

CEER-T-045

CEER-T-45

September 1979

SOME ASPECTS OF THE ECOLOGY OF
A COASTAL LAGOON

by

4%

3 CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

§ UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO ? US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

&

---Page Break---

SOME ASPECTS OF THE ECOLOGY OF
A COASTAL LAGOON

JOSE M. ZAYAS

TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY DIVISION

SEPTEMBER, 1979

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH OPERATED BY
THE UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO
FOR THE
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

---Page Break---

ABSTRACT

Light penetration, temperature, salinity, oxygen, phosphate, ammonia, silica and plankton were sampled in eight Stations, each month, for a year, in Laguna Grande, Fajardo. Seasonal changes were found in most of the physico-chemical parameters, indicating seasonal changes in primary productivity. No seasonal changes were found in species composition of the plankton.

---Page Break---

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful most particularly to Richard G. Clements who made comments at all stages of the work, revised the proposal, the final draft, and helped with the

statistical analysis, and to Edmond Bonneau without whose help the proposal and the actual setting up of the work, and the identification of the phytoplankton would not have been possible; his aid and criticism were invaluable, and to Juan GonzSiez without whose training, work with the r00-plankton would have been too difficult, and to Danaris Viera; without her help in the field, the work would have been tedious and intolerable, and to Arthur McB. Block who permitted the use of his laboratory facilities for the chemical analysis which was so critical to this project.

The help and comments of the graduate committee; Manuel Vélez, Richard Thomas, Gustavo Candelas, and Gilberto Cintrén was invaluable. My special gratitude to Gustavo for his understanding and for accepting the role of Thesis Director; to Gilberto for his great help and for his comments at all stages and final form of this document.

My gratitude to all the personnel of the C.E-E.R., most particularly to Ivan Rosas for his comments and friendship; and to Fanny, Lourdes, Manuel, Don Antonio, Don Béez, Néstor, and Pedro for their help.

---Page Break---

DEDICATORY

To my mother because she has not ceased
me from the day I was born to this day,
father who had faith in me, but because
on earth ended is not here to share the
my labor.

iv

to encourage
and to my
his journey
results of

---Page Break---

TABLE OF CONTENTS,

INTRODUCTION

Importance of Coastal Lagoons-
mangrove systems

Description of site

Objectives

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stations and Sampling Protocol

Physico-chemical Parameters

Biological Parameters

Data Analysis

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physico-Chemical Parameters

a) Light Penetration

b) Temperature

c) Salinity

d) Oxygen

e) Nutrients

Phosphate

Nitrogen

Silica

Biological Parameters

Seasonal Changes

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

PAGE

3

4

16

16

16

8

Fa

as

2s

2s

2s

2%

30

7

43

---Page Break---

LITERATURE CITED

APPENDIX A analyzed data tables

Table 1

Table 2

Table 3

Table 4

Table 5

table 6

Table 7

Table 8

Table 9

Table 10

Table 11

Table 12

Table 13

Table 14

Table 15

Extinction coefficient per Month
and Station

Significant one way analysis of
variance for average concentration
of physico-chemical parameters

Duncan's new multiple range test
applied to the average concentration
per Month and Station to the signifi-
cant ANOVA analysis

4 Light that reaches the bottom per
Month and Station

Temperature in °C per Month and
Station

Average salinity concentration in
PPT per Month and Station

Average oxygen concentration in
PPM per Month and Station

Average phosphate concentration per
Month and Station

Average ammonia concentration per
Month and Station

Average silica concentration per
Month and Station

Las Croabas: Phytoplankton relative
abundance of organisms

Main Lagoon: Phytoplankton relative
abundance of organisms

Las Croabas: Zooplankton relative
abundance of organisms

Main Lagoon: Zooplankton relative
abundance of organisms

Las Croabas Phytoplankton: % of
relative species composition

46

49

50

st

52

53

84

55

56

37

58

59

60

62

63

65.

---Page Break---

Table

Table

Table

Table

16

W

18

19

Main Lagoon? Phytoplankton: \$ of
relative species composition

Las Croabas Zooplankton: \$ of
relative species composition

Main Lagoon Zooplankton: \$ of

relative species composition

Species list

APPENDIX B untreated data tables

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

2

10

"

12

13

4

Light penetration for all Stations
during the study period (f.c.)

Salinity concentration in Station I
during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

IT during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

TIT during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

IV during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

V during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

VI during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

VIT during the study period

Salinity concentration in Station

VIIT during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station T

during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station

If during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station

IIT during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station

IV during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station

V during the study period

vid

69

70

72

?

76

7

78

78

9

79

80

81

81

82

83

83

84

---Page Break---

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

15

16

v

18

27

28

29

30

31

Oxygen concentration in Station

V_i during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station

VIT during the study period

Oxygen concentration in Station

VITT during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station

I during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station

TL during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station

IIT during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station

iViduring the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station

V during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station

VI during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station
VIT during the study period

Phosphate concentration in Station
VIII during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station T
during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station
II during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station
IIT during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station
IV during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station
V during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station

VI during the study period

84

a5

85

86

86

87

a7

88

88

89

89.

90

90

?

1

92

92

---Page Break---

table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

Table

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

a

Ammonia concentration in Station

VIT during the study period

Ammonia concentration in Station

VIIIT during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

I during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

TI during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

TIT during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

IV during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

V during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

VI during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

VII during the study period

Silica concentration in Station

VIII during the study period

93

94

24

95

95

96

96

97

---Page Break---

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES

2

1.

ne

12.

13,

14

15,

16.

Localization of Main Lagoon

Sectors and Stations of the Lagoon System

Extinction coefficient per Month and Station

3 Light that reaches the Benthos per Month

?and Station

Temperature per Month and Station

Salinity per Month and Station

Dissolved Oxygen

Total Phosphate per Month and Station

Ammonia per Month and Station

Silica per Month and Station

Trellis diagram Las Croabas Phytoplankton

Trellis diagram Main Lagoon Phytoplankton

Trellis diagram Las Croabas vs. Main

Lagoon Phytoplankton

Trellis diagram Las Croabas Zooplankton

Trellis diagram Main Lagoon Zooplankton

Trellis diagram Las Croabas vs. Main

Lagoon zooplankton

LIST OF PLATES

Aerial Photograph of El Faro - 1936 and
1950

Aerial Photograph of Fi Faro - 1962 and
1977

33

34

35

a

a

---Page Break---

yTRODUCTION:

Puerto Rico's coastline natural systems are very rich and productive. Among the most productive: coral reefs, coastal lagoons and mangrove forests are found, (C.2.M.P, 1977).

The lagoons found in the west, north and northeast

coasts of Puerto Rico are in general shallow and are connected during all the year to the sea via channels and thus are more or less under tidal influence.

Along the perimeter of these coastal lagoons are

found mangrove forests. In most of these we can find one or more of the mangrove species: *Rhizophora mangle*, *Avicennia germinans*, *Laguncularia racemosa* and *Conocarpus erecta*.

These lagoons have been studied because of their ecological roles as: buffer zones against natural disasters, they protect the areas that surround it against the floods and strong winds caused by storms and hurricanes (C.2.M.P., 1977); by trapping sediments they buffer

the effects of erosion and build up the coast line (Odum and Johannes, 1975), and aid in the development of coral reefs by stopping the harmful effects of the sediments on the corals (Mathews, 1967; Connel, 1973; Johannes, 1974); are also important as habitats and breeding areas, 60 to 80% of commercial fishes in tropical areas depend on nan-

grove lagoons for part of their life cycle (Officer,

---Page Break---

1976); mangroves export large amounts of organic matter that is utilized by nearby communities (Lugo and

Snedaker, 1974); mangroves also directly utilize nutrients by fixing these into organic matter and reducing high nutrient loads in coastal and lagoonal waters (Hobbie, 1976); they also act as natural filters for the purification of waters by acting as natural settling basins.

Laguna Grande is a coastal lagoon bordered by the four mangrove species, and separated from the sea by two narrow strips of land, located at the extreme northeast shore of Puerto Rico $18^{\circ}23.0'N - 65^{\circ}37.1'W$ (Fig. 1). It can be divided in three sections (Fig. 2): Main Lagoon,

Back Lagoon and Sorocco Lagoon. They have a total area of 872,742 m², an average depth of 1.47 m and a volume of 719,742 m³ (Candelas et al, 1968).

The deepest point of the Main Lagoon is 4m, but the average depth is only 2m. It communicates with the Sorocco and Back Lagoons by means of short, narrow and shallow channels.

At the SE shore of the Main Lagoon there is a channel

1,5 km, long with an average depth of 0.7 m that connects the Main Lagoon with Las Croabas Bay. At the SW shore is the channel leading to the Sorocco Lagoon. The channel is 200 m long and 0.5 m deep. At the east is the channel leading to the Back Lagoon. This channel is 100 m long

2

---Page Break---

Cobezas

FIG. 1

---Page Break---

FIG. 2 -

*, Main Lagoon ©. Sorocco Lagoon

B. Back Lagoon D. Land

Sectors and Stations of the Lagoon System

---Page Break---

and 0.5 m deep.

Sorocco Lagoon is 0.5 m deep. The south shore of the Soroceo sector is bordered with houses and small restaurants.

The Back Lagoon is 0.5 m deep and is characterized by many *Rhizophora* mangrove islands.

Candelas et al (1968) studied the Laguna Grande complex and concluded that it was a unique area due to its beauty and ecological diversity; he recommended that

the area should be conserved. DSaz-Piferrer (1968) did

not recognize

the value of coastal lagoons in terms of detrital and/or nutrient contribution, nor their role as

nurseries for many reef fishes and breeding and feeding area for many birds. This investigator concluded in his study that the lagoon had little ecological value.

Subsequently, the Puerto Rico Conservation Trust recognized the high ecological value of this area and acquired the land in 1976. The Trust, in an effort to gain basic information about the lagoon and the land that surrounds it for its management, requested the C.E.E.R. to carry out various studies to characterize the area. This study was performed in part as a result of this commission.

The Laguna Grande ecosystem was studied in order to determine its present ecological state. In order to accomplish this, several physico-chemical and biological

---Page Break---

parameters were monitored over time. The present characteristics of the lagoon may be judged by its flushing rate and by the types of species and by the diversity found in the plankton. The measurement of limiting

nutrients such a

phosphate, ammonia and silica are important in order to have an idea of what is available to the phytoplankton of the system. The measurement of dissolved oxygen gives an indication of the productivity of the Lagoon.

The data obtained describes the lagoon in terms of its plankton species and diversity and the physico-chemical parameters studied. Some of this data allows comparison with the data of Candelas et al (1968) and

Diaz-Piferrer (1968)

---Page Break---

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Several parameters were monitored for a period of 6 to 12 months. The physico-chemical parameters were

Light penetration, temperature, salinity, oxygen, phos-

phate, nitrogen and silica. The biological parameters

: planktonic species relative abundance, species percent composition and diversity.

Sampling!

Eight stations were chosen for the stud)

+ (Fig. 2).

The stations were located at representative sites of the lagoon complex. (Determined in a previous study by the author of this study).

Station #1: The surface water of the channel that

leads from the open sea to Las Croabas Bay

Station # IT: Ts 4m deep and is the bottom of

Station #1.

Station ¥ ITI: Is located on the Lagoon side of the

channel that joins the Main Lagoon and Las Croabas.

Station # IV: Is located inside the Sorocco Lagoon.

Station #

Is located at the SW corner of the lagoon in the entrance of the channel that leads from the Main to the Sorocco Lagoon.

Station # VI: Is the surface water of the center of the Main Lagoon.

Station # VII: Is 4 m deep and is the bottom of

Station VI.

---Page Break---

Station # VIII:

Is located in the Back Lagoon.

Stations III, IV, V and VIIT are 0.5 deep and the measurements and samples for all physico-chemical parameters except for light penetration, were taken at mid-depth. Light penetration was measured at the surface and bottom in these Stations.

Measurements and samples for all physico-chemical parameters in Station I and VI were taken at the surface and in Stations II and VII at the bottom.

Samples for all physico-chemical and biological parameters were taken once a month from January to December, 1978. Samples and measurements of chemical parameters were performed in triplicates.

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

a) Light Penetration

Light penetration is one of the fundamental ecological factors in the marine environment (Holmes, 1957). The amount of Light that penetrates the lagoon waters will limit the primary productivity and oxygen production.

Light penetration was measured at all Stations at the surface of the water as well as that reaching the benthos with a Photonatic underwater light meter.

The results for light penetration are expressed in terms of extinction coefficient and light penetration.

---Page Break---

Extinction coefficient is expressed by:

$$C = \frac{\log I_0 - \log I_z}{Z}$$

any way

Where C is the extinction coefficient as measured by the underwater photometer and $\log I_z$ is the base ten logarithm of the light intensity at depth Z and $\log I_0$

I_z is the logarithm of the Light intensity at depth Z , (A.M.S., 1959).

% Light penetration is the % of measured surface Light that reaches the benthos of a given Station.

b) Temperature

Temperature is an important factor governing the occurrence and behavior of life (Gunther, 1957).

Temperature measurement was used as an aid to determine the homogeneity of the water column. If a temperature change is found when a profile is performed, it may indicate temporally stratified water masses or two distinct water masses.

Temperature was measured by means of a YST Model 33 salinity and temperature at all Stations according to the protocol cited earlier. Before each trip to the lagoon, the thermistor was calibrated against a laboratory grade thermometer. Temperature results are expressed in terms of °C.

c) Salinity

Salinity is defined as the total amount of

solid material in grams contained in one kilogram of sea

water when all the carbonate has been converted to oxide, the bromine and iodine replaced by chlorine, and all the organic matter completely oxidized, (Pearse and Gunther, 1957; Baker, 1966).

Salinity is used to characterize water masses in the same way as temperature measurements. If the salinity is the same throughout the water column, the body of water is mixed; but if salinity yields different values it indicates different masses of water column. If the change in salinity is gradual with depth, the water masses mix somewhat in their borderlines; but if the salinity change is abrupt, we have two stratified bodies of water (masses of water which do not mix with one another).

Salinity was measured with a YSI Model 33 s:

Salinity and temperature meter at all Stations according to the protocol cited earlier. A water sampler was used to take samples at least in two of the Stations. The samples were fixed in the field placed in tightly stoppered polyethylene bottles taken to the laboratory and analyzed with Strickland and Parsons (1968) low precision salinity titration procedure. The values obtained were compared

with those of the salinometer in the field, and a correction factor was introduced where necessary for the measurements with the salinometer.

Salinity results are expressed in grams of dis-

solved salts / kilogram, parts per thousand or ‰.

10

---Page Break---

4) Oxygen

Oxygen is essential to most forms of life. It is an important by-product of photosynthesis and is consumed by the respiration of aerobic organisms as represented by the simple reversible equation.

Photosynthesis



2° "2 Respiration 2

Dissolved oxygen was measured at all Stations ac-

cording to the protocol cited earlier with @ YSI Model

55 dissolved oxygen meter. After each trip the meter

was checked, in a similar way as described for the 5} linity meter, against the Winkler titration procedure (Strickland and Parsons, 1968).

The oxygen measurements obtained in this way will serve as indicators of mixing and the degree to which oxygen may be limiting. Oxygen results are expressed in mg O₂ /l or parts per million.

fe) Nutrients

Phosphorus and nitrogen are needed and are limiting to the primary producers, (Barnes, 1957 and Hobbie, 1976). Silica is needed for the formation of cell walls of diatoms, thus becoming limiting (Sadava and Volcan, 1977). These are incorporated into the producers when there is enough light for photosynthesis and are returned to the environment thru excretion, death, and by decay.

Water samples for nutrient analysis were taken at depths specified in our sampling protocol with an hori-

u

---Page Break---

zontal sampler, placed in polyethylene bottles in the dark and in an ice chest. Upon arrival to the laboratory, all samples were filtered through a 0.45,menbrane filter and stored at -20°C until next day for analysis.

Results of all nutrient analysis are expressed in ug atin.

Total phosphorus was measured using the method described by Strickland and Parsons (1968). Samples for total phosphorus were collected and treated according to the protocol cited earlier (Cooper, 1933; Collier and Kenneth, 1953; Ketchum et al, 1955).

Samples for ammonia were collected and treated according

the protocol cited earlier, 0.4 gr. /ml of phenol were added to the water that was used for this test to minimize the uptake of ammonia by phytoplankton, (Degobiss, 1973; Newell, 1967). Ammonia was determined using Strickland and Parsons (1968) method.

?The form of silicon utilized by diatoms and presumably other silicious algae, is silicic acid (Darley, 1974). Molybdate was added to the sample and if any silicic acid was present it was transformed into silicate (Strickland and Parsons, 1968). Although all the silicic acid does not react with the molybdate, the measurement of silicate probably gives a meaningful measure of the amount of silica available to the growing of plant cells (Robinson, 1953).

12

---Page Break---

Water samples for silica were collected and treated according to the protocol cited.

BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

a) Plankton

Plankton tows were taken with 80 μ m mesh nets once every month at the Main Lagoon and Las Croabas Bay.

The tows were taken: one nearby Station I and the other nearby Station VI.

The samples were preserved in 4% buffered formalin. The organisms were identified under dissecting and/or compound microscope with the aid of taxonomic Keys by Smith, 1977; Owre and Foyo, 1967; González and Bowman, 1965; Newell and Newell, 1963; and Rose, 1933. After identification of the organisms, these were counted, relative abundance of species, species composition and Shannon-Weaver diversity index was calculated per tow.

Plankton was compared within and among sites with the aid of Simpson's similarity index (Amspoker and McIntire, 1978).

Simpson's similarity index (SIMI) is expressed

by:

2

8 s 2

$SIMI = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^7 \frac{P_{1i} P_{2i}}{P_{1i} + P_{2i}}$

ro

Where SIMI is the degree of similarity between assemblages 1 and 2; P_{1i} and P_{2i} are the proportions of individuals represented i -th taxon in assemblages 1 and 2, respectively; and S is the total number of taxa in the

8

---Page Break---

sample. When the SIMI value is equal or greater than 70 percent, the assemblages are considered to significantly similar. Trellis diagrams with SIMI values were con-

structed for each assemblage.

DATA ANALYSIS

ALL physico-chemical parameters were tested with one way ANOVA (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969) to detect differences among Stations and within Stations (differences in time) for each parameter. Where significant differences

were found, Duncan's new multiple range test (Steel and Torrie, 1960) was applied to the data.

In Duncan's test, the data (of a given parameter) is ranked from the highest value to the lowest, then the value of error sum of squares (from ANOVA) is located in the 0.05 Level of Duncan's table, Here a protection value is obtained. If the difference between the means that are compared is greater than the protection value obtained, the means are statistically different, and thus, assigned a different letter, i.e., the results for extinction coefficient yield values: Sorocco Lagoon, 1.94; Back Lagoon, 1.47; Main Lagoon, 0.50 and Crosbas Bay, 0.26.

When 1.94 is compared with 1.47, 0.50, and 0.26, the protection level is only exceeded with 0.50 and 0.26, the procedure is repeated with each value from high to low until all values are compared with the ones that follow

it. Finished results are as follows:

4

---Page Break---

Sorocco Lagoon 1.94 a

Back Lagoon 147 a

Main Lagoon 0.30 b

Croabas Bay 0.26 B

15

---Page Break---

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results and discussion deal

inly with Stations:

I, Surface waters of Las Croabas Bay; II, Bottom waters of Las Croabas Bay; IV, Sorocco Lagoon; VI, Surface waters center Main Lagoon; VII, Bottom waters center

Main Lagoon, and VIII, Back Lagoon. To aid in the understanding of figures, Stations III, channel to Las Croabas

and V, channel to the Sorecco area, are not included.

Stations ITT and V are intermediate areas, but behave

more or less as the other shallow Stations, IV and VIII.

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS

4) Light penetration

Light penetration was measured for a period of eight months. An extinction coefficient table (Appendix A, Table 1) was constructed from the data obtained during the study. The extinction coefficient values are from 16 found in Station TI, Las Croabas, in the month of August, to 2.44 in Station IV, Sorocco, in the month of October. Average values per Station were 1.94 Sorocco Lagoon; 1.47 Back Lagoon; 0.30 Main Lagoon, and 0.26 Croabas Bay.

From Appendix A, Table 1 and Figure 3, we observe no significant differences in extinction coefficient per month (within Stations), but significant among Stations, as confirmed by one way ANOVA analysis (Appendix A, Table

2). To determine between which Stations there was a

16

---Page Break---

s

(etnms03

+3903 *4x9 wosg) ?3009 voyiouyaxE

12

9 10 17

; ,PeF Month and Station

Extinction coe!

Fig. 3

---Page Break---

significant difference Duncan's (Appendix A, Table 3) multiple range test was used. It was found that there is no difference between Stations IV, Sorecco Lagoon, and VIII, Back Lagoon; and no difference between Stations IT, Croabas Bay, and VIT, Main Lagoon; but the group of Stations IV and VIII are significantly different from that of Stations IT and VII. The extinction values

are lower for the deeper Stations; thus indicating that the water is more transparent in these Stations.

The percent of surface light that reaches the benthos (% light penetration) is found in Appendix A, Table 4 and Figure 4, From this Table and Figure, it can be observed an increase in light penetration in all Stations during the intermediate months of the year. This is confirmed by one way ANOVA and Duncan's test (Appendix A, Tables 2 and 3).

b) Temperature

Water temperature values obt:

ined during the study

are included in Appendix A, Table § and Figure

and are

expressed in

During the study period the temperatures were higher in the shallow Stations, with a high average of 32° for Station IV, Sorocco Lagoon, and 33° for Station VIII, Back Lagoon. The average high for the deeper Stations is 29° for Stations I, Croabas surface; and IT, Croabas bottom; and 31° for Stations VI, Lagoon surface and VIT, Lagoon bottom.

18

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

The average low temperatures were 25.5° for Stations I, IT, and IV, 25° for Stations VII and VITI; and 26° for Station VI.

To find if there were statistical differences among Stations and within them for this parameter, a set of one way ANOVA were performed (Appendix A, Table 2). These indicate that there are no statistical differences

between Stations. They also indicate statistical differences between months. To determine which months were statistically different a Duncan's test was performed (Appendix A, Table 3). The test indicates with some degree of overlap, that the temperature is higher in the intermediate months of the year and lower in the first and last months of the year.

ø) Salinity,

Salinity values obtained during the study are included in Appendix A, Table 6, and Figure 6; and are expressed in ‰.

The salinity values obtained are from a high of 40.3 in the area of Sorocco during the month of July to @ low of 19.6 in the Back Lagoon during the month of October. Average salinities per Station are 31.85 for Las Croabas; 32.70, Main Lagoon; 34.00, Back Lagoon; and 33.90 for Sorocco Lagoon.

One way ANOVA was used to detect any significant difference between Stations, and within Stations, {Appendix A, Table 2). The test found no significant

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

difference between Stations, but significant within then.

Duncan's multiple range test to determine between which months a significant difference is found (Appendix A, Table 3) was used. From Duncan's test, it is found that January, October and December are significantly different from themselves and from all the rest. Their average salinity values are 26.1, 23.6, and 30.5. The lowest salinity value is 23.6, for October. This coincides with the highest monthly average peak for rainfall for each year from 1941 to 1970, (N.O.A.A., 1978).

4) Oxygen

Oxygen data is contained in Appendix A, Table 7, and Figure 7; and is expressed in PPM.

The highest and lowest values are found in the area of Sorocco with values of 11.1 in July and 1.0 in September. On the average O₂ values per Station were

5.95 Croabas, 5.25 Main Lagoon, 4.70 Sorocco, and 4.20 for the Back Lagoon. When the data is analyzed by one way ANOVA no significant difference is found among Stations, but significant within Stations, (Appendix A, Table 2). Duncan's test was used to find which months are statistically different (Appendix A, Table 3). From

Duncan's test it was found that March is different from

all the rest with a D.O. reading 7.4; and July and May with values of 5.9 and 5.5 are different from September with 3.6, All the rest of the months are similar among

23

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

themselves.

e) Nutrients

Phosphate

Phosphate data is contained in Appendix

A, Table 8 and Figure 8; values are expressed in

1.0×10^{-2} g/L.

The lowest phosphate value was 1.0 found in

September, at Las Croabas bottom, and in October, at Las

Croabas surface and Main Lagoon bottom. The highest was

10.0 found in August, at Main Lagoon surface, and in

December, at Las Croabas surface. The average values

were 3.95, for Las Croaba:

4.3, for Sorecco; 3.4 for

the Main Lagoon and 4.0, for the Back Lagoon. When the

data was analyzed with one way ANOVA no significant

difference was found among Stations, but significant

within Stations, (Appendix A, Table 2). Duncan's test

was used to find which months were different from each

other (Appendix A, Table 3). December and June with

values of 5.7 and 5.4 are statistically different from

October, with a value of 1.6, but not among themselves.

Nitrog

The nitrogen data was measured in terms

of $\mu\text{g-N/L}$ of ammonia and it is contained in Appendix

A, Table 9 and Figure 9. The highest value was 5.00 in July and August, at the area of Sorocco, and the lowest

1.30 in June, at the Back Lagoon. The average values

were: Sorecco, 3.46; Main Lagoon, 2.0:

28

Back Lagoon, 1:945

---Page Break---

oo ge gg

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

and Las Croabas with 1.95

One way ANOVA was performed to find significant difference among Stations or within Stations.

No significant difference was found within Stations, but

significant difference was found among Stations (Appendix A, Table 2). Duncan's test was used to determine which Stations were statistically different from each other.

Station IV, Sorocco Lagoon, is statistically different from all Stations (I, II, Croabas surface and Bottom; VI, VII, Main Lagoon surface and bottom; and VIII, Back Lagoon), while these are not significantly different among themselves.

Silica

Silica is expressed in terms of $\mu\text{g-at}$ /A silicate and is found in Appendix A, Table 10, and Figure 10. The highest value for silica was 2.71, in August, found at the Back Lagoon. The lowest was 1.41, in November, at Las Croabas. The average values per Station were: 2.40, Back Lagoon; 2.28, Sorocco; 1.94, Croabas; and 1.95, for the Main Lagoon. When the data was analyzed by means of one way ANOVA, no significant difference was found within Stations, but significant among Stations, (Appendix A, Table 2). Duncan's test was used to find which Stations were significantly different from each other, (Appendix A, Table 3). Station IV, Soro-

cco, is similar to VIII, Back Lagoon, and Croabas similar to the Main Lagoon, but both groups are different from

28

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

each other.

BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

a) Plankton

The zooplankton and phytoplankton expressed in terms of numbers of relative abundance are found in Appendix A, Tables 11, 12, 13 and 14. In these Tables we can find the calculated Shannon-Weaver diversity index calculated in a per month basis. From these it is found that the diversity for phytoplankton is higher in Las Croabas and lower in the Main Lagoon. The diversity of the zooplankton is higher in the Nain Lagoon.

In Figures 11 and 12, Trellis diagrams for Las Croabas and Main Lagoon phytoplankton, and the Trellis diagram for Las Croabas vs. Main Lagoon phytoplankton

(Fig. 13), show in general no significant similarity in succeeding months.

In Figure 14, the Trellis diagram for las Croabas zooplankton shows significant similarities between January and February. June and July; August and September; and October and November. The Trellis diagram for the Main Lagoon zooplankton (Fig. 15), showed not only significant similarities between succeeding months, but also when any month is compared with any other month; thus indicating that the zooplankton community is homogeneous during all the year. When the Croabas Bay is compared with the Main Lagoon, similarities are found in January vs. January;

30

---Page Break---

FIGURE 11 Trellis diagram for Las Croabas
phytoplankton *

sonTH 61062030 4 5 6 7 8 9 w 4

1 0-2 - 4 & 0 2 2 1 48

2 - 7 + 28 6 12 0 66 477

4 - 2 4 52 2 320 8 5

6 4 4 96 33 87 4

7 m1 38 45 30

8 2 13 ot 2

9 360900

10 60 7

" 8

* Presented data is t of similarity values obtained
between intersecting months.

31

---Page Break---

FEGUIE 12 phytoplankton

MOTH 1 2 5 4 5 6

1 1 + 60 78 0

2 - 49s

3 - oe

4 o 3

3 °

6

8

o

10

n

?4

4

?Trellis diagram for Main Lagoon

10

38

5

49

o

6

72

5

73

"

7

27

62

10

40

4

* Presented data is % of similarity values obtained

between intersecting months.

32

12

6

97

0

25.

58

a

---Page Break---

FIGURE 13 Trellis diagram for Las Croabas vs.

Main Lagoon phytoplankton *

MoT

405 6 7 8 9 10 4F

4 3?

6 28

7 31

10 20

2

* Presented data is 4 of similarity values obtained
between intersecting months.

33

---Page Break---

FIGURE 14

zooplankton

MONTH 100203 4

1 69 48 27

2 5482

10

u

s

36

33

4?

32

6

39

62

19

36

26

7

?4

76

6s

27

40

?

8

22

Fa

as

49

4a

38

38

46

?

96

87

a

14

56

80

Trellis diagram for Las Croabas

10

2

a

6

36

74

6

or

1

38

28

40

86

so

a4

46

n

96

82

89

* Presented data is \$ of similarity values obtained

between intersecting months,

12

59

33

36

40

48

26

27

ey

2

---Page Break---

FIGURE 15

MONTH

1

2

3

1

Trellis

dia

zooplankton

25 4 5

93 85 85 90

85 95 92

1 9

92

6

90

9s

7

81

79

gram for Main Lagoon

7

9s

9s

81

83

as

97

8

89

92

1

83

7?

98

7

9

8s

a9

94

93

93

a

8s

82

10

9

96

88

89

?

99

97

1

93

"

90

97

7

8s

8s

93

97

oa

84

94

* Presented data is t of similarity values obtained
between intersecting months.

38

2

86

a8

92

94

98

?

9

?

?

90

9

---Page Break---

FIGURE 16 Trellis diagram for Las Croabas vs.

the Main Lagoon zooplankton *

vote 10203 4 8 6 7 8 9 On

1 88

2 8s

3 6s

36

6 2

7 n

8 a

9 89

10 36

? ?

* Presented data is t of similarity values obtained
between intersecting months.

36

---Page Break---

February vs. February; July vs. July and September vs. Sep-
tember. A complete species list of the planktonic organisms
in the study can be found in Appendix A, Table 19.

In temperate seas the marked seasonal variations in
temperature, illumination and availability of nutrients in
the surface waters give rise to marked fluctuations in the
density, diversity and productivity of the plankton, (Tait
and DeSanto), 1972).

In the tropics, the seasonal changes are less than those

of the temperate areas. Authors like Raymond (1963), thought that with the high levels of solar radiation that prevail during the year and with the presence of a permanent thermocline, the tropics were capable only of low levels of primary productivity that proceeded at fairly steady levels. The work of Beers, Steven and Lewis, 1965; Gonz4lez, 1965; and Cintr6n, 1969, provided evidence of seasonal fluctuations in the primary productivity of the tropics.

When the \$ of relative species composition (Appendix A, Tables 15, 16, 17 and 18) is compared with the results of the physico-chemical parameters no pattern is found between any planktonic organism and a given combination of the physico-chemical parameters.

The lack of pattern is due to:

a) No significant differences are found between the Stations sampled for plankton (Las Croabas vs. Main Lagoon)

in light penetration, temperature, salinity, dissolved

37

---Page Break---

oxygen, phosphate, ammonia and silica.

b) Perhaps the most critical factor that does not contribute in the establishment of a relationship is that no quantitative sampling of planktonic organisms could be made.

Seasonal changes have been found in 4 light penetration (extinction coefficient), temperature, dissolved oxygen and phosphate. These changes indicate a seasonality in the planktonic productivity of the lagoon. These can only be attributed to the rain pattern of the area for the past 30 years, where heavy rains occur in Spring and Autumn,

(NO. AL AL, 1978).

The lack of measurable amount of seasonality in the concentration of the nutrient elements ammonia and silica is most probable due to a steady and high turnover rate of the nutrients from the environment to the organisms, and thus

the residence time of the free element is practically zero

In \$ light penetration (extinction coefficient), Ammonia and Silica differences between Stations. The 4 light

penetration differences are between the deeper Stations, Las Croabas and the Main Lagoon vs. the shallow Stations, the Back Lagoon and Sorocco Lagoon. These are due to the nature of the Stations. In the shallow Stations the fine Sediments are more apt to be stirred up by the turbulence caused by the wind or any other agent.

38

---Page Break---

The difference between Stations for Ammonia and Silica are also due to the nature of the Stations. In Station IV, Sorocco Lagoon, the levels of Ammonia are higher than the rest of the Stations, while these are not different among themselves. This is due most probable to the dumping of raw sewage by several houses that border the south shore of the Sorocco Lagoon.

The Limited circulation within Sorocco Lagoon and this sector (Sorocco) with the Main Lagoon allows this extra Ammonia load to be filtered out in this area for its effects are not observed in the Main Lagoon end of the channel that Joins both sectors. High levels of Silica are observed in Station VIIT, Back Lagoon. In this sector, the bottom is covered with a bed of Diatoms, a high turnover rate in these,

and a large and unknown source of Silica could explain the high silicate levels.

One of the objectives of this study was to compare the present ecological state of the lagoon with some of the data of Candelas (1968) and Dfaz-Piferrer (1968). Candelas found oxygen levels of 9.15 ml/1 to 2.6 mi/1 and salinity of 30.10 ‰ to 43 ‰. Dfaz-Piferrer found salinities of 43 ‰ to 54.4 ‰. In general, taking into consideration differences in instrumentation and error, this study has found about the same data as Candelas (1968) and Diaz-Piferrer (1968) with the addition of a more complete list of phytoplankton and zooplankton, (Appendix A, Table 19), and

39

---Page Break---

seasonal data for all the physico-chemical parameters studied.

Examination of the earliest photographic record (Plate 1) compared with later and actual photographs (Plate 2) reveal that very little change has occurred over the past 42 years. This photographic evidence indicates that the lagoon system boundaries are more or less stable. If left

undisturbed, two factors are considered of utmost importance
in order to maintain the equilibrium in the system:

4) The channel that connects to Las Croabas should be
maintained open as the fishermen of the area have maintained
it for at least 40 years or more (no dredging or modifications
should be allowed to the channel).

b) The Sorocco Lagoon is bordered by small houses and

restaurants; these dump raw sewage into the area. It seems

the lagoon is absorbing the effects of this sewage. This
practice should be stopped or at least, no other con-
structions should be allowed on the southern borderline of
area.

40

---Page Break---

OS6I ONY 9ç61 ONVI 13 JO HdVY9OLOHd IWINaV

---Page Break---

zed

2261 GNV 296! OYV4 13 JO HdVY9OLOHd TVINAV

---Page Break---

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Light penetration, temperature, salinity, dissolved

oxygen, phosphate, ammonia, silica

and plankton were

sampled for a period of about a year at the Laguna Grande system.

The results indicate that Laguna Grande is a coastal lagoon that supports abundant marine flora and fauna, The system is free from fresh water influences other than precipitation and run off, and its salinities are quite similar to sea water.

No obvious relationship were found between the plankton and physico-chemical parameters. There was no seasonal variation in species composition. There is a very high Similarity of zooplankton in the Main Lagoon within itself, but none with the phytoplankton or with Las Croabas Bay.

Seasonal changes were found in all the physico-chemical parameters except for Ammonia and Silica. These seasonal changes indicate seasonal changes in planktonic productivity, with peaks in Spring and Autumn. Observed changes are most probably caused by heavy rains in Spring and Autumn.

The lagoon has been highly stable (based on photographic evidence) for

many years. It is the belief of the author that in order to maintain this stability, the recommendations stated earlier should be observed.

In order to detect the mechanisms that allow highly stable zooplankton community, while the phytoplankton

---Page Break---

changes in a great degree from month to month and the physico-chemical parameters change seasonally, more discriminatory techniques should be employed for all parameters; the sampling should be performed at more frequent intervals than monthly, and the plankton should be surveyed qualitatively and quantitatively not only at the surface, but also at mid-depths and near the bottom.

From the data obtained we can conclude:

; Increased nutrients and 0.0. vets in Spring and Autumn indicate seasonal changes caused by increased rain

. The surface and bottom waters of the system are aerobic during all the year. The variation in D, 0, is not wide except in the two shallow Stations, Sorocco and Back Lagoon.

. Temperature and salinity measurements indicate that the water column is well mixed in Las Croabas and in the Main Lagoon center water. The main mixing agent for both areas is the wind.

. The Light levels in all areas during the year are enough for benthic productivity, but the bottom of the Main Lagoon is bare below the 6 (six) feet level.

_ In general, the temperature in the lagoonal waters is higher than in Las Croabas; this is due to the limited circulation in the lagoon

a

---Page Break---

(compared to Las Croabas) and to its shallowness.

+ The lagoonal zooplankton composition is highly

stable.

45

---Page Break---

LITERATURE CITED

American Meteorological Society, 1959. Glossary of Meteorology (Ralph F. Hluschke, ed?). Boston: American Meteorological Society, 638 pp.

Amspoker, M. C. and McIntire, C. D., 1978. Distribution of intertidal diatoms associated with sediments in Yaquina Estuary, Oregon. *Journal of Physiology*. 14: 387-395,

Baker, B. B., Neehel, W. A. and Grisenderfer, R. D. (eds),
1966. Glossary of oceanographic terms. U. S. Naval
Oceanographic Office. SP'35. 204 pps

Barnes, H., 1957. Nutrient elements, Memoir 67. Geolo-
gical Society of America 1:297-344,

Beers, J. R., Steven, D. M. and Lewis, J. 8.1965. Primary
Productivity in the tropical north and atlantic. Quart.
Jour. Ela. Aca. Sei, 22° (3): 165-168.

Candelas, G., Cintrén, G., McKenzie, F., 1968. Estudio
Ecológico de la Laguna Grande y"el area de manglar que
la circunda, Report for the Puerto Rico Planning
Board. 38 pp.

Cintrén, C., 1969. Seasonal fluctuation in a tropical bay.
Master? of Science Thesis. Department of Marine
Sciences. University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez Campus,
Puerto Rico.

Coastal Zone Management Program, 1977. Department Natural
Resources, Puerto Rico.

Collier, H. and Kenneth, B., 1953. Observations on the

seasonal changes in? temperature, salinity, phosphates
and nitrate nitrogen and oxygen?in the ocean waters on
the continental shelf of New South Wales and the re-
lationship to plankton production: Proc. Linn. Soc.
W.S. Wales; 60: 304-318,

Connell, J. H., (1973). Population ecology of free-building
corals. In Biology and Geology of Coral Reefs. Jones,
O. A. and Endean, R., (ed.) Vol. 11; Biol. I. Academic
Press, 224-225.

Cooper, L. H., 1935. The rate of liberation of phosphate
in?sea water by breakdown of plankton organisms. J.
Mar. Biol. Asso. V. K, 20: 187-194,

Darley, W. M., 1974, Silification and Calcification, in

46

---Page Break---

Stewart, W. D. ?. (ed). Algal Physiology and Bio-
chemistry. Univ. ?Caliø, Press. Berkely, 685-695,

Degobiss, D., 1975. On the storage of sea water samples

for Anmonia determination. Limnol. Oceanog. 18:
146-150,

bi

Piferrer, M., 1968. Estudio ecol6gico de las lagunas
fig tas Cabezas de San Juan, Report for Puerto Rico
Planning Board.

González, J. G., 1965. Primary productivity of the neritic
and off shore waters of western Puerto Rico. U.S.
Office of Naval Research Contract #NONR 4818 (03).

Gonzalez, J. G., and Bowman, T. E., 1965. Planktonic
copolods from Bahfa Fostorescente, Puerto Rico, and
adjacent waters. Proc. U.S. National Museum,? 117
(3513): 241-3)

Gunther, G.; 1957. Temperature, Memoir 67. Geol. Society
of America 1: "159-185.

Hobbie. J. 6., 1976. Nutrients in estuaries. Oceanus, 19

(5): 41-43,

Holmes, R. W., 1957. Solar radiation, submarine daylight and photosynthesis. Memoir 67. Geol. Society of America 1: 109-128.

Johannes, R. E., 1974. Biology of Hallophytes. Academic Press. N.Y. 375pp.

Ketchum, B. S., Cournier, N., and Keen, D. J., 1955. The significance of organic phosphorus determinations in ocean waters. Deep Sea Res. 2: 172-181,

Lugo, A. E. and Snedaker, S. C.
Broves Ann, Rev, Ecol. and

1978

yst

The Ecology of man-

39°64.

Newel, G. E. and Newel, R. C., 1963, Marine plankton
Hutchinson Educational Ltd., London, 244 pps

Newell, B. S., 1967. The determination of Ammonia in sea
water. *J. Mar. Biol. Asso. U. K.* 47: 271-280.

N. O. 9A; Avg (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
1978. Climate of Fajardo, Puerto Rico. Climatology of
the United States No. 20. Department of Commerce,
Washington, D. C.

Odum, W. E. and Johannes, R. E., 1975, The response of man-

a7

---Page Break---

Proves to man-induced environmental stress Tropical
Marine Pollution. Elsevier (Oceanography Series).

Officer, C. B., 1976. Physical Oceanography of Estuaries.
19 fs).

Owre, H. B. and Foyo, M., 1967. Copepods of the Florida
Current. Fauna Caribbea No. 1 Crustacea, Part 1!
Copepoda. Inst. Mar. Sci., Miami.

Pearse, A. S., and Gunther, G., 1957, Temperature. Memoir
87. Geol. Soc. Am. 1:7159-184.

Raymond, J. E. G., 1963. Plankton and productivity in the
oceans. Pergamon Press, London. 660 pp-

Robinson, G., and Chou, C., 1953. On the determination of
silicate! Anal, Chem. 25: 646-650.

Rose, M., 1933. Copepodes. Publications Federation Francaise
des Societes des Sciences Naturelles. Office Central
de Faunistique.

Saadava, D. and Volcan, B. E., 1977. Studies on the Bio-
chemistry and Fine Structure of Silica Shell formation
in diatoms. Formation of l-hydroxyproline and Dihy=

@roxyproline in *Nitzschia angularis*, Planta 13

Smith, D. L., 1977. A guide to marine coastal plankton and marine invertebrate larvae. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Co., Iowa. 161 pp.

ma.

Sokal, R. R. and Rohlf, F. J., 1969, Biometry W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco. 776 pp.

Strickland, J. D. H., and Parsons, T. R. 1968. 4 practical handbook of Sea water analysis. 2nd. ed. Fish. Resh. B. Can. Bull #167, 310 pp

Tait, R. V., and De Santo, P. S., 1972. Elements of marine ecology: Springer-Verlag, N.Y. 327 pp.

Thomas, W. H., 1966. Surface nitrogenous nutrients and phytoplankton in the northeastern trop. Pacif. Ocean. Limnol. Oceanog. 11: 393-400.

1970. Nitrogen deficiency in trop. Pacif.

~~ Veean- ?phytoplankton. Photosynthetic parameter in

Poor and rich waters. Limnol. Oceanog. 15:380-385,

48

---Page Break---

APPENDIX A

49

---Page Break---

forte;

5

TINOR,

suoraeas pue yauow tod quot9153909 woraouraea | otges ty ?ddy

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

ra[raprapee sel | >

Tr ese[ecze] ass| sss sez] - * 1A

bess arse pee ey fo wi |

we vai [eee] Pe : c

vee cor | sez] ovo - > am |

¥ c z t ¥ £, a

rk :

OS

suor reas pue yauow tod wor20q 943 sayseos ey aysTT y

y orqen *y ?ddy

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

WOT | Ooo] olor ofr [a [vo

7 vsfss | ov | re

wa vifos Per fes

FOS vsfre | os feu nA

wo ss] o ws|rs | vs [os -[a

oe-of os] eo er| ses | sy | 69 a

aay ov] 6 refer [ee | eo a

Woy Ee] Tes v9 Tit

an espe FT ir

a es | Tapes | we [oe 7

¥ arta = z rope

TH

woyieag pur yuo 40d ad UT voTr¥sIU sDuCD LoHALo aBeIAY

c erae ?y ?ddy

\$6

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

{tive abundance of organisms.

relat

1s: Phytoplankton

ued)

(Contin

---Page Break---

separ ATsaeava

waa

1

trogen *y *édy

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

(Continued)

---Page Break---

re | use | ere lsse2 [eee fore [Et [ee yore sopuy Giszeava

---Page Break---

ataea ?y ?day

---Page Break---

erpsr

TE

TE

?Tp

WITTE [or

7

wapur

area

---Page Break---

(anus au09)

---Page Break---

WA Sa oar ee om] Yaa a Sa

| ?f-F ++ ?

wot of} ol o ° of ee

Tp pe po o fo | Sew

+ * Soa ai aioe

7 vo 0 0 0

a oe

T v T T 0 ~o

5 co ve

- ot

aysoduos sarsads oar sejour yo 4 cuoryuerdorsys sequeor SET 51 otgeL ?y ?dy

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

TET] UT PEE [TOE | ois | ow | vse | 9 [ste | sis

Ce

Topo

weT_ foe

0 [0 [ere | oved seater sreewatteg

Tp Wor TIS

Spe pets Wr a5}

ote try aDaas

J

4 worryerdooz seqvos9 S27 yy oxqea ?¥ ?ddy

---Page Break---

\$ of relat

nkton:

Zoopla

App. &y Table 18 Main Lagoon

---Page Break---

0 oearay qstjaeas

gg ggg a

---Page Break---

APPENDIX A, TABLE 19

SPECIES LIST OF THE PLANKTONIC ORGANISMS
CAPTURED AND IDENTIFIED DURING THE STUDY
PERIOD

Kingdom Plantae

Bacillariophyceae

Centrales

Chaetoceros sp.

Coscinodiscus sp.

Rhizosolenia sp.

Biddulphia sp.

Bacteriastrum sp.

Isthimia sp.

Amphiprora sp.

Gyclotella sp.

Triceratium sp.

Pennales

Nitzchia longissima

Thalassiothrix sp.

Striatella sp.

Meridium sp.

Bacillaria sp.

Grammatophora sp.

Asterionella sp.

Licmophora sp.

Peridineae

Ceratium longirostris

Ceratium furca

Ceratium macroceros

Pyrodinium sp.

"4

---Page Break---

APPENDIX A, TABLE 19 - (Continued)

Kingdom Animalia

?Arthropoda

Crustacea

Paracalanus crassirostris

Paracalanus parvus

Acartia lilljeborgit

Acartia tonsa

Labidocera scotti

Euterpina acutifrons

Oithona oculata

Oithona nana

odon sp.

Crab zoea

Lobster zoea

Barnacle larvae

Copepod nauplii

Protozoa

Foraminifera

Coelenterata

Medusae

Annelida

Polychaeta

Polychaete larvae

Echinodermata

Starfish larvae

Chaetognatha

Kronitta pacifica

Chordata

Larvacea

Oikopleura sp.

Osteichthyes

Fish larvae

Fish eggs

78

---Page Break---

APPENDIX 8

16

---Page Break---

tera 1.02] 0.95 | 106 nantass Lt

---Page Break---

coo] st-o| uso | covo o

zror| eres | 9-ez foros soz

aro | zees| ovos| ores | avez [e-os 92

¥a-0 | ovzs| o-zs| ones | avez favor S92

seo | oves| vue] ovse| 6-92 ovas sep F

x ort arts c+

spoysed Annas aya Suyanp 11 uoraers ur ied wT woFrexavesuos Grurtes ¢ orgen ?e ?dy

sporssd Apnas aya Buranp | woraeas uy ldd ¥} wor sesius2v0> zr oraes ?a ?addy

zo] 10] woo [ceo [evo |aza [cio] cial eeo [awa [ooo [wow

wer] et

war fous [ree [eee] ose [ese wer

wie] eee] wee vor fous poe foeel Pee

velo

Tse oer

ois] oo] Ste

Se Dae ose forse | ose

vis] 962] wee pe oes| wr fos fea oss | ove] ©

z Cty

---Page Break---

ps et ett

aia or T.

TRIO

spopsod Apnas ous 2 Add ME Votes UD2lu0 AAYUFLES ?§ OAL 4

Lad Wy vorsesiussues AaTuTTEs ?y oTaEL 4

coms aya 80

porsad

---Page Break---

z

sporsad Apna

sporsad Apms 042

steer ?a ?day

praes ?a ?day

---Page Break---

D

7

7

7

5

es a

7 a

spoyaad Apnas o

posaad

na BUF

np 111A uoyaeas

aeaausu0s &

aur

6 ores ?uw ?dy

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

123u92u02 Ua34e0

---Page Break---

Tr

C+

sporsad spmas 943 Suranp 14, waouo> uaséxo st orqes ?a ?ddy

sported Apmis 943 Suzanp 4 wo

uedéxg Yt etna ?a ?dy

---Page Break---

oro

st os

vel oe of - [

sce] os of [-

Lbs T+

fiawow ?

spoyaod dpmas 24a HupaNp IITA WoleIS Uy Nad LT HOFIEIAWEDUOD wBAXO LE

stars ?e ?ddy

sporsod dpnag 042 urinp 114 voraeas uy qd UY UoTreZU9Du09 WHA

ov ovat

30°0 [oo-o [s0-0 [co-o |co-u [vou [so-a| so-e [so-o fro | -

ws [e* ose

we re por ws fre

a0 os | Pr

wo[or [er per v7 T

TY pao he

s

---Page Break---

st otaen *

por.

wor aeaqussi wi eras?

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

¥

Suanp ta vorseag wy 1 ae 3 otqen ?a ?day

auranp a uo! zz ore *

feve

ve

ee [oo

oe | oo

vr | re [l

cps

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

=

spoqsod

suo eyuomuy

apnag ow 8

sporaod

amas jouoa wyuomey 92 9tgeL ?addy

7" Te

~ Pot

sor ?

0" n

s0°O :

z oo

---Page Break---

eis vi aes

we

---Page Break---

too |zo-0

torz [zoe |

zico fvorz [rove [sore

cro |yo-z foore [ore

zio|so-z |core fore

©

Fant 2 2 ? a

=poied

Apnag oua suranp 1A woraeas ut 1/ a6 J uy woyaeaausuos efuomy ? ts atqeL ?a ?Ady

sporaod

Aonag 948 Buyanp y uopaeag uy t/ 8 Fup usaeszuosuos eTuoumy og eran ?a ?ddy

a x

toro | rol fT TT Ts

- ar}

zo :

cose m

a -{[t

¥ cory s

---Page Break---