Title: Ecological Analysis of Spatial and Temporal Patterns of Pelagic Ecosystem Components Potentially Interacting with an OTEC Plant near Punta Tuna, Puerto Rico: Zooplankton Characteristics - Final Report

Submitted to: DOE/OHER

Editors: J.M. López and L.J. Tilly

Affiliation: Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, College Station, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico

Year: 1983

Center for Energy and Environment Research

Foreword: This document is part of the final report for the Department of Energy Project under Contract No. DE-AC05-76R01833, which includes five main sections: Physical Characterization, Plankton, Primary Productivity, Chemical Characterization, and Summary and Synthesis. The document is primarily organized as a collection of preprints of articles being submitted for journal publication. Once all sections are completed, the entire series will be combined as a five-chapter volume dealing with the environmental aspects of the siting, construction, and operation of an Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion Plant based off the south coast of Puerto Rico. The prime concern in these studies, as suggested by the title, is the relationship among the scale of distribution of natural phenomena, the scientific detectability of pattern, and the alterations of pattern likely to be caused by the hypothetical 100 MWe power plant adopted as the design unit.

Title: Spatial and Temporal Variations of Oceanic Zooplankton near Puerto Rico - Final Report

Submitted to: DOE/OHER

Authors: Paul M. Yoshioka, Gary P. Owen, Daniel Pesante

Affiliation: Center for Energy and Environmental Research, University of Puerto Rico, Marine Ecology Division, College Station, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico 00708

Abstract: Diel and bimonthly variations in horizontal distributions of selected zooplankton higher taxa and species were followed within the upper 100 meters off the south coast of Puerto Rico during 1980. Spatial pattern examined encompassed scales of kilometers to hundreds of kilometers. A series of oblique tows were conducted.

Nets with a diameter of 0.75 m and a 202 µm mesh were used to estimate zooplankton abundances, and hydorcasts were made to measure various habitat variables. The analysis of sampling variability relative to scale revealed a general lack of pattern associated with spatial scales larger than several kilometers. A statistically significant seasonal variability component was detected in most zooplankton categories, with maximum abundances occurring in July. The

observed seasonal pattern aligns with the hypothesis that the driving mechanism behind seasonality is the input of nutrients into the euphotic zone by upwelling along the periphery of large, low salinity lenses of surface water passing through the region.

INTRODUCTION

Ecological processes that organize zooplankton communities are both influenced by and influence the spatial and temporal patterns displayed by individuals and species. For this reason, the quantitative description of patterns is fundamentally important in marine ecology. These patterns can arise from a variety of causal mechanisms (Stava, 1971), and they are expressed over wide spatial and temporal scales (Cassie, 1963; Star and Mullin, 1981; Fasham et al., 1974; Wiebe et al., 1976; Bernal, 1961; and Johnson and Briton, 1963). Haurey et al. (1978) reviewed the association of patterns over a range of spatial and temporal scales with characteristic environmental processes. They defined six classes within a spatial continuum from 1 cm to 10⁴ km: mega-scale (>3000km), macro-scale (1000 to 3000km), meso-scale (100 to 1000 km), coarse scale (1 to 100 km), fine scale (1 to 1000m), and micro-scale (<1m).

The purpose of this paper is to describe horizontal patterns of abundance within the intermediate spatial scales (fine, coarse, and meso-scale) for some zooplankton components in the surface waters south of Puerto Rico. It also discusses temporal variation in this pattern relative to several parameterizations of the environment, namely salinity, temperature, oxygen, and chlorophyll. The major physical features of the eastern Caribbean are well-known and...

The text has been recently reviewed by Nowlin and Morison (1982). The survey area lies in the northeast corner of the Venezuela Basin. Surface flow in this region is complex, but the mean flow is to the west (Metcalf, 1976; and Fornshell, 1961). The upper 30 to 90 m of water with salinities generally below 40 --- Page Break --- 36.58, Caribbean Surface Water, has its origin in the North Equatorial and Guiana Current systems. It is formed from a mixture of these waters and large inputs of Amazon and Orinoco River water, carried into this region by prevailing winds and currents (Nowlin and Morison, 1982; Froelich et al., 1977). Seasonal variation in the depth of the mixed layer ranged from 90 meters in January to 30 m in September. Internal waves, oscillating with a period approximating a semi-diurnal tide, can cause daily variations of 20 to 30 m in the depth of the upper layer (Fornshell and Capella, 1982). Below the Caribbean Surface Waters lies the Subtropical Underwater. Extending down to approximately 200 m, it is characterized by a salinity maximum >37%, located at around 125 m. This water has its origin in the surface waters of the North Atlantic central gyre, and moves into the survey region through the Jungfern-Anegada Passage (Nowlin and Morrison, 1982). Seasonal variations in nutrients, salinity, temperature and oxygen are confined to these two upper layers. Values of phosphate-P, nitrate/nitrite-N and ammonium-N are near the minimum levels of detection throughout the year in the upper 100 meters. The nutricline starts at depths between 120 and 150 meters near the base of the Subtropical Underwater. Methods Sampling: Bimonthly collections of zooplankton and auxiliary environmental data were carried out between January and November 1980 within a 7.5 x 103 km² area south of Puerto Rico. The zooplankton were sampled with 0.75 m diameter, opening and closing nets of 202 µ mesh. Oblique tows were taken through the upper 100 m of the water column, a zone which encompasses the total vertical --- Page Break ---

The text covers the extent of the Caribbean Surface Water and varying portions of the Subtropical

Underwater. On average, a tow trailed a linear distance of approximately 1 km and filtered 377 m³ of water. Details of methods, times, and locations of sampling are available elsewhere (CEER, 1980 a-f). A brief description of the sampling design is presented here.

Zooplankton distribution patterns were investigated on three different horizontal spatial scales (Fig. 1). Within-station variability was assessed from a three-tow day/night replicate series taken within a 24 hr period at a site (Benchmark) 5 km SE of Puerto Rico (17°56.3'N, 65°51.5'W). Collections were made within a 15 km radius of this position, a spatial scale roughly corresponding to the "fine" pattern of Haurey et al. (1978).

A series of day/night samples was also taken within a three by two station grid (coarse scale grid) with inter-station distances of 6.4 km. The six stations of the coarse grid bracketed the Benchmark site. Mesoscale pattern was examined within a grid consisting of four north-south station transects spaced 50 km apart (65° 30' W to 66°48" W). Each transect contained four stations located from 5 km to 50 km offshore. Hydrocasts with 52 or 121 Niskin bottles were made to a depth of 1000 m at most stations for calculation of the baroclinic flow pattern within the survey region.

Water samples from these casts were used for measurements of salinity, oxygen, and chlorophyll a. Continuous temperature profiles were made through the upper 100 meters. These were supplemented by temperature measurements with paired reversing thermometers from the hydrocasts.

Data Analysis: The 196 samples taken during the survey have been analyzed for total zooplankton biomass, total copepods, total larvaceans, total chaetognaths, and the copepod species Clausocalanus fure: Bavo, and Oithona plumifera. The species selected for analysis are frequent and abundant within the study area. Literature review indicates that their

Vertical population distribution centers are generally located within the upper 100 m (Moore and O'Berry, 1957; Michael and Foyo, 1976; McGowan and Walker, 1979; Roe, 1972; and Zoppi, 1961). Zooplankton biomass was measured using a volume displacement method (Ahlstrom and Thrailkill, 1963). Subsamples for zooplankton were taken with a Stempel pipette after the contents of a sample had been randomly distributed by a series of stirrings and back stirrings. Replicate determinations of biomass measurements and zooplankton counts were not more variable than expected from a random (Poisson) distribution. Relevant information on subsample size and error estimates is summarized in Table 1. Examination of within-station variability indicates that the counting error is small relative to the field sampling error. Within each sampling scale, analysis of variance procedures (ANOVA) were used to determine variance components associated with seasonal and, when appropriate, station position, day/night,

and interaction effects. Preliminary analysis of the data revealed that sample variances were positively correlated with the mean. A log (X+1) transformation was performed to equalize the variances and normalize distributions. A procedure outlined by Steel and Torrie (1960) was used to correct for missing data points. The variance components generated by the ANOVA testing were used in a hierarchical analysis of variance relative to spatial scale to determine the intensity of pattern associated with different spatial scales (e.g., Grieg-Smith, 1964). In this analysis, it is assumed that the sample variance attributable to a given spatial scale is superimposed on the variability observed within the smaller scales. Due to these added variance components, overall

variability should increase with increases in scale if characteristic patterns exist on those scales. Correlations

The relationship between the environmental parameters and zooplankton spatial patterns was determined using the variance components associated with the within-cruise spatial and the bimonthly temporal scales. Relationships between pairs of variables were expressed as covariances and correlation coefficients (2) calculated from the following formulas: var $(x+y) = var (x) + var (y) + 2 \text{ Cov } (xy) = \text{Cov } (xy) / [var (x) x var (y)]^{(1/2)}, where x and y represent zooplankton data and environmental variables, respectively (Kershaw, 1960). Data used in this analysis were largely derived from the coarse and mesoscale grids since hydrocast for estimation of habitat variables and net tows at Benchmark were not taken concurrently.$

Additional parametric and non-parametric procedures used are described in standard statistics texts (e.g., Tate and Clelland, 1954; Sokal and Rohlf, 1968). RESULTS: Mean abundances per sampling event for the seven zooplankton categories are shown in Figs. 263. The results of the ANOVA testing for this set of data are found in Table 2. Although some caution should be exercised in interpreting these results, due to the potential for multiple testing effects, several trends are apparent. If an individual ANOVA probability for an "alpha" error p <0.001 is accepted, the "survey-wide" significance level is p <0.02. All categories showed either non-significant (P<0.05) or inconsistent day/night variations in abundance. There is no large variability component which can be associated with mass vertical migration. Excluding 0: plumifera, significant seasonal differences (p<0.001) were observed over all spatial scales in most zooplankton categories (Table 2). It is possible that the significant "temporal" variability is an artifact produced by interactions of spatial pattern and temporal effects within a given sampling scale (i.e., the presence or absence of fine scale patchiness at benchmark during a particular sampling episode) rather than "true" bimonthly temporal variations. To assess the possibility of

This effect having occurred, the agreement in bimonthly abundances among the three sampling scales was examined for each zooplankton category using Kendall's concordance test. Significant positive concordance was observed (p <0.01) within the six zooplankton categories having significance between cruise variation. Oithona plumifera was inconsistent in regard to spatial scale in its within cruise response. Since concordance is most easily explained by assuming similar changes in background, this result constitutes circumstantial evidence for true temporal changes in abundance between cruise periods.

Kendall's concordance method was also used to examine similarity in seasonal abundance trends within the groups showing within cruise concordance between the spatial scales. The sums of the ranks over the three spatial scales for each cruise from the preceding concordance test were used to rank each cruise and the Kendall's concordance test reapplied. Zooplankton biomass and total copepods were omitted from this analysis since these groups have as components all or some of the remaining entities.

There was significant agreement (p<0.05) among the remaining categories suggesting that zooplankton responds similarly to bimonthly changes within the study area. Student-Newmann-Keuls multiple range tests showed that densities tended to be higher for most categories in the sampling period after the surface salinity drop, July to November, with significantly higher abundances occurring in July. The size of the annual fluctuations were low. Ranges in the

mean bimonthly abundances varied from 3x for most higher taxa categories to 10x for avo, an amplitude roughly equivalent to the range in sampling variability within a cruise.

Spatial Effects: Significant station effects within a spatial scale were interpreted as an indication of stable pattern at that level (Lewis, 1978).

Within the mesoscale grid, significant station effects were observed for total larvaceans and total chaetognaths (Table 2). A posteriori examination of these results using the Student-Neuman-Keuls procedure showed that the significance originated from higher abundances at the inshore stations on the two westernmost transects. In a companion study run concurrently with this survey, a series of samples were taken from the insular shelf out to 2.5 km. These nearshore samples showed consistently higher biomass and abundance levels (CEER, 1980 af). At the inshore terminus of the western transects, this zone of higher abundances appears to have been shifted offshore due to a broadening of the shelf and possible outwelling effects from two nearby bays. The higher abundances of Larvaceans and chaetognaths in this region is a reflection of an abundance gradient associated with an "island mass effect" rather than a stable mosaic within the survey area (Jones, 1962; Sanders and Stevens, 1973; Gilmartin, 1974). Lack of significant station effects for a zooplankton category within a spatial scale does not, in itself, imply a lack of characteristic spatial pattern - only the lack of stable structure. Temporary pattern (ephemeral pattern due to spatial-temporal interactions) could still be present (Lewis, 1978). To test for the existence of ephemeral pattern, the sums of the squares and degrees of freedom for the day/night effects and cruise-day/night interaction effects for the Benchmark site and the coarse scale grid were added to their respective error sum of squares. The same procedure was carried out for the station effect sum of squares and degrees of freedom within the mesoscale grid. In most instances, this involved a pooling of non-significant mean squares. Error mean squares were then recalculated (Table 3). Assuming that the error mean square at the Benchmark site is an unbiased estimate of fine scale variability, increases in error mean square within coarse and mesoscale grids would be attributable to... (Page Break)

The text has been revised for clarity, punctuation, and spelling as follows:

The added effects of their stable and ephemeral pattern are noted. There is no evident general trend in variability. Increasing distances between samples are not accompanied by the introduction of any statistically detectable variance components that would be associated with a larger scale pattern. Excessively large, fine-scale sampling variability can produce a result that could be misinterpreted as a lack of larger scale patterns. This could happen by overwhelming the larger pattern variance components in a hierarchical analysis of variance. Antilogs of the square root of the mean squares at the benchmark site (Table 2) can be used as an estimate of sampling variability at a given site and time. Expressed on proportional bases to their respective means, these values ranged from 1.18x (total copepods) to 2.00% (Paracalanus cf parvus). This range is within the sampling variability in the literature values reported for net caught zooplankton observed in similar studies (Wiebe, 1971). The lack of a larger spatial pattern doesn't appear to be a statistical artifact. It seems that the oceanic environment off Puerto Rico is relatively uniform over the coarse and mesoscales examined, in the sense that little characteristic pattern, stable or ephemeral, is associated with these spatial scales.

Environmentally, cruise averages for the measured parameters (temperature, salinity, oxygen, and chlorophyll a) are plotted in Fig. 4. Maximum surface salinities were observed during March and

May. Surface salinities dropped in July, reaching a minimum in September. The observed seasonal variation follows the reported pattern for this region and is related to precipitation and Amazon-Orinoco River discharge within the Caribbean Surface Waters source regions rather than local runoff and precipitation (Froelich 1978). Surface temperatures' seasonal trends oppose surface salinities with minimum values recorded in February and maximum temperatures in September. Dissolved oxygen values are highest in January and March.

Cruises reflect the lower surface temperatures during this period. A sub-surface oxygen maximum around 75 meters was present during the May, July, and September cruises. This maximum was associated with a chlorophyll maximum and generally located below the thermocline. The seasonal maximum of chlorophyll a occurred in July. Relatively high values were also observed during the January and September cruises. In general, little correlation was found between the abundances of the eight zooplankton categories and habitat variables. Along and within cruise correlations are listed in Table 4. Working at a statistically significant level of p<0.06, two zooplankton categories showed significant correlations: zooplankton biomass with chlorophyll a on a seasonal basis and average with salinity and temperature on a within-cruise basis. The tendencies toward negative correlations with salinity and oxygen and positive correlations with temperature among cruises reflect the dichotomy in abundances between high and low surface salinity periods. A more interesting relationship in terms of a possible direct cause-effect interaction is the positive correlation between most zooplankton categories and chlorophyll a both on an among and within cruise basis. The relationship is most consistent and shows the greatest significance between chlorophyll a and biomass. Individual cruise linear regressions of chlorophyll a on biomass showed that the relationship between the two varied with respect to both slope and explained variability (Fig. 5). Higher slope values and less scatter are associated with cruises showing low mean chlorophyll a concentrations. The product-moment correlation coefficient between mean chlorophyll a concentrations and the slope of the regression lines was significant at the p<0.05 level, and at the 0.10 level with r2. In both respects, a closer coupling between phytoplankton and zooplankton is evident as the former decreases in abundance. Such an effect could

Development may occur if a quasi-equilibrium exists between phytoplankton growth, zooplankton grazing, and nutrient recycling, which is periodically destroyed by the advective input of nutrients into the euphotic zone (Sharp et al., 1980).

DISCUSSION

Whether temporal variations like those described in this study represent a "true" seasonality or short-term erratic variations caused by local biological or hydrographic conditions, has not been well-established for the eastern Caribbean (e.g., Calef and Grice, 1967; Beers et al., 1968; Lewis and Fish, 1969; Stevens, 1971; and Moore and Sanders, 1977). The uncertainty reflects both the lack of offshore studies with long-term time series sampling (more than 2 years), and the size of the sampling effort needed to detect the relatively small temporal variability observed.

The duration of the current study is too brief to directly address the problem of seasonality. However, several characteristics of the temporal distribution of biomass and abundances suggest that the zooplankton are responding to a strict seasonal cycle. Most zooplankton categories showed a concordance in their seasonal pattern, with the highest abundances occurring in the summer. The nature of the coupling observed between phytoplankton (chlorophyll a) and zooplankton biomass fluctuations suggest that hydrographic conditions leading to nutrient enrichment within the euphotic zone underlie the observed variation in both groups. Within any cruise, a general agreement exists over all spatial scales sampled on environmental suitability as expressed in the size of the plankton standing stocks. The forcing agent that produces variability between cruises seems to operate over large spatial scales affecting overall production rather than a few isolated components. This is consistent with the character of a true seasonal response.

Further evidence for regularly recurring variations of a seasonal nature of a more direct nature comes.

From other studies in the eastern Caribbean and western tropical Atlantic, Nutt and Yeamen (1975) reported similar seasonal trends in total zooplankton biomass and abundance levels at their offshore station during a year's study of the north coast of Puerto Rico.

65 In Barbados, Lewis (1962) and Kidd and Sanders (1979) also found higher summer zooplankton standing crops. Lewis and Fish (1969) reported higher summer densities in many zooplankton groups but concluded that the temporal changes in abundance resulted from local hydrographic factors. Conversely, Moore and Sanders (1977) found no strong evidence for strict seasonality. However, there is a noticeable trend in their data for large zooplankton fluctuations with higher peaks in late winter and summer. In the Caribbean, Calef and Grice (1967) observed a threefold increase in zooplankton from winter to summer season off the northeast coast of South America.

Seasonality in the oligotrophic subtropical waters of the North Atlantic central gyre has been linked to the yearly migration of the thermocline, with maximum phytoplankton followed by increases in zooplankton abundances occurring in the spring after nutrient enrichment by winter mixing of the surface waters to the depth of the permanent thermocline (Menzel and Ryther, 1960 and 1961; Deevey, 1971). This mechanism of nutrient enrichment may account for the relatively high chlorophyll concentrations during the January cruise, but would not explain the high phytoplankton and zooplankton levels during the summer when the mixed layer is shoaling and vertical stability is at a maximum.

Seasonal changes in the strength of the Caribbean current also occur, which can generate hydrographic conditions leading to increased productivity. Geostrophic data from the six cruises show a definite bi-seasonality in the current field with flow from May through September characterized by the presence of eddies and strong meanders (Fornshell, 1981). Mean dynamic heights increased

66

During this period, there was a steady increase. Eddies of this type have been associated with regions of higher production elsewhere in the Caribbean (Ingham and Mahnken, 1967; Powles, 1976). The mesoscale variability observed is comparable to or incorporated within the mesoscale sampling grid. Increases in production due to mesoscale turbulence should ultimately be reflected in higher mesoscale zooplankton spatial variability. However, no evidence of this phenomenon was observed within our study.

Tower salinities, indicating an increased presence of Amazon and Orinoco source water, have been associated with higher zooplankton abundances (Lewis et al., 1962; Kidd and Sanders, 1979 and Calef and Grice, 1967). In this study, no consistent correlation was observed between standing stocks within the various zooplankton categories and surface salinities. Peak abundances occurred at the initiation of the surface salinity shift, but declined thereafter while salinities continued to drop until September. This lack of correlation may reflect a nonlinear relationship between these factors.

Ryther et al. (1967) found that the low salinity waters originating from the Amazon runoff generally contained low nutrient levels (silicate remained at relatively high levels), negating the possibility of direct nutrient enrichment from this source. They suggested that upwelling of nutrient-rich water at the periphery of large anticyclonic eddies (with core diameters of 400 to 600 km) of low salinity water originating from Amazon runoff contributed to or caused the increase in plankton levels they observed.

Kidd and Sanders (1979) associated higher summer plankton standing stocks within the study area off Barbados with the nutrient enrichment that occurs as these low salinity "bubbles" move through the region. Maximum Amazon runoff occurs from May to June (Gibbs, 1970). Much of this outflow is diverted into the open ocean. Since surface waters from this region enter the eastern Caribbean, it is likely that large volumes of Amazon water are transported.

Into the Caribbean, the low salinity surface water contributes, passing through this region during the summer (Gordon, 1967; Forbes-Ortega and Heccere, 1976; and Mazeiba et al., 1980). Froelich et al. (1979) reported that the first appearance of this low salinity water south of Puerto Rico occurs in the June-July period, with minimum surface salinities occurring during the fall. This aligns with our observations. Upwelling associated with large, low salinity lenses as the forcing mechanism underlying the summer increases in plankton would be consistent with the peaks of chlorophyll a and zooplankton occurring in July. If the lenses maintained their initial spatial integrity, any upwelling accompanying them would occur on scales beyond those which could be distinguished as distinct patterns by this study. From the results of this study and others in the region, it seems clear that a true seasonal response occurs within the zooplankton assemblages in the eastern Caribbean. This variability doesn't appear to be the result of any instability within local hydrographic systems, but rather is forced by large scale physical changes affecting the whole of the eastern Caribbean. The question of the exact process or processes involved is yet to be resolved.

REFERENCES

Ablstrom, B.H. and J.K. Thrailkill (1963) Plankton volume loss with time of preservation. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Report, 9, 57-73.

Beers, J.R., D.M. Steven and J.B. Lewis (1968) Primary Productivity in the Caribbean Sea off Jamaica and the tropical North Atlantic off Barbados. Bulletin of Marine Science, 18, 86-104.

Bernal, P.A. (1981) A review of the low-frequency response of the pelagic ecosystem in the California Current. California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations Report, 23, 49-61.

Calef, G.W. and G.D. Grice (1967) Influence of the Amazon River outflow on the ecology of the western tropical Atlantic. II: Zooplankton abundance, copepod distribution, with remarks on.

"The Fauna of Low Salinity Areas." Journal of Marine Research, 25, 84-9. Cassie, R.M. (1963). "Microdistribution of Plankton." In Oceanography and Marine Biology, An Annual Review, H. Barnes, editor. George Allen and Unwin Ltd., London, pp 223-252.

Center for Energy and Environment Research. (1980). OHER-OTEC Cruise, 27 Jan-1 Feb, 1980. CEER Reference 0-070. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, Data Report, Mayaguez, P.R.

Center for Energy and Environment Research. (1980). OHER-OTEC. 1980. CEER Reference 0-078. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, Data Report, Mayaguez, P.R.

Center for Energy and Environment Research. (1980). OHER-OTEC. CEER Reference 0-083. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, Data Report, Mayaguez, P.R.

Center for Energy and Environment Research. (1980). OHER-OTEC. 1980. CEER Reference 0-087. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, Data Report, Mayaguez, P.R.

Center for Energy and Environment Research. (1980). OHER-OTEC Cruises, 1-28, 50. CEER Reference 0-114. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, Data Report, Mayaguez, P.R.

Center for Energy and Environment Research. (1980). OHER-OTEC Cruise, II-T6 Nov. 1980. CEER Reference 0-148. Center for Energy and Environment Research, University of Puerto Rico, Data Report, Mayaguez, P.R.

Peevey, G.B. (1971). "The Annual Cycle in the Quantity and Composition of the Zooplankton of the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda. 1. The Upper 500 m." Limnology and Oceanography, 16, 219-240.

Fasham, M.J.R., M.V. Angel, and H.S.J. Roe. (1974). "An Investigation of the Spatial Pattern of Zooplankton Using the Longhurst-Hardy Plankton Recorder." Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 16, 93-112.

Febres-Ortega, G. and L.E. Herrera. (1976). "Caribbean Sea Circulation and Water Mass Transports Near the Lesser Antilles." Boletin Instituto Oceanografico Universidad de Oriente, 15, 13-96.

Froelich, P.N., D.K. Atwood, and G.S. Geise. (1978). "Influence of Amazon River Discharge on Surface Salinity and Dissolved Silicate Concentration in the Caribbean Sea." Deep Sea Research, 25, 735-744.

Foenshell, J.A., J.M.

Lopez, J. and J. Capella (1981) Circulation Patterns in the Caribbean Sea South of Puerto Rico.

American Geophysical Union, Fall 1982 Meeting (Abstract). Fornshell, J.A. and J. Capella (1981) Temporal Variability in Conservative Physical Properties Off Punta Tuna, Puerto Rico. American Geophysical Union, American Society of Limnology and Oceanography Joint Meeting, Winter 1982 (Abstract). Gibbs, R.J. (1970) Circulation in the Amazon River Estuary and Adjacent Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Marine Research, 28, 113-132. Gilmartin, M. and V. Revelante (1974) The Island Mass Effect on the Phytoplankton and Primary Production of the Hawaiian Islands. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 16, 181-208. Gordon, A.J. (1967) Circulation of the Caribbean Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research, 22, 6207-6223. Greig-Smith, P. (1964) Quantitative Plant Ecology. Butterworths, London, 256.

Haury, L., J.A. McGowan and P.H. Wiebe (1978) Pattern and Processes in the Time-Space Scales of Plankton Distributions. In: Spatial Pattern in Plankton Communities, J.H. Steele, editor, Plenum, New York, pp. 329-354. Ingham, H.C. and C.V.W. Mahnken (1966) Turbulence and Productivity Near St. Vincent Island, B.W.I. A Preliminary Report. Caribbean Journal of Science, 6, 83-87. Johnson, M.W. and E. Briton (1962) Biological Species, Water-Masses, and Currents. In: The Sea: Ideas and Observations on Progress in the Study of the Seas, 2, M.A.N. Hill, editor, John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 381-493. Jones, B.C. (1962) Evidence of an Island Effect Upon the Standing Crop of Zooplankton Near the Marquesas Islands, Central Pacific. Journal du Conseil, Conseil Permanent International pour l'Exploration de la Mer, 27, 222-231. Kershaw, K. (1960) The Detection of Pattern and Association. Journal of Ecology, 48, 233-242. Kidd, R. and F. Sander (1978) Influence of Amazon River Discharge on the Marine Production System Off Barbados, West Indies. Journal of Marine Research, 37, 669-681. Lewis, J.B., J.K. Brundritt and A.G. Fish (1962)

The biology of flying fish Hirundichthys a (Gunther). Bulletin of Marine Science, 12, 73-94. A.G. Fish (1969) observed seasonal variation of the Zooplankton fauna of surface waters entering the Caribbean Sea at Barbados. Caribbean Journal of Science, 9, 1-21. Lewis, W.M. Jr. (1978) compared temporal and spatial variation in the zooplankton of a lake by variance components. Ecology, 59, 666-671. Mazeiba, P.A., D.A. Burns and T.H, Kinder (1960) studied mesoscale circulation east of the southern Lesser Antilles. Journal of Geophysical Research, 85, 2743-2758. Menzel, D.W. and J.H. Ryther (1960) examined the annual cycle of primary production in the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda. Deep Sea Research, 6, 351-367; and (1951a) researched zooplankton in the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda and its relation to organic production. Journal du Conseil, Conseil permanent international Pour l'exploration de la mer, 26, 250-258.

Menzel and Ryther (1961b) also studied annual variations in primary production of the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda. Deep Sea Research, 7, 262-268. Metcalf, W.G. (1976) investigated Caribbean-Atlantic exchange through the Anegada-Jungfern Passage. Journal of Geophysical Research, 81, 6401-6409. Moore, E. and F. Sanders (1977) conducted a study of the offshore zooplankton of the tropical western Atlantic near Barbados. Ophelia, 16, 77-96. Moore, H.B and D.L. O'Berry (1957) studied the plankton of the Florida current IV factors influencing the vertical distribution of some copepods. Bulletin of Marine Science of the Gulf and Caribbean, 7, 297-315. Morrison, J.M. and W.D. Nowlin, Jr. (1982) examined general distribution of water masses within the eastern Caribbean Sea during the winter of 1972 and fall of 1973. Journal of Geophysical Research, 87, 4207-4229. Nutt, M.E. and M.N. Yeamen (1975) conducted a year-long study of Zooplankton from the north coast of Puerto Rico. Association of Island Marine Laboratories of the Caribbean Conference, Eleventh Meeting (Abstract). Fowles, H. (1976) studied island mass effects on the distribution of larvae of two pelagic fish species off Barbados. Cooperative Investigations of the

"Caribbean and Adjacent Regions (CICAR)-II: Symposium on Progress in Marine Research in the Caribbean and Adjacent Regions, Caracas 12-16 July 1976. FAO Fisheries Report 200: 233-346. Roe, H.S.J. (1972) The vertical distributions and diurnal migrations of calanoid copepods collected on the SOND cruise, 1965. I. The total population and general discussion. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 52, 277-31. Ryther, Guil., Doi. Menzel and N, Corwin (1967) Influence of the Amazon River outflow on the ecology of the western tropical Atlantic I. Hydrography and nutrient chemistry. Journal of Marine Research, 25, 69-83. Sanders, F. and D.M. Steven (1973) Organic productivity of inshore and offshore waters of Barbados, a study of the island mass effect. Bulletin of Marine Science, 23, 771-792. Steven, D.M. (1971) Primary productivity of the tropical Western Atlantic Ocean near Barbados. Marine Biology, 10, 261-264.

Sharp, H.J., M.J. Perry, E. Ringer and R.W. Eppley (1980) Phytoplankton rate processes in the oligotrophic waters of the central North Pacific Ocean. Journal of Plankton Research, 2, 337-353. Star, J.I., and M.M. Mullin (1981) Zooplankton assemblages in the three areas of the North Pacific as revealed by continuous horizontal transects. Deep Sea Research, 28, 1303-1322. Stavn, R.H. (1971) The horizontal-vertical distribution hypothesis: Langmuir circulations and Daphnia distributions. Limnology and Oceanography, 16, 453-466. Steel, R.G.D., and J.H. Torrie (1960) Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill, New York, p. 401. Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf (1969) Biometry. W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, p. 776. Tate, M.W. and R.C. Clelland (1959) Nonparametric and Shortcut Statistics. Interstate Printers, Danville, Illinois, p. a. Wiebe, P.H. (1971) A computer model of zooplankton patchiness and effect on sampling error: Limnology and Oceanography, 16, 233-242. Wiebe, P.H., G.B. Grice and E. Hoagland (1973) Acid-iron waste as a factor affecting the distribution and

"Abundance of Zooplankton in the New York Bight II: Spatial Variations in the Field and Implications for Monitoring Studies." Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science, 1, 51-64. Zoppi, E. (1961) Vertical Distribution of Zooplankton in the Gulf and Eastern End of the Cariaco Trench. Separata from the Bulletin of the Oceanographic Institute University of the East, 1, 3-31.

THE MEASUREMENT OF ZOOPLANKTON PATCHINESS

Final Report Submitted to DOE/OHER by Paul M. Yoshioka Gary P. Owen for Energy and Environment Research Marine Ecology Division College Station Mayaguez, Puerto Rico 00708

Average abundance as well as the intensity of patchiness (degree of aggregation) influence the frequency of encounters among individuals in the plankton and, consequently, both factors play important roles in the dynamics of planktonic communities. Alternatively, differences between communities may be reflected by differences in abundances or patchiness of their component populations. For example, Star and Mullin (1961) inferred differences in habitat features of the nearshore and offshore Plankton communities of the California Current from differences in the intensity of patchiness based on variance-to-mean ratios. In this note, we discuss some difficulties involved in the measurement of the intensity of patchiness (see Pielou, 1977 for a more general

review). One of the more common and easily applied methods used in the analysis of spatial pattern is to measure the deviation of variability observed in a sample set from a random (Poisson) expectation by the use of various indices of aggregation. Although these indices have come under criticism from numerous authors (e.g., Fasham, 1978) they will probably see continued use given the sampling and analytical difficulties associated with the use of more sophisticated analytical techniques, i.e., spectral analysis or the empirical description of pattern. The variance-to-mean ratio, s2/m, where: S2 = sample variance, "S = ($\Sigma(Xi - m)^2$)/n and m = the sample mean, m = $\Sigma Xi/n Xi$ - the

Number of individuals per sample, n, equals the number of samples.

This is one of the more frequently employed indices used to statistically describe patterns. Because of scaling effects, to be a valid measure of patchiness the "7/X" ratio must be calculated on an individuals per sample basis rather than using values adjusted to some standard unit, i.e., ¢/m3. Index values less than, equal to, and greater than unity indicate even, random and patchy distributions, respectively.

Unfortunately, as previously noted, variance to mean ratios, and other related indices are subject to scaling effects which impair their usefulness as a comparative measure of the intensity of patchiness between sets of samples showing large differences in mean density (Morisita, 1959). For instance, given a set of values, x, and a constant multiplicative scaling effect, c, we find that: variance (cx) = 2 variance (x). Thus, variance to mean ratios will vary directly with mean density, a feature commonly observed in plankton studies (e.g. 1970). Also, factors underlying differences in mean abundance and patchiness can operate independently in nature and it would be desirable to obtain independent measures of both.

The index used to measure the intensity of patchiness should ideally be insensitive to changes in the average number of individuals per sample. Lloyd's (1967) index of patchiness and the nearly equivalent Morisita's (1959) Index of Dispersion largely satisfy these criteria. Lloyd's index of patchiness is defined as the ratio of mean crowding to mean density, m*/m.

--- Page Break ---

A (Mean crowding) equals the mean density of individuals per sample per individual. Let B equal the mean density per sample, 43/n. N equals the total number of individuals, 2"x. n equals the number of replicate samples, and X equals the number of individuals per sample.

In a random distribution, m* equals m and Lloyd's index is equal to one. Values greater or smaller than unity indicate the presence of an aggregated or even distribution, respectively.

We present variance to mean ratios.

The text analyzes Lloyd's indices of illness generated by a series of oceanic zooplankton sample sets to illustrate the properties of these indices. Samples were taken every other day from January 30 to February 5, 1981, at a depth of 20 m from a platform anchored in 1000 m of water off the southeast coast of Puerto Rico (17° 56.3 N, 65° 51.5 W) using an on-deck pumping system. Each sample set consisted of four replicates taken approximately at hourly intervals around local noon

and midnight, respectively. The samples were filtered through a 202 μ m mesh net for eight minutes, corresponding to a volume of 5.3 m³, and preserved in 48% buffered formalin. A total of three major groups (total calanoids, cyclopoids, and harpacticoids) and 22 copepod species were used in the calculations. Only replicate samples representing whole counts were used in the calculations to eliminate possible complications arising from subsampling error (Venrick, 1978). Variance to mean ratios and Lloyd's index of patchiness are based on either three or four replicates.

Results plotted against mean densities are shown in Figures 1 and 2. For comparative purposes, the 95% C.I. distinguishing the type of pattern represented is shown in the figures. Fisher's Index of Dispersion ($X^2n.1 2(n-1)$ §2/x) and a formula modified from Morisita (1959) (p9-I(a)=(m*/m) Nén-N) were used in the calculations of the confidence intervals. Results were similar, about 40% of the sample sets were judged aggregated and the remainder not significantly different from random. No examples of even dispersion were noted. With both Lloyd's index and the variance to mean ratio, apparent randomness may result from the inability to statistically differentiate a patchy or even distribution from a random one at low species abundance, a feature previously noted in both indices (Lloyd, 1966 and Wiebe, 1970). This effect is manifested in greater variability in Lloyd's index and lower variance to mean ratios at low densities. As expected, testing showed the variance to mean ratio mean ratios to be positively correlated with.

Density. The slope of the geometric mean regression (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981) based on log transformed values was not significantly different from 1.0 (95% cuts, 0.78 ~ 114). This suggests that the differences observed in variance-to-mean ratios among zooplankton samples as a whole can be most simply interpreted as constant multiplicative effects of density changes rather than variation in intensity of pattern. Comparisons of variance-to-mean ratios among species may require yet undeveloped Model 11 analysis of covariance techniques (Sokal and Rohlf 1981). More importantly, dependence with mean densities implies that it is inappropriate to compare variance-to-mean ratio when the mean densities differ greatly among sample sets.

Because of the unequal variability in Lloyd's index with respect to mean density, the non-parametric Kendall-tau rank correlation was used to test for a relationship between the index and mean abundance per sample. No significant correlation was detected between Lloyd's index and mean density. It appears then that Lloyd's index does provide a measure of the intensity pattern seen in various copepod species that is independent of their mean density, at least within the observed range of densities. The individual index values for patchiness can therefore be compared directly, although some caution may be advisable in cases of low density sets.

REFERENCES

Fasham, M.R.J. (1978). The statistical and mathematical analysis of plankton patchiness. In: Oceanography and Marine Biology, an Annual Review, H. Barnes, editor, Aberdeen University Press, pp. 43-79.

Lloyd, M. (1967). "Mean crowding". Journal of Animal Ecology, 36, 1-30.

Norisita, M. (1959). Memoirs of the faculty of science, Kyushu University, series E (Biology) 2, 215-235.

Pielou, B.C. (1977). Mathematical Ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 385 pp. Sokal, R.R., and F.J. Rohlf.