror-ccs laid bee cut. Sure of these areas were abandoned and

were taken over Sy ?n"erior velustece species.

About 1. million acres of Land are available for forest cover in Puerto

Rico OD. 1 ory (13) showed fine wood growth at

682,000 rattered crown 32,065 acres, sclié crowm cover 264,493

acca cud public Forests 125,67 acvee. Commerciisl and non-cosmerciul forests

inctuding the Cacibbean tacfoaal and Comonwealth forests requires about 50%

cimber stond luproveaent or reforestati:

Woodtané-cuinabie groups have boer compited ay the

9 their soil surveys

for Puerto Rico to ausist in planning the use of the soils for Koud crops.

Woodland management taplus include each specific data as voodiand suitability,

soil group anc sap symbols potential productivity efving suitable trees and

avorago yearly growth per acre in board feet un! the hazards and Limitations

that effect managenenc, including seed sortality, erosion nazard and equipment

Linitation, Using the zoils for forests Javelves ware in changing of some

natural non-connercial forests to comere:



1, the protection of other non-com

mercial forests in their natural state, and the planting of non-forested areas.

About \$250 million worth of wood prod

ce are imported yearly; yet, there

is no local wood industry. The modern agricultural program recommends that

220,000 acres of the 280,000 acres of the mountainous steep, deep soils be

established in conversion! Forests over the next years. Forestry experts have

---Page Break---

recommended that Honduras since @: ) a3 dest for

commercial growth in the Rice. these forests will produce wood with a value

of \$63 million yearly and will create 4,000 jobs in the field

of

1. Introduction

Up until the 1970s, any land-use analysis study of Puerto Rico would

concern itself with areas of food crops, pastures, and forests for its various

uses. The world energy crisis has added a new dimension to any planning, be

it political, economic, or agricultural:

crops, a new word has

been added: Biomass, Biomass can be defined as plant material which has the

ability to convert

radiant energy of the sun to chemical energy and to

store this energy in recoverable forms (fermentable and combustible solids).

Thus, biomass is an agricultural crop gives man a renewable energy source to replace dwindling supplies of fossil fuels.

There are two principal groups of biomass: herbaceous annual species and

woody perennial species. The herbaceous group includes the major part of our

agricultural crops such as sugarcane, forages, grains, vegetables, and starch crops

Food crops. The perennial group includes such crops as coffee and citrus, but

most woody plants are forestry (or silviculture) species.

Biomass becomes available for energy sources by burning its combustible

solids as a boiler fuel, converting its fermentable solids to alcohol based

fuels, use of pyrolytic methods to ~

lucose gas and Liquid fuels, and development

of chemical conversion of cellulose and hemicellulose complexes to alcohol of

chemical feedstocks. Thus, agricultural

land planning in Puerto Rico must consider

such crops as sugarcane, tropical forage, and aquaculture, not only for their

conventional uses, but for their energy potentials.

---Page Break---

Puerto Rico imports over 96% of its energy requirements as oil. With

the world energy crisis and prices soaring, the expenditure for oil

in 1976 was 1.4 billion (US). Food expenditures for imports amounted to \$500 million for the same period. Moreover, food prices are rising at a more rapid rate than food crop prices. As a country which does not have the resources to produce both its &

energy and food crop requirements, choices will have to

be made. Any adequate land-use analysis for Puerto Rico must give serious

consideration to biomass as part of land presentation.

Biomass Energy Se

The consider:

traditional crops as biomass energy sources requires

that eradic

that agronomic practices be replaced by more sustainable practices

seek to obtain highest yields per unit area of energy-potential materials,

rather than beac

evaluated uses as food, fiber, or construction material. For

example, economic

is not possible with conventional

yields of 30 tons of sugarcane per

acre, but biomass managed sugarcane yielding

90 tons per acre? economic feasibility, Nontraditional energy cropping

includes non-inten

five biomass crops on marginal lands with minimum tillage and

use of weeds and grass clippings as energy sources.

With each crop presented in the following section, an estimate is given of

the maximum power



the acreage and oil regional location available within Limits

of sound agronomic practices including erosion and water control. The suggested

acreage allocation (Table 10) does not imply that total biomass conversion of

Yuerto Rican agriculture is recommended; rather, they serve as guides to the  
reader for possible use in planning studies, Nor does the acreage given mean

that all of it is su:

able for the mechanization needed in planting, harvesting,

drying, and transporting the Sorass crop. Where possible, the dry-matter

---Page Break---

bonies production per acre and totals WEI be given, any vel? as potencia?

most equiviliuts of the sacerte! prod

A Mobile Money app is made to

Give direct economic incentives, as it has been the shape of this report.

G8,20), say

©) Suggestive of the

consolidation of volume was

4 Increase over the average

use of cane tops and Leaves

contribute about 30% more biomass than just millable cane stalks. The fiber

content is better. See table showing that any variety can have higher fiber

content. The fermentable solids can be used for ethanol production directly

from the cane juice or from molasses. Thus every 169 tons of cane yields

about 130 tons of fermentable solids (30% of fresh leaves and tops)

Which gives 22 dry tons of biomass casabie 9 189 tons TU

equivalent to 36,000 kWh of electricity; thus about 1,600 gallons of ethanol from

one ton of enriched molasses, sufficient to replace 1,600 gallons of gasoline.

(©) Tropi Tropical wares, other c

Promise as maximum biomass producers. Napier gears 4

Deen shown by Alexinier to be capable of producing actually more biomass

tonnage than sugarcane in a 6-month harvest period: (31). It can be designated

as an intermediate rotation crop suited in areas not appropriate to sugarcane,  
because of length of growing season available or other growing conditions such  
as rainfall.

A crop appropriate for a short rotation is the hybrid forage, Serdan,

Serdan 70A, crosses between avicel sorghum and Sudan grass

Alexander (31) has

obtained an average of 3.4 tons per acre projected over every biomass in 2-month

---Page Break---

-3e

harvest intervals and 4.3 tons in @ 6-month harvest interval. Serdan 7CA is

versatile (with short cropping period to fit in rotations with many food crops.

"Wild Saccharum clones (US 67-22-2 and SHS 51) and Javanese grass (Sorghum  
Yalegenae) have been suggested for utilization on marginal  
soil where lack of water is predominant (30). They can produce moderate

the barest minimum of production inputs.

Great potential for Puerto Rico's forests have never

been realized through management of "energy plantations", However, Whitmore and

Lexmiter (42) foresee any dimension and change in the production of ota?

Gey master for energy conversion rather

1p tumber. 4 pilot research project

has been estimated £9 the USUOE, Fuels from Biomass Systems Srench. Potential

∅ projections of 18 tons dry matter/acre/year for tops

rain forest

1 forests have been suggested (33). Species thought

Certain species of the genera Euphorbia, Salotrovis, Ceyptensowin, and  
Zarthenium might also be grown on marginal lands because of their high contents

of plant hydrocarbons (32) rather than as a biofuel

3. A Bay

ness Food and Energy Cropping

agricultural planners

Puerto Rico must weigh carefully the merits of

energy planting versus food planting for the island's available land resources.



?There are about 270,000 acres of level, mechanizable land for either cropping system or a combination of both. This is the best land and is capable of

---Page Break---

=m

producing the highest yields of food or energy crops. Yet compromise can prevail to give a rational combination of both,

Some suggested examples of possible biomass land uses compatible with a

modern agriculture program are:

1. The proposed 70,000 acres &

sugarcane in the modern agriculture

plan can produce, instead of sugar, a molasses, boiler fuel (bagasse) and oxalic acid

molasses sufficient to supply all the needs of the Puerto Rico rum industry,

with an apprecial

surplus which can be converted to alcohol for gasohol, saving  
for imports of both molasses and motor fuel.

2. The use of tropical grasses such as Napier on the humid, deep soils  
with 20-25% slope could provide more BTU's of energy as a boiler fuel than all

of the 522,000 acres of soils that could be planted to sugarcane (Table 10)

Including the best

features for mechanization. Of course all

the 554,000

acres of the humid, deep soils with 20-25% slope can not be used for Napier  
but aside from slope, the soils and climate are good for Napier grass production.

3. The use of Sordan 70A, not only in the semiarid gentle rolling hills  
for three crops per year, but in any cropping area where there is fallow of

2:3 months before planting the next crop (such as for vegetables).

4, The use of silviculture to produce boiler fuel instead of Lumber.

?There are about the same number of BTU"s available on these non-food croplands as on those available for sugarcane energy crop production (Table 10). The

steepness of some of the 736,000 acres would prevent its use for biomass produc

tion, If we allow 29% of the land as inaccessible for harvest, we would obtai

589,000 acres of woodland yielding 4,712,000 dry tons of biomass fuel. Of

course, los:

ties and economics of handling the woody material will have to be

developed, but this energy crop can not be ignored in a balanced food: energy

crop program.

---Page Break---

- a5

5. The use of certain species of genera Euphorbia, Calotropis, Ceystotegia, and Parthenium to produce plant hydrocarbons on marginal Lands Guystotegia, and Sarthenium

in the

inland area with about 230,000 acres in steep and shallow soils.

More research is needed on the yields, convertability, and economic value of this type of crop for energy. At present these soils are not productive for any economic crop.

6. The use for boiler fuel of grass clippings and weeds collected from

corn rather

the grass median barriers and borders of the Island's autopista by than being left on the roadside or trucked to the nearest municipal dump,

There are about 1200 acres

ailable that could give yearly 4800 tons of dry-

bionass fuel (35).

7. The ace of cho rice straw in the proposed rice enterprise for boiler

fuel i

fn the rice drying and processing plants (34). One thousand acres in rice

?can produce 4,000 tons of dry rice straw equal to 60 million BTU's,

---Page Break---

-%-

REFERENCES.

Roberts, R. C, 1942. Soil Survey of Puerto Rico. USDA series 1936 in

?cooperetion with Univ. PR-AES, 503 pp + sof! mans.

2 Beinroth, F. U. 1969. An outline of the geology of Puerto Rico. AES-UPR  
Bull. No. 213.

3+ Tield, R. M. 1958. The preservation of agricultural lands in Puerto Rico.  
Submitted by Haines, Lundberg & Wachler to PR Planning Board and Agric.  
Council. June. 205 yp + append. 14% pp.

Young, S. N. 1967. A geographic classification of landform types of  
Puerto Rico. Symposium on the Geography of PR. Quoted (3.p-98).

5, Vicente-Chandier, J. 1978, Concepts, plan, and program for a modern  
agriculture in Puerto Rico. Commonwealth PR Dept. Agric. Saneurce, PR.  
(Go Spanish with English summary pp 498-540).

Boceheciap, R. A. 1977. Soil Survey of the Hunacao Area, Eastern Puerto Rico.  
USDAPSCS in coop. UPR-CAS. Jan. 1977, 103 pp. + soil map

7. carter, O. R. 1961, Lajas Valley. Series 1961, No. 623, USDA-SCS in coop. UPR-AES, April 1965, 170 pp. + soil map. (in English and Spanish).

8. Gierbolini, & E. 1975. Soil survey of the Mayaguez Area of Western Puerto Rico. USDA-SCS in coop. UPR-AES, Dec. 1975. 296 pp. + soil naps (English and Spanish).

9. Gherbolini, R. E. 1973. Soils of the Sur Conservation District and Their Interpretation for Various Uses. USDA-SCS. Feb. 1973, 45 pp. + naps-

10, Gierbolini, R. E. 1973. Soils of tho Caribe Conservation District and ?Their Interpretations for Various Uses, USDA-SCS April, 1973. 48 pp. + soit

LL, Bocchecionp, R. A. 1978. Soil Survey of San Juan Area of Puerto Rico. USDA~SCS'in coop. UPROAES. Nov., 1978, 14! pp- > soll maps.

12, Bonnet, J. A. 1978, Suitability and managenent of Puerto Rican soils for

intensive bionass production. Symposium on alternate uses of sugarcane

for development in Puerto Rico. Caribe Hilton Hotel. San Juan, P. R-

March 25 ané 27.

13. Lugo-Lipez, M. and L. II. Rivera. 1976. Taxonomic Classification of the soils  
?of Puerto Rico, 1975, UPR Exp. Stas Bull. 245.

14, Logo-Lépez, M. and L. M. Rivers. 1977. Updated taxonomic cl:

ification of

the soils of Puerto Rico. UPR-AES Bull, 258,

---Page Break---

as.

26.



v7.

2

2.

2.

25.

26.

2.

28.

Anon. 1973, inventario de excursiones naturales,

PAR, Dest Natural Resources. (Compton print

Library, Thetisville 0: tropical forestry)

Buel, J. J. and S. L. Whitno

Rico and the U.S. Virgin

Paper 17-15. In,

ecological life zones of Puerto

Rico Forest Service Research

Station, Rio Piedras, Po.

Wadsworth, F. S. 1950. Notes on the

destruction of the

23847,

forests of Puerto Rico and

the Virgin Islands. Carib. Forest.

Murphy, I. Forests of Puerto Rico, past, present, and future, and their environment, USDA Bull. No. 384, Washington, 3. 1999

Cook, H. T. and A. Sleasor. 1926, Ecological survey of the flora of Puerto Rico. J. Agric, Porto Rico, 10

Dansereau, P. 1968. Studies on the vegetation of Puerto Rico. 1.

Description and integration of the

No. 1, UPR Institute of Caribbean Sc

ant» ?les Sncial Tub.

+ Mayaguee. 287 pp.

hitetes Jee ans F. 1, Kadsuoech, 19S6. Counea trees of Puerto Ries  
fang the Virgin Yslonds. Agricsitural Headbock No. 244, USDA Forest  
Servace. Vashingten, D.C. 908 pre

WidLiens, L. 1967.

CR 12-67, USDA-ARS,

Sourheast Asia, Puarte Rico, and Texas.

ington. 3. Cis 440 ppe

Odum, He Te 1970. A eropieat

USAEC, ?Oak bidge, Toan.

in forest, Division Technical Information,

Koenig, J. 1953, 4 Comprehensive Agricultural Program for Puerto Rico.

USDA in coop. Commonwealth P.R. 29) open

Hernandez-Agrosco, M. A. 1986, Las agriculturas de Puerto Rico y Posibilidades. Revista de Agricultura "sR. 93(1 +2) Bureau-Dees

Anon, 197, Timber. Prepared for the Advisory Committee of the Commonwealth Dept, Agriculture by the Institute of Tropical Forestry, USDA Forest Service, for Piedres.

Riverankfes, M. 1977. Statistical Yearbook, Puerto Rico, 1976. Commonwealth Of Puerto Rico Planning Board, San Juan, P-R.

Alexander, A. G. 1978. Sugar and energy attributes of the genus

Saccharus an overview. Symposium on alternate uses of sugarcane for  
development in Puerto Rico. Caribe Hilton Hotel, San Juan March 26

and 27,

---Page Break---

20.

20.

she

2.

23.

me

Alexander Sugarcane 60

Puerto Rico Hilton Hotel!

?peak 26 and 27.

ol grosees ae

S25<05-0512. Annual,

Report. 27%,

Waitmore, 7,

= of

seaare® profece

Wethinsten DC.

vicuttuce ?sicmass Carne. Vol. 1, Mitce Corp.

Semele, 3.1979. Fs

Rico.? Syupee i. 2:

sane fn food sud energy crop rataions ia Puerco

efor dove'arnant 4

ay arch: LD?

Hexander, a.

for Mert

Soon @° onenry Sones

---Page Break---



oe

! wy percewt oF store 2/

Percent of stove Area in Acres Percent of total

0-5 393,196 w

615 373,312 18.4

16-35 333,838, oy

nes, 372,372 38.

46-59 172, 266 8.4

coe 608,372

Teal 2,051,258

AY This excludes approximately \$2,550 acres in urban oF man  
ductive use as well as che land area of the islands of Culebra,  
Vieques, and Yona.

2/ Afvor Fiele (a).

---Page Break---

=

TABLE 2, A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE STEADY STATE ORDER OF BRO RICA SOTLS

FOR THE MEW TAMOKLE SYSTEM 2

Factors not developed

year (orgtlise)

ork

Individual with sttseate

clave

rorels

Oxisols reddish teow

sno {luvial hoctson

vieisols cloves subborizon nore

?acid then murface

AU exived fron (22)

2 Very rare in Puerto Rico

Feature

affected by {oct and high

Water tables

on stoping tence

soise having heh base scat

tah oa:

cracking and clayey

accumulation of AL no organic

Satter in second aut z0n

rmanic soit

acid with low base exehance

Capacity; alvances eeage =f

soatheriig: aixture of hydrated

oxides of Al or Fey oF seth,

With versable arounte of

Nactinieis clay ant insoluble

Gunrts soney good Uratnae

acid wish low Lage exchange

Stocus and capacity ia repione

OF Aigh rainfall; where Lescting

Sxceeda Saze Liberationy tow

perseasiticy

---Page Break---

USLE 3. ACREAGE £00 PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SOILS BY ORDER IN THE SIX SOIL

Sune AtzAs' OF PoeRTD #160 27

Acreeges and percent détribution for Arse

oxter lajasMayaguertomscho Arecibo Pesce

ratio! 169 yy Baas, aoe 3,970

ain wn ain Gio ay

Teceptieol ? 28,525 125,813 ym 1.636

Gry Gay ak6 ony

satieot saa 30,382 °

Go oe

wotieer 8,209 66,796 90

wo ey Gi,

Weretwot 25,346 ° ° 16,186

ay 63

Sree ° ° ° ° °

oxinad ° 21,199 ° aso

ay oo

heteor 4310 wera 28,369 asa

ay Gils Gay Gy

corners Agst 97.240 83,097 74,843 57,8998

aay iy Git) Ge SG)

Totele 102,609 460,853 470,202 son.aes 447,279 303,402

(260) ab)" ?soy? ?bo ?3? "hao

A/- derived from toonet (12, Table 7).

---Page Break---

THBLE 4. S00L APsOUECES oF oATO RICD cnovED BW ACcoRDAKCE WITH THEER  
seacoast Berea

Ay Sole of the fut constad region

Salle

AL beep, ativvial ets

Gupiscane, tice, scary vepseables)

Nevterone 123,408 103,326

Beep, ved sottè

(Gintappies, Pageosoean,

starchy vegetables, daffyie3) 18,112 94,490

AL. Sof of the

Gian

?cleus, dairying) 34,392 107,674



TOL, Soite of sho turt sountaie region

DL Reap soide vith SOR stoves

(cateer, plactsiery sananasy

tte, dhlsyias, beet cattle) 696,640 495,222

4B. Medioe deep aoiis with SO% slopes

(Comereiat ferent) 350,578 280,462

F. Shallow gotta or aoste S07 stopes

Gaearen'woodtandsy wliidlite, Fecveation) 283,106 227,585,

(satorat wecdiands, Sildit-e, veezeatfon) 101,740,408

TY, Soils of thw sunt-arte ceptor

N. been, tovets Nesity, Lerigable sits

(Gotiecene, bass Beane, few crope) ss.st0 ase

Serieasie vers tte

4 eone~sagced

toldvip leesaredon)

(eangot, avocados un! other fruits) saas2 39,322

K. \$teep shattow sotte

(aatral vocdtanday wilelife and recreastion) 220,016 230,016

V. Side of she coustat toviande

Le suting cena, mary ov sandy

(Gquacultate, ecological Feserves) 26.850 26,050

2,109,026. 1,817,415

A/F discounted for wren, induatrioly Tow

groupe fund Le

---Page Break---

=a

TABLE 5. ACREAGES AIO) PERCENT DISTRISUTION, BY ORDER, OF HECHAKIZED AND  
ON-MECHASIZED SOILS OF PUERTO RICO 1/.

order Mochanizet \_\_Non-mechanized Totad

Entisol 61,286 (2.8) 37,208 a.) 98,492 (4.5)

Tnceptisol 118,190 (5.4) 726,652 (32.2) 8AL82 (38.6)

Alfisot 45,963 @AY 45,969 A) 92,926 (4.29).

setlisen 96,303 (4.4) 120,379 (3.5) 226,682 (9.9)

vereisot 52,529 (2.4) ° 52529 2.4)

Spedasot 2,189 (0.1) ° 2189 (0.1)

Histosol 4,377 (@.2) ° 4,377 (0.2)

oxtaot 41s86 G9) 4327 (0.2) 5913 (2D

uieiso! 11,626 (5.3) 396,187 (28.2) 507,781 (29.2)

Totals 534,065 (24.4) 1,930,735 (69-8) 1,864,781 (85.2)

Af darsved fr08 Bonnet (12, Tabte 13)

B/ Sunbers in parontheses refer to percentage distribution by Order.

---Page Break---

{WOUE 6. AVASLAMLE WATER aAGAHCe TOR THK SIMARD RUCION OF PUENZD AICO

(EXMLLDIG

{WE LAIAS VALLEY) IM HGLLIONS 9F GULENG oF WATER DATLE 1)

Municipality avaitebie 2/ Agriculture Doneath Etegetive Balance

mo eee os

poste os tee kts

? Boe

a

A) derived een (3,

ne 60),

---Page Break---

-3-

cosotr

200?05

000" cat

000

200:

8861 01 9261 MONA SanoxD 10S A8 36M GNVL NI SHORVED ?Lave

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

TABLE 9. NUMBER OF FARMS AND ACREAGE BY FARM SIZE, 1974 2/

size Number of Sams Acreage of farms \_

heres Actual ¥ of total Acres of total



Less than 32,782 Ms 4.061 0,3

?9 14597 38.9 59,8 4.9

10-18 5,083 20.5 Bur 6.6

19-48 5.283 ane 153,367 aa.

49-96 1,785 6.0 1812 9,7

97-169 285 ae mano

170-252 ae Ls 85,607 7.0

2534 sr 29 610,618 49.9

?TOTAL 29,650 100, 1,223,632 100

so

A/ Derived from (5, Table 454).

---Page Break---

oe a

scree Stl Netty an?

Sacarcone ?orep, tora aiswvisi 129,000 a7 2a, r02,000

Deep rod nace 3 aasat.ono 23,000

Hoag & doen, wesc a.ouro00 30,490

Deep aewcts arr, 9,000 a6 a. sss000 23,010

r BOSot 54000 a 2 192,860

fen 60,000 9188000 137,270

Silane Mads ctie tcp in 47 2. s0s,000 42,120

Shstov er 508 23,000 © aon 93,960

tage

41/ from cable 4, sotst seraa rounded off to cousinds,

2 099 tt ty tr mrs i

?Shiwviat sotigs 17 | tone/A projected trom 26 x 0.6) factor for rainfall only. fee deep

See Se Rs SS Ge obs

BB le Soa OPE dem

UF Aécér Alenaner (31) with ev t-nonth Map exe »

onde ditchen ct. x over factor form ierigatians Soran Tok tice Coesek sera

20"Cehala we OdS'S 8.67 tactors ? eenminetiis

1 Peon Tan (ODD: 1b tona/h x factor 047% toads end sot Location

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

\*(99°d"S) cong onsorg uy

HGvd PUR UOZMAGTS UseRZOq UOTIPLow ?C+ Bhy

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---