

## CEER-X-030

PROPOSED SOLUTION FOR  
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS  
IN PUERTO RICO

mo aN

% CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

é UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO ~ US. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

---Page Break---

CEER 3c

oor ete

INTERNAL ?CIRCULATION

SE

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

PROPOSED SOLUTION FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL

PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

May 17, 1979

---Page Break---

Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY =-?e anne

: 1. Introduction and Background-?????????-?

IE, The Prosene Situ the Centere????? 3

. TET, Future Projection-----nnnmnnnn nnn 5

Revised Misaio-???????????

Competitive Funding Prospects??a??????-??= 5

Energy and Environment Alternatives

tnder Considerations oe

IV. Alternative Institutional, Franevorkg????????????~ 13

Continue as now with DOE and UPR ? 13

Integration with P. R, Office of Energy-?--?~. 15

Establishing a Private Independent

ERerEy Conter=?nemm?ene mm ? 16

16

v. we

Legislative Appropriation---?--???----?---?----- 17.

um. ane 19

: vt. - 20

sore

err yerr @

Miscellaneous Funding Sources

Proposed Legislation

Independent Research Center within UPR

Examples of Possible Scenarios in Energy and

Environment

Long Range Forecast of Energy needs in Puerto Rico

Proposed Puerto Rico Research Institute

The need to explore alternative energy sources

for Puerto Rico

---Page Break---

NUMBER

38

LIST OF TABLES

DESCRIPTION (ABBREVIATED)

Proposed Transition Funding Level

Estimates of Puerto Rico's Energy

Requirements

Schedule of Proposed Scenario

Objectives

Various Programs

Possible Million Barrels of Oil saved

with Scenarios

Potential "Energy and Cost Reductions"

Possible CEER Revenues from Fuels

Tax Rand D Law

Total GEER Funds Requirenonts

PAGE

10

10

a

2

%

---Page Break---

EXECUTIVE sunny

?Te Center outlines it's proposed solution for the oainous problems of

?energy and environment which threaten the well being of the Puerto Rico

commmity. In a national and interational context selected alternative

?energy sources and concomitant enviroensental problems are elaborated.

Necessary funding and possible sources are analyzed, The unique po  
of GEER in ability to exploit the advantages inherent in the Puerto Rico  
site are included.

?The poasibilictes of exporting technology are presented. Relationships  
with U.S, Departaent of Energy, the Comonvealth Energy Office and the  
University of Puerto Rico are discussed.

Basic conclusions are (1) Puerto Rico's energy crisis demands an expand~  
ed role by GEER in R 6 D which previous levels of funding and institutional  
relatfonships cannot sustain, (2) with adequate funding CEER can convert the  
Uatversity of Puerto Rico into a technology exporting organization with  
special relevance to the Caribbean, Latin America and other areas in tlie  
elds of OTE, Bioaass, Photovoltaica, ethanol and solar stean. (3) the  
scale of operations and funding level of CEER are not adequate for perform  
jing the research and development role in Puerto Rico's energy crisis.

(A) No alternative institution of equal capacity for each role is perceived  
to exist in Puerto Rico. (5) Without adequate support for R & D the enersy  
exists will reach disastrous proportions.

Recomendations are (1) that the appropriately redefined role in R'6 D

be assigned to the Center and that necessary funds be provided, (2) That  
CHER remain as a unit within the UPR, but be permitted to retain its  
innovative practices. (3) Meet with the Office of Energy to strengthen,

and (4) Proposed legislation on funding receive the endorsement of the

President.

and

---Page Break---

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND,

Reorganization of the Federal government since the founding of  
Puerto Rico Nuclear Center (PRNC) under the Atomic Energy Commission  
(AEC) in 1956 has resulted in the establishment of the Center for  
Energy and Environment Research (CEER) with a new division and found-  
ing structure. The move in 1975 to start the process of making the  
Center self-sustaining and competitive has necessitated the adoption  
of new strategies for conducting research and finding new funding  
sources. In these efforts CEER has been quite successful. An exam-  
ination of progress toward self-sufficiency has revealed important  
implications for the long term success of the Center. In planning  
now for the future programs and funding for the Center, considerations  
must be given not only to assuring continuity and development of the



Center, but more importantly to its ability in solving the pressing problems of energy and environment with which Puerto Rico and the whole nation are confronted. The problems in Puerto Rico are great

and will require investment of resources which may have not been considered possible five years ago.

The objectives of this document are (1) to present an assessment

of the Center's progress toward becoming a self-sustaining and competitive instrument for energy and environmental research in Puerto Rico, (2) to study various institutional frameworks within which the Center could achieve its objectives, (3) to analyze the trajectories which are likely to follow from alternative funding scenarios and

(4) to recommend an institutional framework and a strategy for seeking funding which are most appropriate for achieving CEER's short and long

run objectives,

---Page Break---

mL.

## ?THE PRESENT SITUATION AT THE CENTER

The Center counts as its principal resources forty three scientists with an established reputation for productivity and responsiveness to the Department of Energy (DOE) needs especially in the areas of tropical ecology, nuclear research, education and more recently in alternative energy source development. The research facilities valued at \$12 millions are the best in the Caribbean and the FY 1979 budget amounts to approximately 3.5 millions dollars of which about 2.2 millions represent base funding. The Center has been more successful than expected in securing funding from competitive sources during the first three years of the transition period (having

cured \$900,000, compared

to a predicted \$150,000 in Fr 1978).

A. Prospects for the Continued Development of CEER After September 30, 1981.

?hen in 1976 it was decided that the Center should begin

the transition for 4 DOE contract facility to integration within the University of Puerto Rico the budget was \$2,706,000 of which \$1,230,000 is base money for training and education. \$294,000 was from competitive grants and the remainder in BER.

The decline in base support from DOE may be noted. It is particularly important in the light of the fact that the UPR has not provided substitute funds,

the difficult

resources management problem faced by the University Administration and regrets the circumstances in which the UPR's commitment

) See Table 1

---Page Break---

ose ose ove ost oot

o \$ 0 § oe \$ of su 8

Ca RT Ww a TT

© © oe oO «©

{HK aswOETARE aR? sow

cork obvana 40 AirSe2ATN.

WT ORTON NOTLISIAL dasOaoK

---Page Break---

of support has not been fulfilled in accordance with the provisions of the DOE (EEDA) action memorandum of April 16, 1976.

Faced with the prospect of declining base support and with it the resources to adequately pursue new sources of funding a decline is foreseen in the ability of the Center

to respond to Puerto Rico's needs as it has been in the past

## LL. FUTURE PROJECTIONS

### A. Revised Mission

The new mission of CHER is to address energy and environ

ment questions that arise for the industrialized, tropical

island of Puerto Rico and to do so in a way which has maximum applicability to other areas.

Puerto Rico needs expert information to guide planners in the orderly development of the island. Orderly development requires the objective assessment of energy alternatives in the context of their environmental and economic costs. CHER is the only institution on the Island with the appropriate orientation, tradition, independence, reputation and expertise to perform this necessary task.

## Competitive Funding Prospects

While DOE funding of relevant research is expected to

continue it will become a smaller fraction of the total

program needs. However, it is unrealistic to expect that

the observed rate of increase of competitive funding can be

sustained. There is need for research in other areas for

---Page Break---

of which GEER is logically the candidate but the dollars available

for the Island are finite and consequently the Center will not

and not have to enter into competition with other established

research units for money from the United States and other

sources. This will require an increasing expenditure of effort

on the part of CEER staff. This is a contingency for which

little provision has been made in GEER structure to date.

Using the national average for the rate of rejection of research

proposals it may be conservatively estimated that 1.3 man years

per year must be spent in grant proposal preparation to yield

1 million dollars of competitive funds.

Research to Secure Environmentally Acceptable Energy,

?Alternative

Vigorous efforts will be required to solve the special energy

?and environmental problé

for Puerto Rico. CHER is already

involved in programs having the appropriate orientation, but

?much more work will be needed to solve the problem. Several

cases may be cited as,exanples of the relevance and cost effective

ness of CEER?s present and planned R & D programs which have

relevance for the Comonwealth.

OTEC, photovolteic, bionsss, ethanol and solar stean are

under consideration as altemative energy sources for Puerto

Rico, More detailed information regarding the K & D scenarios

for these aay be found in Appendix D.

Considering OTEC as an illustration, plans call for «

40 Mw plant generating about 1% of Puerto Rico's, energy needs

---Page Break---

by 1985; a 250 Mw Demonstration Plant providing about 4% of energy requirements by 1990; and a possible 500 Mw addition

to the electrical generating capacity bringing the OTEC total contribution to about 12% by the start of the 21st. century.

For each of the energy alternatives assumptions, costs and environmental R & D considerations are discussed in more detail in the Appendix D. The main points to be stressed here are that the technology in question is cost effective but needs to be adapted and expanded for Puerto Rico to make any sort of reasoned approach toward energy independence. (CHER is the only agency on the Island capable of and already involved in such work for Puerto Rico and CRER will not without assurances of base funding be able to continue this leadership role.

The summary of the examples scenarios considered, under crash type R & D Program heavily involving CEER, is given in



Tables 2 to 6.

Table 2 includes an estimate of the energy requirements in Puerto Rico for the period 1976 through 2000. It is assumed that the present socio-economic structure persists and that no R & D program in search of energy alternatives is functioning. The fuel bill for Puerto Rico during the FY 1979 exceeds one billion dollars and the total bill for the rest of the century is estimated at approximately 156 billion dollars. (2)

(@) Column 6, Table 1.

---Page Break---

TABLE 2

ESTIMATES OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO THE YEAR 2000  
UNDER PRESENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC STRUCTURES AND ABSENCE OF  
STRONG R&D PROGRAM ON ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES





@ ?) ©

MIKLION BARRELS OF OZL

HM@ORTS FOR. ESTEMATED |

year |BLEGHRIGAL | GASOLINE | ?aNbUSTAY | Torat |Inrr parce | TOTAL cost

Ewsacy (1) | \_ç przssu(2)|\_ omieR(3) 4) s/ppt, | (\$ mitions)

so76| 21.7 17.6 26.3

1377 | 23.0 16.2 215

i378 | 24-5 16:5 23:

3973 | 26.0 17.0 25.1 T2705 Toor

3980 | 27.5 17.3 263 16.78 1203

398 | 23.0 18.5 ZT 39.17 4442

3382 | \_29.7 13:0 29.1 21.307 1704

1963} 318 13:8 30-5 25.007 2055

1984 | 33.6 32.0 28.55 2458

1985 33.6 32.70 2339

1986. 35.3 36.29 3390

4987. 3721 40.28, 3903

1988 38.9. 44,72 4633

7969 40-9, 49-60, 5396

1380 42.9 55.00 6266

7991 45.1 58.75 7044

1992 a3 62.75 7856

1993 49.7 ?67. 00 3235

1996 Bar 715.0 3796

1995 a8 76550, 70928

1996 375 Bitz 712078

1997. 60.4 186,00, 13347

1398 63.4 ETRE 14793

1999 66.6. 36.62 716290

2000 28-1 69.9 702.6 718016

roma. \$155,029

(1) Statistical Correlations between population and GNP and between GuP and Electrical Energy Generation. Correlation 998. See Appendix x

2) Gasoline Consumption growth projected conservatively between 2 1/2 - 3% per year vs. 6.6% actual, More accurate predictions to be included in CHER Energy Studies.

(3) Industrial needs projected at 5% per year growth. More accurate predictions to be included in CHER Energy Studies.

(4) Fuel of proces escalation indicated is approximately 1980-85: 14.32/years 1985-90: 11% year; 1990-95: 6.8%/year and 1995-2000: 6% year.

---Page Break---

Table 34 presents an illustrative program of energy alternative objectives under a very tight schedule which will only be achieved

by a concentrated and coordinated effort between the various government energy planning related organizations and in which

GEER is the main R&D researcher. The contents of the table

show the amounts of power in electricity, steam, etc. which could

be achieved in the period indicated.

Table 3B indicates

the amount of fuel saved by the proposed

research program by the indicated scenarios.

Table 4 illustrates the potential contribution of the

proposed energy alternatives scenarios to the total fuel cost

consumption of Puerto Rico. A reduction of nearly 52 billion

dollars equivalent to 36% of the total dollar expenditures up

to the year 2000 is indicated. This large amount is probably

the maximum saving which could be achieved since it is predicated upon a very tight schedule and R & D crash programs requiring inter-agency coordination and cooperation.

Table 5 illustrates « possible source of revenues to finance the R & D program. A fuel tax for energy and environment= 41 research and development is proposed on all non-renewable fuels consumption in Puerto Rico. The tax proposed is based on BTU consumption and it fluctuates between 1.5¢ to 2.5¢ per million BTU. A gallon of gasoline contains some 140,000 BTU, therefore, this would hardly add 0.2-0,35 cents to a gallon of gasoline.

A draft of such proposed legislation is included as Appendix B.

---Page Break---

Page 38

SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED SEWERAGE PROGRAM OBJECTIVES











a @ eo © o © ?

ae ome RST ERR] HERE SO FRENTE ETT

. zxetsimn [arenmoseos] 8° [crgenvreg.)| ? AeLLION BBL OIL

T T = -

. Tr za

am 20

Be a0 za

aa a0 20

6.0 4.0

aa] ET a 43

a ae

3995 [1-500 \$0

7596. Ta LST eo 6.0

997 [1-500 8.0 60

28 |1=5008 6.0 6.0

3995 [150008 60 eo

3509 6.0 0

(1) At east 9-50006 base toad units will be required in the period considered.

ieaitona) fossil fueled waits nosde to be added,

ARLE 38

POSSIBLE MILLIONS BARRELS OTL SAVED HIT PROPOSED SCEEARTOS

@ @ 2 000 ®

THIORNOLATCS Tease Tar

yea| ome | evscre. | Steam Gasohol| miectsie(a) orem | -somatics

soas| 59 0.53

i906] 55 TH [a5 Sma

ager] 233 5a Tae Pao 70.94

Taen [53 55 135 [s.0, 8.07

. p05 [53 10.6 135 ?[ 6:0, Bao

1990 3.06 0.6 3:7 ??fro-0, 53.77

eat | 3.88 Ose 37??fross, 33.77

. [iss 1-306: 1026 ee 33.77

1993 [3.66 [3.83] 3.7 10:6 x7 Hos 20550

Test[ 3-06 [3.53 J 3-7 10.6 5.0 4a17

3995 [49.53 3.53 [3.7 70.6 50 52.8

396] 10:53 ?[ 7.00} 7-4 70:6 =: cm

ser [17-20 [7.0078 19:6 a 65.381

Tee [36a {7.00 ?[ 7-4 19:6 73.35

1999 [30:8 7.00] 7-4 10.6

000-(30:5¢ [7.00] 7-4 106

(2) atinated 60 kv par ton of (S16 moisture) baggeee.

10

---Page Break---





2 2 m oN @

Ocremarrat astra TSE FRACHON WT

THIEN SAME OR ?| 10° nats boLaARs op sczIARIOS

jreax [ow imo | ? Savane ENVIS SINGS OF

Jscemmazos | ?"scananzos | wim" scexarzos | win scenantos | romi-Wow ScBenRz0s|

9.59 17.33 ose

564 ma:67 mo

To8e a0 a

8.07 308 vm

za T63 ae

3377 857 aoe

33°77 ised ae

3377 Zi ae

20°55 ara Fa

a17 3158 Say

saa ?oa a

69:91 2.058 aoe

ca 5.709 a3

73.35. 6,086 a

30:02 aT aie

30.07 3.210 a

97.18 51,909.0 \_

sue

---Page Break---

TABLES

POSSIBLE CHER REVENUES PROM FUELS TAX RED LAW



a @ © © © @ @,

TSe7iO aT 2670 aa 73670" aay

7x oax

YEAR

sw} oe s108] s108 | 9

1980, 6.45 | 0.53,

198 6:77 10-47

1982 334] 0-55

1383 4-06[0-48,

1984. ese [53

1985. St [ae

1986. 7.46 [-a1

1987 12;89-[-37

1988 12:83 [33

1989) 12.81\_[-30-

1990) 12.02-[-27

1991 12.92 [26

1952 WaT [oe

1993 13.85 -[-22

1994 13.92 [21

1995 53.49 [-20

1396. 13.32 [18

7397 33.32] -17

1398) 13.34 | 16

7999) 13.29 16

2000 14.34 | 15

-R-

---Page Break---

Ww.

Table 6 illustrates the total CEER funds requirements for the illustrative scenarios. The last two columns of Tables indicate the suggested source of funding.

Column 13, labeled "Base Funding Requirements" in Table 6 is the minimum projected funding requirements for CEER. If the proposed example scenarios or any other similar type program is not undertaken, CEER still needs to be funded to the level shown in the indicated column. This is discussed more fully in the section below.

?An adequate attempt to solve the energy problems of Puerto Rico will require that during the period 1980 to 1990 a total of approximately \$199 million (3) be made available

?This represents an average investment in R & D for energy and environment in the vicinity of \$18 million annually.

#### ALTERNATIVE INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS

Faced with the problem of continuity and growth the Center

?has considered the means of assuring both. The alternatives are

dealt with briefly below. More extensive versions are contained

in the Appendices.

A. Continue within the existing organizational structure of UPR and extend the present relationship with DOE.

DOE is well aware of the capabilities of CEER and

Pro

ie Likely to approve soae continuing relationship.

Pro 2+ The UPR is Likely to continue to look favorably



upon CEER activit 

?and give it vholehearted

support.

@ Column 1%, Table 6

=e

---Page Break---





---Page Break---

Pro

ae

Continuity will not require any adjustment to the new perspective which might be required if new sponsor or organizational location results.

Levels of funding will not approach the amount required in an adequate program as outlined above, Increases in funding from UPR are not likely to be forthcoming given the percentage commitment which has been realized in the past.

The alternative will not provide the dynamic organization and 4

issues which Puerto Rico's energy and energy

research problems demand,

B. Integration with the Puerto Rico Office of Energy to

Tove ountewpare te-U.8. 00 form a Stas.

Pro

Pro

Pro.

on

ae

A total integrated approach to the probless of enersy  
would result.

More efficient utilization of resources night be  
achieved.

Possible sponsors or funding might be attracted by  
the coubined efforts,

?The executive branch of government is not supportive

of resi

urch activities. ?Operational? and "Service"

considerations usually outweigh research needs.

Full integration within the public service might  
rigidify the organization and might lessen the  
responsiveness and flexibility which have characterized

ie until now.

-15-

---Page Break---

c

con 3+ Anew Department of Energy would suffer the normal

growth and development problems in a new bureau~

cratic structure. How long it would take to get

beyond its own problems of organization to those of

energy and environment is an open question.

Establishing an Independent Private Entity

Setting up a completely independent private organization

Right prove attractive to some but the divorce from the

University would be against the philosophy of the Center which

perceives its role as a member of the University community.

Bonds with the UPR system do not prevent the Center from

facilitating work with private universities. At present such

activity is an ongoing part of the functioning of the Center.

Modifying Present Arrangements with UPR

It is obvious that CEBR is well able to function as an

autonomous research institution. Perhaps then, the idea of

it being a wholly detached, essentially private institution

should be explored. This exploration could take into account

the histories of the Michigan Engineering Research Institute, Southwest Research Institute (University of Texas), Jet Propulsion Labs (Cal Tech), and counterparts at Harvard, Stanford and Carnegie Mellon. While still closely related to their respective university systems, these organizations operate as integral corporate structures. Control is still exercised by the University through representation on the Board of Directors, but day to day management and finance

-16-

---Page Break---

functions are carried out by the administrators of the research institution.

Objections to this arrangement can be expected, resistance might be overcome by paying dividends on stocks or interest on bonds to the University and by contractual agreement to provide limited free research assistance and facilities. The

advantage to the University would be an immediate reduction in operating costs, and if CEFR were successful, there would be



the potential of a good income from both Government and private

## FUNDING ALTERNATIVE - THE BASIC PROBLEMS

### Legislative Appropriation

Various alternatives of CEER funding were investigated and discussed

by the staff. They included:

(a) Extension of the DOE contract.

Good prospects exist for negotiating a new contract with DOE

but it is the general consensus of the staff that the level of

funding will not be close to that desired to adequate basic

funding.

(b) The probability of increasing the UPR budget to the levels of

\$518 million annually.

A very low probability of success was given to this alternative.

tive.

(c) Request to the Legislature to allocate to CEER part of Puerto

Rico Water Resources Authority (PRVRA) contribution in lieu of

taxes. Law 83 of May 2, 1941 requires PRVRA to contribute with

due

---Page Break---

Sx of its gross revenues to the State General Fund. However, recent amendments has committed fully this contribution in

relation with the fuel adjustment claw:

subsidy given to consumers with less than 400 kvhre monthly. The alternative was discarded.

(@) Request to the Legislature for fixed yearly allocations in the level of \$5-18 million (The Rum Pilot Plant legislative fund

allocations history was reviewed), Due to the present tight

government budgetary conditions a low probability of eucce was assigned to this alternative.

(©) The enactment of @ new bill inposing a tax of 1.5-2.5 cents per silliion BTU on all Saported fuels consumed or sold in Puerto Rico to finance CHER programs. Appendix B describes the proposed

Legislation. This is considered the most logical alternative.

#### + CONCLUSIONS

1, Puerto Rico's energy crisis demands an expanded role by CEER in R&D which previous levels of funding and institutional relation?

ships cannot sustain,

With adequate funding CHER can convert the University of Puerto Rico into @ technology exporting organization with special relevance to the Caribbean, Latin America, and other areas in the fields of OTEC, Biomass, Photovoltaics, Ethanol and Solar Steam.

3. The scale of operations and funding Level until now were adequate for transition from the Puerto Rico Nuclear Center to the found=

ing of CEER, They are not adequate for performing the research

and development role in Puerto Rico's energy crisis,

---Page Break---

4

var.

No alternative institution of equal capacity for such a role is, perceived to exist in Puerto Rico.

Without adequate support for R & D the energy crisis will reach disastrous proportions.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS:

1, It is recommended (1) that the appropriately redefined role in 6 D be assigned to the Center and that necessary funds be provided, (2) that CHER remain as a unit within the UPR system, but be permitted to retain its innovative practices, (3) that ties with the Office of Energy be strengthened, (4) that proposed legislation on funding receive the endorsement of the President.

---Page Break---

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

APPENDIX A

MISCELLANEOUS FUNDING SOURCES

?CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

8 April 1979

---Page Break---

nm

APPENDIX A

MISCELLANEOUS FUNDING SOURCES

## PATENTS

Towards the generation of funds it is recommended that duly concentrated effort be dedicated to the development of Center

policy relevant to the Licensing of patents in energy and envi-

ronment. If necessary, the policy could extend to all units in

the UPR System with the obvious benefits which would accrue from inventions resulting from the projects financed by the UPR and

CHER within it. Because there are potential patents in on-going

work, it is suggested that the patent study begin as soon as possible in order that the economic benefite may be promptly

realized.

## ?PUBLICATIONS AND DATA SERVICES

As a further revenue generator it is recomended that the

possibility of establishing a Publications and Information Division be explored. Offerings for public sale would include texts in Education, Research and Service in the fields of Energy and Environment, The publications would be available in Spanish and English with selected items in Portuguese and French.

In addition to publications a service could be offered in

providing ba

the data in Energy and Environment to interested parties. In the past data related to solar applications has been

requested by domestic and foreign corporations holding contracts

---Page Break---

uu.

with private industry or government agencies. Direct and diffuse

radiation data collected in our measuring stations has been

requested and provided without charge. The companies using the

aa

charge their clients for this service. It would seem reasonable that a policy for recovering costs to the Center could be implemented. In similar fashion it would be possible to recover the cost of publications such as those pertaining to solar applications for domestic solar heaters from which there is an obvious benefit to the consumer.

#### INDUSTRIAL LIAISON PROGRAM

As part of its design for continuity and development CEER has established an Industrial Liaison Office. The function of this office is to provide essential services to industry in supplying information to prepare reports on the state-of-the-



art in pertinent fields, organizing conferences and symposia,  
and various other services. Interaction between industry and  
the University, long discussed but short on actual exchange of

?meaning between the two promises soon to be a reality.

?An analysis of counterpart activities at leading Univer:

ties on the mainland suggests that the Massachusetts Institute

of Technology (MIT) Model is the most appropriate. Discussions  
have already taken place with MIT and CEER personnel participating.

No relevant obstacles are anticipated

in putting the program in

?operation. Revenue generated by the program will lessen the

financial burden of the Center.

---Page Break---

## ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

### APPENDIX 5

#### ?PROPOSED LEGISLATION

(CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

8 April 1979

---Page Break---

### APPENDIX 8

?A BILL FOR APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE  
CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIROWENT RESEARCH  
UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

#### STATEMENT OF MOTIVES

?The Center for Energy and Environment Research of the University of Puerto Rico is an institution dedicated to the study and development of new energy resources such as the sun, wind, and sea while also exploring the potentials inherent in recycling, conversion, of clination of the waste products and pollutants of modern society.

?Among its current projects are the development of solar photovoltaics,

?ocean thermal energy conversion, use of sugar cane hybrids as biomass

fuel, bilharzia control, effects of industrial developments and popu-

lation growth on land masses, etc.

The Center's principal objectives:

1+ To serve as the focal point for energy research in Puerto

Rico, in order to achieve energy independence.

.- To help Puerto Rico develop the scientific engineering and other trained personnel needed for the future in the energy eaviron~  
ental and related fielda.

3+ To continue research and training programs in environmental sciences and technologies.

The Center for Energy and Environment Research of the University

of Puerto Rico, evolved from the Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, established

by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission in 1957. The Nuclear Center was

---Page Break---

operated by the University of Puerto Rico for the Commission until the agency was superseded by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) in 1975. The Nuclear Center trained more than 2,000 students in nuclear sciences, engineering and medicine. Now the Department of Energy is funding CEER through a contract with the University of Puerto Rico, This evolution has given CEER the required expertise and modern available facilities. At present the CEER has under study or development more than forty (40) principal projects related to energy conversion and or conservation.

The current energy crisis which is caused by a world energy shortage is expected to get worse through the remainder of this century.

Puerto Rico, with its total

dependence for energy on imported fossil

fuel, is particularly vulnerable to dislocations in the global energy

market. This is an anomalous situation as there are few places in the world so generously endowed with natural energy: solar radiation, ocean temperature differential, wind, waves, and currents, all potential non

polluting power sources

CHER has been doing some projects in this respect using the funds allocated first by the ERDA and now by the Department of Energy using the present available facilities which are capitalized at approximately twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000).

These facilities are being transferred to the University of Puerto Rico by the Department of Energy (DOE).

CEER has been operated by the U.P.R. under contract with DOE in

?which the latter funds all the operational costs while also allocating

eb

additional money grants for individual projects on @ competi: 8.

These projects are for the development of energy from natural resources and also for the protection of the environment.

-2-

---Page Break---

In September 30, 1981 the contract expires and thereafter DOE will not cover the operational costs of the CEER and although the funds obtained from grants on a competitive basis will continue they will not be enough to cover all the expenses. It is therefore, necessary that

the Legislature appropriate the necessary funds to cover the CHER

operational needs in order to continue the development of new energy resources which will fulfill an urgent need for the people of Puerto Rico.

For said purpose,

Be it enacted by the Legislature of Puerto Rico

It is hereby found and declared that the purposes of the

center for energy and Environment Research (GEER) of the University of Puerto Rico are for the development of environmentally acceptable energy alternatives through research on new fuels to substitute for those made from petroleum and research to understand and protect the ecology and natural resources of the Island and that said objectives are public purposes in all respects for the benefit of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

2+ The programs already started should continue, and new projects and grants sought to perform research and development is

already established, due to which it is necessary that the Legislature

appropriate the required funds to continue the same.

3+ The sum to be appropriated every year are to be obtained

by levying taxes on all types of fuels, crude, refined or combination

of both, that shall enter into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico as herein specified.

---Page Break---

= Taxes to be levied shall be equal to one and a half cents (30.015) per million BTU's (British Thermal Units) of calorific value or its equivalent for the first two fiscal year (1980-81; 1981-82); two cents (\$0.020) for the next two fiscal years (1982-83; 1983-84); and two and a half cents (\$0.025) for each fiscal year thereafter.

5+ The Secre

sary of the Treasury of the Commonwealth of Puerto

Rico is authorized and directed to collect the mentioned taxes and to place the amount therein collected at the disposal of the Director of the CHER starting July 1, 1981.



6- ALL laws of parts of laws in conflict herewith are hereby

repealed.

J This Act shall take effect ninety (90) days after its

?approval.

---Page Break---

[ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

APPENDIX C

TNNOVATIONAL ORGANIZATTIONAL STRUCTURE WITHIN

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

(CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

8 April 1979

---Page Break---

## APPENDIX 4

### THE POSSIBILITIES OF ESTABLISHING AN INDEPENDENT RESEARCH

#### CENTER INTEGRATED WITH THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

Given both the history of GEER and its current mode of operations, it is clear that it could, and does in fact, operate as a relatively autonomous arm of the University of Puerto Rico. While subject to general university policies and reporting directly to the Office of the

President, its routine activities and its relationships with other institutions are determined by the Director and implemented by the in-house staff.

Under these conditions, it is worth considering the further benefits which would accrue to the UPR and the increased flexibility which CHER would develop if it were to be operated as a quasi-independent Research and Development Center under a new corporate structure. This development would parallel the histories of some well known institutions such as Arthur D. Little (Harvard), Southwest Research (University of Texas),

Jet Propulsion Labs-JPL (Cal Tech) and many others which are lesser known. These organizations had their inception as "Think Tanks" or

specialized university res

such laboratories during World War II as specifically funded operations and then evolved into independent research institutions as their expertise and experience broadened and become more generally available while still closely related to their respective

university systems. Under their independently operating corporate structure their flexibility, responsiveness, and competitiveness has not

---Page Break---

only eliminated the financial obligation of the University to support then, but has proven to be a valuable source of non-legislated funds for the University as well. Because of its equity position and the resultant representation on the Institution's Board of Directors, the

University still has a voice in the policy and operation of the insti.

tution.

## GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Implementation Procedures

Prepai

stion of preliminary proposal and time schedule by CEER

Sstablishment of URP/CEER Liaison work committee to draft  
necessary legal / University and administration steps.

Stepwise authorization by President, Univer:

a8 required.

ity Board, CHE

Establishment of non profit corporate legal structure.

Organizing of Board of Directors

Botablishuent of CEER administration

?Arrange transfer or long term lease of CHER facilities for

UPR to CEER for UPR equity.

Eotablich CEER-UPR financial relationship.

eablich CEER-UPR scientific relationship.

Inpresentation Requisites

1

GEER base funding sufficient for 5-10 year minimun operating level.

CHER competitive funding growing at established ra

UPR willing to develop this relationship.

ALL legal and university regulations allow implementation  
of can be modified to fit the situation.

---Page Break---

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

summary

[APPENDIX D

EXAMPLES OF ALTERNATIVE SCENARIOS IN

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

7 April 1979

---Page Break---

THE ENERGY PROBLEM IN PUERTO RICO

Various efforts are being undertaken by a variety of organizations in the Puerto Rico Government in the pursuing of solutions to the energy and environmental problems which are adversely affecting

Puerto Rico and its general economic welfare. Every effort tends to provide some degree of assistance to the solution of the energy problem. Probably, as the Director of the Office of Energy has said, the final solution is not under one option, but on the sum of many options taken together. The efforts of energy conservation, for example, should not be underestimated as well as other programs now under consideration.

The

seriousness of the energy crisis is now looming more closely and threatening the Puerto Rican livelihood, economics, health and every sector of the very life and blood of the present civilization as we know in the western world. It is, therefore, felt that an outlook with an aggressive energy program with definite goals and objectives should be developed and pursued to bring forth solutions in the shortest time possible but with known and calculated acceptable risks.

CHER studies on the economy of Puerto Rico and the dynamics of population growth predicts that in order to maintain neatly the

sane level of economic welfare the electrical energy generation for the year 2000 will be three times the electrical energy generation

at present. This does not include technological developments which

---Page Break---

will tend to u

more electrical energy such as the electric cars

which are now being introduced in the world markets. Appendix E

"Long Range Forecast of Energy Needs in Puerto Rico? describes the

Model Used for the predictions. This Appendix is part of an energy

study being performed by CEER.

?The growth in electrical generation indicates that the Puerto

Rico electrical system will need to add roughly twice the actual

generation capacity before the year 2000 in order to keep just

approximately the same level of economic welfare. This statement,

under the present serious prediction of increasing fossil fuel costs

and scarcity of fuel oils is rather alarming. An aggressive program

to address the massive amounts of electrical energy generation

requirements of Puerto Rico is required



soon as possible.

## CCEER PROPOSED PROGRAM

In order to positively address the energy situation CCEER

Proposes, as an example, a strong R & D program on the following alternatives:

1. Onshore

2+ Photovoltaics

3 Biomass

4 Ethanol (Motor Fuels)

5+ Solar Steam

Specific objectives are set for each of these alternatives with approximate start of operation dates and schedules of required R & D funds.

---Page Break---

Each alternative is evaluated economically in the Puerto Rico energy scenario, from the economic and technological potential and

the present state of development and the interest of the Federal Government, various approaches which might be acceptable by the organizations concerned are developed.

The summaries of the scenarios considered, under a crash type

R&D Program heavily involving CHER, are shown in Tables 2 to 6.

The following traces out the salient points of the overall program. Appropriate details are presented later in this Appendix.

Table 2 indicates an approximate prediction of the energy requirements in Puerto Rico up to the year 2000. Under the present socio-economic structure and without a strong R&D program on alternate energy sources, the fuel bill for Puerto Rico during the present 1979 year exceeds one billion dollars and the total bill for the rest of the century is estimated in 155.829 billion dollars.

Table 3A presents the mentioned example Program of energy alternative objectives under a very tight schedule, only achievable by concentrated and coordinated effort between the various government energy planning related organizations and in which CEER is the main R & D researcher.

Table 3B indicates the barrels of oil saved by the proposed

crash program example scenario

Table 4 illustrates the effect of the example energy alterna

tives scenarios propo:

1d in the total fuel oil consumption of Puerto

Rico. A reduction of nearly 52,000. million dollars equivalent to

---Page Break---

TABLE 2

ESTIMATES OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO THE YEAR 2000

UNDER PRESENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC STRUCTURES AND ABSENCE OF

STRONG AND D PROGRAM ON ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES





pt w ?) ©

MILLION BARRELS OF OTL,

INPORTS FOX Tre

wear | EIECTREGAE | GASOLINE | INGOSTRY] tora | yer raice | TOTAL cost

enency (1) | \_¢ presen(2)| ¢ omeR(a 4)" Sfp | (3 witrions)

s976 | 21.7 17.6 26.3 64.7

1977 | 23.0 Tez 215 62.7

1976 | 24-5 16:5 23:5 65.0.

1979 | 26.0 1.0. 35.7 66.1] 14.70 Toor.

soa [27:5 378 26-3 Tie7 | 16.76 1203.

1981 | 29.0 185 a7 75.2 19.17 1447

3982 {29.7 29-1 77-8 | 21.30 i704

1983 [31.8 30-5\_[e2.2 | 25.00 2055

sor] 33-6 32.0 26.1] 28.55 2858

(ses [35s 33.6 89.9 [32.70 2939

3986 | 367 353 93.4 | 36.29 390.

i987] 37-9 a7. 96.9. | 40.28 3803

98a 42-2 36:9] 103.6 | 44.72 4633

1989 | 44.8 40.9] 108.6 | 49.60 3396.

1390 | 47-4 42.9} 113.9 | \$8.00 6266.

7991 | 50-8 451 119.9] 38.75 7048

1992 | 53.41 a3 T2521 62.75 ase.

3993] 56.0 49.7} 130-8] 67-00 9295.

3994] 59.1} 52.2] 137-0. | 71-30 3736.

39951 62C 54.8] 142.8 | 76.50 70928

199665.) 37-5] 148-9. 61-12, 12078

3987 | 68.1 60:4 755.2] 86.00 13347

cs 63.4 1623] 9.15 14793

7999 7a 56.6 168-6 | 96.62 76230.

2600-| 77-6 ?69.9 [175.61 102.6 18016

TOTAL \$155,829

(1) Statistical correlations between population and GP and between GNP and Electrical Energy Generation. correlation 99%. See Appendix f

(2) Gasoline Consumption growth projected conservatively between 2 1/2 - 3% per year vs. 6.6% actual. More accurate predictions to be included in CEER Energy Studies.

(3) Industrial needs projected at 5% per year growth. More accurate predictions to be included in CEER Energy Studies.

(4) Fuel cost escalation indicated is approximately 1980-81  
1985-91

14.32 /year;

LX year; 1990-95: 6.8%/year and 1995-2000: 6x year.



-4-

---Page Break---



T Poppe oq OF sposd sayun Dolony Trssoy (euOFIIPPe ?]

spxopyaues poyzad sta uy pazynbox oq TTTA SITUN PLOT esr MIDDS-6 ISPOT IW (1)

os os

9 99 iOoS=T

o9 09 ?st005=1

o9 9 PROOS=E

9 or8 Te \_| moe

9 os ROUSE

oF Ts

oF os Te [moe

oF 8,

oF 0°9 \_

oF oF3 EE ROSE

ore op TAO,

oe oF wz

ore TOR

oe ar

aor

Wears ?OR ara TOR ?

iO Tad?nOrFing [bax aebzow Pou aon

says uo) invsis wvlos Lozu0 |) towns | sswore |? \*orvsnosotous Ed

w o © o © @ a

?STDIN WCE SORANDS GASOATE GS INTIS

ve s18vE

---Page Break---





Tor oT

Or 00°F

?OL ore

Sor 0072

on 00°e

este

ese

ese

0°04

o-04

8

9

oe

?ore f

SRE, | was [CET TASSTE rouoreD Was] asap ? 5, a

?ToweHss soenore SOI TONOIONE me SK

@ w oO @ @ ow @ 3)

SOTEVWUDS GUSOIOLE KITA GEAVS TIO STING SNOTTTIK ATEISSOA

ac mvs

---Page Break---

TABLE &

POTENTIAL, "ENERGY. AD COST REDUCTIONS"

WER EXAWPLE SCENARIOS





any roy ? ) (6)

RSTO. REDUCTION RL FRACT\_GN Te)

TELLION BARRELS OTE 30° pais DOLLARS (oF scenanos

\wear [10 SAVINGS ?SAVINGS ?SAVINGS OF

scenanzos rm scevanros| wri sceNARios | TOTAL-wow SCENARIOS

s905\_| 99.9 0.53 17.32 0.58,

906] 93.4 364 204-67, ee

3987] 96.9, 70:94 40 Te

1988] 103.6. 18.07 208 a

1969] ?108.6. 23.40 Tr 60- 2

1990] ?113.9, 33.77 1857 38

iea1\_]\_119.9, 33.77 11984 28

i992] 125.2 33.77 Bets aie

3993 [190-8 20:50, ERIC 238

1994] 137.0 44217, 3158 328

1995] 142-8. 52.88 aoa ae

1996] 148.9 0:04 42868 408

fiss7 [155.2 6638 3709 ae

1398] 162-3 73:35. 6886. a7

1995] 165.6 30-02 Tere are

[2000 [175-6 50.02 3,210 ast

Irorars| 2072.6 657.18 51,909.0 368

loose

108

---Page Break---

362 of the total dollar expenditures up to the year 2000 ie accomplished by the example scenarios. This high figure is probably the

naximum saving which could be achieved since it is predicated

under 4 very tight schedule and R & D crash program requiring inter~agency coordination and cooperation.

Table 5 illustrates a possible source of revenues to finance the R and D program, A fuel. tax for energy and environmental ?research and development is proposed on all non-renewable fuels consumption in Puerto Rico, The tax proposed is based on BTU consumption and it fluctuates between 1.5¢ to 2.5¢ per million BTU. A gallon of gasoline contains some 140,000 BTU, therefore, this would hardly add 0.2 ~ 0.35 cents to a gallon of gasoline.

?Table 6 illustrates the total CEER funds requirements for the example scenarios. The last two columns of Table 6 indicate the suggested source of funding.

---Page Break---



a @)

2c aro

?)

?Year

si08 | 8

1900

1981

1982

1983

1980 saae [ss

985. 13-1 fae.

1986. Tie Lar

3987 32:89 [37

7388 12-63 [33

1989) 12,81 | 30)

1930) 32.02 [37

1597 72:92

1952 3.71

1993 73.35

7594 33.92

7995, 13:49,

7096. 13.32

397 13.32

7058 13.34

1399 13.29

2000 Tad



---Page Break---



---Page Break---

?The logic in selecting and setting the example scenarios has

been based in the information, experience, and knowledge generated from R and D programs being undertaken by CEER since 1976. The level of effort has been very low, at the level of 2-3 million dollars per year, 100% funded by Federal Department of Energy. This low

level of effort needs to be incremented considerably as has been indicated in order to produce meaningful results. Economic considerations and evaluations, potential capacity of the alternatives to meet the local energy needs and actual technical status and projections of the alternatives were taken into considerations.

These can be summarized as follows:

OTEC (Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion) makes use of the temperature differential between deep sea waters (3000 ft) and surface water to generate electricity.

This concept has the potential of generating all the energy needs of Puerto Rico at some future date, Ocean based or floating type of plants in the southern Caribbean sea will have practically no impact on land utilization resources.

It is estimated that an OTEC-10 (40 Mw plant) concept could be operational within 4 years. Preliminary economic calculations

under certain

sumption indicate PRURA could afford \$26.2 million dollars toward investment and the energy obtainable will be comparable in cost to one 450 Mw coal plant located at Rincon with Flue Gas

Desulfurization. It is suggested that the Puerto Rico Government contribute with the same funds for research and development. The

a

---Page Break---

project is estimated in \$300 million including escalation and interest

ducing construction. The Federal Government appropriation requirement is \$247.6 million. A risk analysis consideration indicates an acceptable calculated risk for public corporation,

Cost calculations were performed for 250 Mw OTEC concept operational by the year 1990-91 and is shown to be 61% of the 450 Mw coal plant cost of electricity. From this it is assumed that PRRA

?can then finance completely such concepts from there on.

Such an aggressive approach will definitely win the OTEC-10 concept for Puerto Rico over the Gulf States and Hawaii competition,

OBER requested R & D funding are indicated.

PHOTOVOLTAICS - Photovoltaics systems produce electricity by converting direct solar radiation into electricity using photo

electric cells. A large fraction of the energy is stored for use during non-daylight time, It is a complete static system with no

?known adverse environmental effects, The concept has enough potential

to generate all the electric energy needs of Puerto Rico required by the year 2000 but it will require 90,000 ~ 100,000 acres of Land - enormous farms of solar collectors cells and electronics.

The objectives for photovoltaics systems are defined in the Program, its economics in the Puerto Rico scenario assessed and the R & D funds requirements are scheduled.

The most ambitious objective in the program is to have an

industrial park with cogeneration (steam for industries plus

electricity) of 250,000 kv capacity for early 1990's, CER experience

2

---Page Break---

on a small similar project being planned at present is of paramount importance for the undertaking of this major task.

?The economics of the project indicate that the energy costs will be 48% of the cost of @ 450 Mw coal plant, without the steam cogeneration portion. When the steam portion is added the economic attrac-

tiveness is even higher. These costs were determined for the P.R.

scenario by using higher costs than the most recent basic data cost information, 1)

R&D funds need to be secured by CEER from the Puerto Rico Government for this project in the level of \$40 million excluding advance

{6 assumed that the Federal Government will

qe

concept development

match these funds for a total of \$80 million requirements in R&D. A consortium of private enterprises, PRNRA and Fouento is suggested for the capital investment.

BIOMASS ~ Biomass is practically an agricultural enterprise. It

consists of planning selected optimized species for mass production,

harvesting, solar drying storage, transportation and burning the bio-



was in a suitably designed boiler to produce steam to run the turbo generators that produce the electricity. As such, an electric plant fueled with biomass is not very different from a conventional fossil fuel fired power plant. Biomass alone can supply all the energy needs of Puerto Rico by the year 2000, but it will require 700,000-800,000 acres of land. One single 450 MW plant in operation by the year 1987,

operating at 75% capacity factor could supply 13% of the electrical energy needs. Approximately 55,000-60,000 acres of Land will be required to feed the plant.

"Using Solar Electricity and Feasible Approach to Solar Energy-Holfgang Beles eal" Beate HURBHE Pfoerem, Commission of European Communities

---Page Break---

The principal and immediate objective in a biomass program will be to convert an existing sugar mill to handle 1000 tons of biomass

per day and determine the logistics, production, burning efficiency, transportation, etc. The size is equivalent to 62,500 kv electrical boiler and is large enough for extrapolation to 400-500 Mi boilers.

The economic analysis indicates that biomass is the costlier of

the three alternatives, but still has @ good economical advantage

over coal alternative. The preliminary calculation indicated that the cost of electricity from biomass is 86% of the cost of electricity from a 450 Yi coal plant. In its favor, is the fact that this alternative will require the least expenditure of funds in R&D. Technologically it is the least risky of all three considered but is, of course, the most costly.

The principal objective is to develop the necessary data so that PRIRA can within 1-2 years incorporate, in its steam boiler bids specifications, enough data for specifying boilers to burn any of three fuels - oil, coal or biomass, and have all the logistics developed to burn biomass by the year 1986-87.

**ETHANOL (MOTOR FUELS)** - Ethanol can substitute gasoline or can be blended with gasoline to form a mixture as gasohol. Gasoline with 10% ethanol can be burned in motor vehicles without carburetor modifications, For mixtures greater than 10% ethanol carburetor

modifications are required.

The consumption of gasoline in Puerto Rico during last fiscal

year was 658 million gallons, Consumption has been increasing at the

?

---Page Break---

rate of 6.62% per year during the last 12 years, The gasoline require

ments of Puerto Rico for the year 1990 (assuming the growth rate is

halved) is estimated conservatively in one billion gallons of gasoline  
(equivalent to 1.67 billion gallons of ethanol). This could be

weeane planta~

produced with a program requiring 1,000,000 acres of

tion which is approximately 83 % of the agricultural land in Puerto

Rico, Cost are estimated to be competitive.

The K & D program objectives include the modification of # sugar mill to process 4000 tons of green sugar cane per day to produce approximately 6000 gals per day of ethanol and the extrapolation of the experience to larger industrial scale to produce 1Z of the gasoline requirements by the year 1990, The indicated objectives are based on approval this year of planned pilot plant operations at the UPR-RIM Experimental Station and existing programs of development of saccharum

hybrid spec

for increased yields. Total R & D Funds requirements are estimated at 12-13 millions excluding advanced concepts developments.

SOLAR STEAM ~ CEER has developed a highly efficient and inexpensive solar concentrator for producing industrial steam. A project is underway with Bacardi Distillers to produce solar steam at the Bacardi Rum Plant in Toa Baja (Palo Seco).

The production of ethanol as well as many other industrial processes, requires large amounts of steam. The production of 11% of the gasoline requirements for the year 1990 in ethanol will require

approximately 1 million pounds of steam per day.

---Page Break---

The program objective is to reduce the cost of ethanol (and

fe least 40% of the steam

the energy requirements) by supplying

requirements of the ethanol project previously described with solar energy.

?This will further enhance additional industrial uses of the technology.

Te is estimated that the R § D funding requirements for this

project is \$25 million excluding the development of advance concepts

and related material development.

Total Budget

The total R & D budget which will be required by CEER from the

Puerto Rico Goverment to agrossively attack all alternatives

4s indicated in Table 6 entitled "Summary Table of Total CEER

Funding Requirements for Example Scenarios"

The details and rationale of the proposed program are contained

in the technical analysis which follows.

16

---Page Break---

APPENDIX D

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SCENARIOS

contents

Page No.

1. Introduction »

A. Program Objectives

B. OTEC Economies in P. R. Scenarios 9

©. Approximate Cash Flow of Funds for 25

Demonstration Project

D. Extrapolation to a larger OTEC Plant 25

B, Risk Analysis Considerations 26

F. Advanced OTEC Concepts 2

OTC Environmental Research Scenario 28

HL, Photovoltaics 30

AL Program Objectives 30

B. Photovoltaics Economics in P. R. Scenarios 7

©. Cogeneration Photovoltaic Project 7

D, Advanced Photovoltaic Concept R & D 40

E, Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar a  
Photovoltaics

TIT, Biomass

A, Program Objectives a

B, Biomass Economics in P. R. Scenarios ?

©. Energy Research Funds Requirements for Biomass 48

D. Advanced Biomass Program 49

E, Environmental Research Scenarios for Biomass ?9

uv

---Page Break---

contents

Ww,

vn

vin.

## ETHANOL, (MOTOR FUELS)

A. Potential and Economic Implications

B. Program Objectives

C. R and D Funds Requirements

D. Advanced Concepts for Ethanol

E. Environmental Research Scenarios for Ethanol

## SOLAR STEAM

A. Potential and Economic Implications

B. Program Objectives

C. R and D Funds Requirements

D. Advanced Concepts for Solar Steam

E. Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar Steam



SUMMARY TABLE OF TOTAL CEER FUNDING REQUIREMENTS FOR  
EXAMPLE SCENARIOS.

SUMMARY TABLES

Table 1 ~ Reserved

Table 2 ~ Predictions of Puerto Rico Energy Requirement  
to the year 2000 under the same present socio-  
economic structures and under the absence of  
a strong R and D Program on Alternate Energy  
Sources.

Table UA- Schedule of Proposed Scenarios Program  
Objectives

Table B- Possible Million of Barrels Oil Saved with  
Scenarios

Table 4 ~ Potential "Energy and Cost Reductions" with  
Example Scenarios

Table 5 - Possible CEER Revenues from Fuels tax R and

D Law

?Table 6 ~ Total CEER funds Requirements for OTEC,

Photovoltaics, Bthanol and Solar Steam

Rand D Prograns

Page No.

50

50

3

3

36

56

37

37

58

39

6

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

as

---Page Break---

## ANALYSIS OF EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE SCENARIOS IN BYERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

- orEe

### A. Program Objectives

1, Demonstration Plant in Operation by the year 1984-85.

A 40 Mf plant should be planned so that extrapolation

to at least a 5-fold scale could be attempted in a

second generation plant. (10 Mi Modules as per OTEC-10,

DOE Program). This plant could generate about 1.12

of Puerto Rico energy needs by 1985.

2. Large Commercial Plant in Operation by the year 1990.

?A 250 M61 plant can be planned as an extrapolation of the Demonstration Plant.

?The Demonstration Plant plus this plant can generate 7% of Puerto Rico energy needs by the year 1990.

3. Electrical System Addition on a competitive Basis.

First 500 MY OTEC Plant in operation by the year 1995 and additional 500 Mi OTEC units in the years 1977, 78, and 79. ALL the OTHC units could be generating the equivalent of 17.5% of the electrical energy requirements of the year 1999.

B. OTEC Economics in Puerto Rico Scenarios

?A 40 MW Deno Plant is estimated to cost about \$5,000 per kW in 1978 dollars.

The estimated cost of energy can be roughly figured as,

follows:

»

---Page Break---

Investment charges

a, Project Investment

-\$200,000,000

(60,000) (5,000) (\*) ??

. Yearly Tinvestment charges

at 10z cost of money ~ \$ 20,000,000

cc. Yearly energy production

at 85% capacity factor ?????-?----?- 298 x 10 kwh

4: Investment charges in mille/

ewe ? aaannnnnn-n= 67.1 wils/ivhr

Operation and Maintenance (OSM)

?The OGM cost of an OTEC Plant cannot be too far off the costs of an equivalent oil plant.

?The marine portfon, such as hull and exposed sea water parts vill require nore maintenance, but these parts could probably be taken care of in a larger tine cycle than the routine yearly maintenance. This could probably be accom  
plished by moving the plant to special shipyard facilities

?Assuming that the single OTEC plant will take the same amount of manpower as the two (450 Mi each) of fueled Aguirre Unite thie would amount to approximately a staff  
of 170 men. At an average

wry of \$24,000 per man,

(PRVRA average salary fér power plants) the total staff

salary would 6:

(#) Feasibility Design Studies-Deep Oil Technology, Inc. Subsidiary

Fluor Corporation. Unpublished. February 1979.

20

---Page Break---

Total Staff Salary

$170 \times 24,000 = \$4,080,000$

The ratio for a coal plant (which is a more complex  
: operation) between total staff operation cost including  
Five Gas Desulfurization costs has been determined by

CEER Studies to be 2.33. Using the same ratio:

Total O and M

(2.33) (4,080,000) ?- ?- 9,506,000

06M costs in nilts/

lever 31.9

Fuel costs

?The fuel costs are estimated to be 0.0

Total costs

Desonstration Project-99.0 mills/kvhr

' 1978 dottars

1985 Total levelized o

This cost can be estimated by including escalation and interest during construction and levelizing the 08M cost during the plant 1ifetine. Assuning 7% escalation per year, one year period plaming and contracting arcan~

. genents, 2 years design and 3 years construction, the



interest during construction and escalation factors can

be worked as follows: (Assuming @ straight Line cash flow  
of construction funds):

?Wor escalation and Interest during construction considerations  
as well as levelizing considerations, cost of money, etc, see

REPEREE, SPER aS ia PRR HO, SOBEL SH ComeReLLY ave  
a

---Page Break---

PLANNING DESIGN [coNSTRUCTION

1379 1980 1982 1985

Escalation before construction = (1.07)<sup>3</sup>

Escalation during construction = (1.07)<sup>1+5</sup>

Interest during construction = c.07) 15

Investment Escalation and Interest during  
construction ~- Total Factor = 15.

Operation Escalation at 7% /year between  
1979 and 1985 ~ one = 1s

Levelizing factor for 35 years lifetime  
at 10% cost of money in a St infl  
tionary economy yield a levelizing  
factor of 1.75 (\*)

Total levelized cost 1985

Investment charge

(1. 0.5)

Operation and maintenance

(31.9) (1.5) x 1.75

40 Yi OTEC Plant total levelized

we 184.3 mills/evhe

(#) For Escalation and interest during construction cones

?a5 well as levelizing considerations, cost of money, etc

Separate CHER studies (Base line costs of commercially available energy alternatives in P. R. scenarios).

2

---Page Break---

Comparative Cost

?The above cost can be compared with 92.54 mills/tonne for a single 450 Mw coal plant at Rincón with flue gas Desulfurization, 35 years Life and operating at 75% capacity factor (the lower capacity factor is justified in an economic dispatch competition).

If the investment charge of the OTEC plant were 8.8 mills/kvhe the coal plant and the OTEC plant will have the same energy production costs of 92.5 mills/kwhr (total levelized cost during plant Life); at 8.8 mills/kwhr the total yearly investment charge will be \$2.62 millions (85% plant capacity factor) which justifies an investment of \$26.2 millions in terms of 1985 dollars for PRIRA (or \$17.4 millions in terms of 1978-79 dollars).

If the local Government matches these PRIRA funds for the R&D and substructure requirements for a total contribution of \$52.5 millions dollars (1985 dollars) from Puerto Rico, the Federal Government contribution to be sought is 267.5 million dollars (1985 dollars).

The fund distribution under this scheme could be:

\* CHER Studies on Baseline Costs of Commercially Available Energy Alternatives.

The cost quoted needs revision for cooling water system acceptable alternatives.

---Page Break---

(CONTRIBUTION IN TERMS OF 1985 DOLLARS

PRIRA \$26.2 millions ~ (plant investment)

PLR. Gov, 26,2 millions ~ (RéD)

Fed. Gov. 247.6 millions ~ (plant investment plus

RAD)

\$300.00 militions

Operational

PRHRA 08M 23.70)

PRIRA Investment 8.8

Sub-total 92,502)

P. R, Gov. Investment

Total P. R. 101.3

Federal Gov. 83.0

Total 184.3

?The funds assigned by the Puerto Rico Government should

be mainly for RAD, substructure facilities, laboratories, and

operational R&D.

CD This should be, the marinus Fixed by contract.

(2) This cost is equal to the energy production for the 450 MW coal plant discussed.

4

---Page Break---

# Approximate Cash Flow of Funds for Deno Project

PLR. Cov. PRA ?OE

Year| Year Cumulative | Year Cumulative| year Cumm.

19 \_ \_ \_ \_

20 2 2 sor 6 sx} 5

oy as 2 10x 20 sz | 10

82 ast a2 10x 30 sx | as

83 16 38 sox 40 ast | 30

Ba 20% 7% 202 60 soz | 60

as 2 1002 soz 300 40z | 100



In terms of dollars the contribution to OTEC from the

Puerto Rico Government should be:

Year] 1980 1981 1982 [1983 | 1984 | 1985]

dae

3

3.01 | 3.97 | 3.93 | 4.09 | 5.26 | 5.86

#### D. Extrapolation to Larger OTEC Plant ~(Objective #2)

TE the results of the Deno Project are satisfactory an extrapolation to build a 250 Mii plant can be made with a high degree of accuracy. PRMRA can share a higher risk and the Government also.

le is expected that such a plant would cost \$1500/kw in terms

of 1978 dollars.

---Page Break---

?The cost, of such a plant would b

Investment charges:

2500)"

Wey CS)

and in term of 1985 dollars = 30.2 aille

= 20.1 mills

06M costs will be assumed to be twice the staff cost (1978  
dollars. )

$(9,506,000) \times 2 = 10.2 \text{ milte/iwbe}$

SUDO) ETE CBS

?The levelized 1985 dollars will be:

$(20.2)(2.5)(2.75) = 26.7 \text{ mils ewe}$

Total cost is 56.9 mills/kwhr.

This is much lower than @ fossil plant. PRWRA can finance it completely.

Risk Analysis Considerations (of Demonstration Plant of Objective No. 1)

Since PRKRA is a public corporation, it has to operate under sound economic policies in order to market its investment bonds in the open bond market. It cannot invest in any venture without taking a calculated risk. The percentage of

investment funds assigned to PRWRA in the preliminary economic analysis presented here is 8.733% of the total funds.

+ Feasibility Design Studies ~ Deep Oil Technology Inc. Subsidiary

Fluor Corp. Unpublished. February 1979.

6

---Page Break---

If we correlate as @ zero order approximation the risks of  
4 project success to the investment by the private sector on a

one correlation between risk and investment, then we can assume  
that if the chances of success of OTRC are better than 8.733/100  
the PRWRA is taking an acceptable calculated risk. We feel the  
risks of OTEC success can be conservatively figured on « 50/50

basis. The balance

'5 to be provided by government. We also  
feel that the Puerto Rico government, in undertaking the same  
risk as PRWRA, is taking an acceptable risk. It is promoting a

needed energy alternative which will be multiplied by various orders in additional revenues. CEER studies under consideration will quantify this benefit for Puerto Rico Treasury and the general welfare,

Puerto Rico will be taking 17.46/100 combined risk and the Federal Government the balance.

We feel that more refined calculation in risk analysis and

project co:

waring should be worked out with more time and funds

availability to CEER.

?Advanced OTBC Concepts

After the first OTEC plants become operational RED funds need to be secured for improvement of the existing embryonic technology and technical problems which might arise.

?The foam GTEC concept under investigation by CHER should

receive more detailed consideration then. A yearly assignment

27

---Page Break---

6.9 tes se 18 wl) ab at fe

me pons fe 9 ot a0 en bp

SD eve eect ac pen

sons exes ran cee

in [uss [an [aw | na

G. OTH Environmental Research Scenario

?The primary environmental issues a

jockeyed with OTEC appear

to be associated with:

1, heat exchanger design

2. intake design

3. discharge design

4. working fluid design

5. general unit configuration

ALL the above impact upon the process of site selection. A

Schematic of the interrelation between the technology development,

?the development of needed, environmental information and

economic/

esthetic consi

erations is presented as Figure 1.



It is assumed that the funds for environmental research are

included within the allocations already mentioned

28

---Page Break---

FIGURE 1

OTEC ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

OTEC ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMIC/ AESTHETIC  
TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION (CONSIDERATIONS  
DEVELOPMENT NEEDED

## 1. Heat Exchanger | Biofouling Potential | Fouling influences

Design of different configurations | efficiency, control, materials | methods cost

?and modes of operation

?Toxicity of control | Potential reduction

treatment in fisheries

Intake Impingement potential | obstruction reduces

Design efficiency

Entrainment potential | Potential reduction

of biotic stocks

reduction of fisheries

Discharge Field effects of Redistribution of

Design different plankton reorientation

Configurations and | of fish

operations Alteration of primary

Influence on productivity-Food chain

currents alterations leading to

Influence on ele- | alterations in fisheries

mental distribution

Influence on tempe-

ture

Bioaccumulation of heavy|

metals in food chains

leading to man

4. Working Fluid | Field effects of Direct human injury

Design leakage

?Acute Direct kill of organisms

Chronic Toxic or stimulatory

effects, shifts of comu-

nities, losses of economic!

: species, losses of

aesthetically important

---Page Break---

## II. Photovoltaics

### A. Program Objectives

1, Small scale demonstration (162 Wi) project to be located at CHER.

This small project will provide know-how to deal with this new technology and will develop greatly needed

?human resources to tackle larger projects.

Project operational by mid 1980. Data gathering there~  
after.

2, Electric Power Installation in the higher insolation

?areas of Southwestern Puerto Rico to provide 250 si

photovoltaic installatiof by the year 1993 and an ad-

dition of 250 YW photovoltaic plant capacity by the year

1993,

3, A cogeneration project to develop power and steam in an

industrial park with the photovoltaic plants.

B. Photovoltaic Economics in P. R. Scenari

1. Storage Criteria for P. R.

It is assumed that 1/3 of the energy output of the

photovoltaics during daylight time (B hrs) will be deli-

vered directly to the load and 2/3 of the energy gene~

rated during the same daylight time period will be stored

Note: The KW power value indicated are on a 24 HR continuous rating (storage included). Assuming an average of 8 hours insolation in the 24 hr. daily cycle, the solar plants will have a peak capacity of three times the average 24 HR rating.

---Page Break---

for delivery during night hours (16 hrs). This requires 1 KW plant peak capacity for 8 hours to deliver to the load 1/3 KW average capacity for 24 hrs, The charging rate ca?

capacity of the storage system will be, on an average:

basis, twice its delivery rate, This provides an emergency "spinning" reserve of three times the continuous rate capacity of the photovoltaic installation for the electric utility, since the storage system can be discharged at the same rate as its charging rate. Credit for the extra "spinning" reserve capacity can be credited at the rate of capital cost of a conventional gas turbine. To take care of absence of solar radiation during rainy days and overcast skies and storage system maintenance

nance problems a 25% additional energy storage will be provided.

At an efficiency of collection and production of 4.5%

and aver

insolation power of 7 KW-hr. per square meter

per day, the required area for producing 1 KW of conti-

nuous power is:

$3 \times \frac{1}{8} \times 76.2 = 27.075$

os)

---Page Break---

The average insolation power per square meter is

$\frac{1}{24}$  or .0417 kW-hr per sq. m.

per 24 hour das

## 2, Investment costs

The cost of a photovoltaic installation can be

approximated by the following relationship:

Plant cost \$=

w Wane ai) Cinsolation paver

+ Power Conditioning Cost (\$) + Storage Cost (\$).

co iw

?The following value are assumed from the present  
day technology and extrapolation of the sane.

1977 dollars

(> Total array efficiency = 4.5%

(2) Array cost

Solarceil cost!) 4): 1,0 mill/ea? or \$10.00/a?



Wiring,

eructure,

installation cost/s? \$10.00)

Total array cost: \$20.00/a2

(3) Storage cose per tah \$25

(&) Power conditioning cost per kx: \$50

Plane Costs

0, 0.250256) + 50

(045) (.292)

= 1522 + 500 + 50 = §2072/kw

?A §200/kw could be credited due to twice available

' reserve capacity, but will be neglected.

?G) Coste of GS]at predicted by Unesco for 1993.

(2) Sane as cost predicted by Unesco.

(3) costs of \$20.00 per ka-hr predicted by Unesco. Solar electricity and economic approach to solar energy-wolfgans palz energy development program Commision ?of European Communities Brussels. UNESCO 1978 3

---Page Break---

3.

3.

Land and land rights charges:

The area for the plant (at a rate of 76.2

per

KW is 4760 acres of land. An area of 5000 acres will

be assumed at \$2000 per acre the land cost ie \$10,000,000

Total Plant Cos

Plant:  $(250,000) (2072) = \$518 \times 10^8$

Land: 5,000 acres a 2000  $\times 10^8$

see 108

Investment charges in mills/kw-he.

?The scheduled and forced out:

rate for photovol~

taies must be lower than for an OTEC plant, for which  
an 85% capacity factor has been assumed. We feel that

three weeks outage per year for photovoltaics is more

than adequate, for forced and scheduled maintenance.

This yields 94% capacity factor.

?The investment charges at 102 cost of money and 94%

capacity factor will be, in terms of 1977 dollars,

Investment charges in mills/kw h.

= 28) G1) 108

= \$.026

(8760) (250,000) (.94)

26 mills per kw h.

28M Coste

06M costs will be figured on the basis of an assumed

33-34

---Page Break---

plant staff, The area? per Xf of plant power is 76.2 22,  
therefore for a 250 YW module an ares 4760 acres is re~  
quired. Such large farm electronics, wiring, etc. will  
undoubtedly require some personnel, The following is  
?assumed:

1 Superintendent

2 Asst, Superintendent,

2 Secretaries

5 Shift Supervisors

10 Shift operators

2 Electrical Engin

4 Blectrieians

2° Blectronfe Engine

4 Electronic Technicians

1 Instrument Engineer

4 Instrument Technicians

1 Mechanical Engineer

3 Mechanics

2 clerks

2 Sanitors

5 Gardeners and general Landscapers

20 Security men (4 guards/shifts)

5 Shift chauffeurs

35

---Page Break---

1 Chauffer (regular hours)

3 Utility men (general)

2 Chemical Engineers (storage system)

8 Assistant Chemist (storage «

tom)

1. Warehouse (spare parts) supervisor

2 warehouse clerks

1 Accountant

1 Purchaser, estimator

1 Clerk,

93 Total

?Ave. salary per man \$24,000

Total salaries (24,000) (93) = 2,232,000

?Assuming a factor of 1.0 for material replacenment,

ete., (and we believe this to be

very highly conser

vative assumption since photovoltaics is a static system).

Year Total OM \$4, 464,000

mills/ew = 4,464,000 = 2.1 milis/kwh

(250,000) (8760) (.94)

Total costs:

lavestaent 25.00

0.

© and M



Total (1978 dollars) 27.1 mills/kwh

---Page Break---

1985 Dollars Cost (same factors

for OTEC Concept)

Total escalation for Investment (1979-1985) = 1.5

?Total Escalation Factor Salaries (1979-1985) ~ 1.5

levelizing factor for Plant Life for Escalation of

0 M=175

Investment: (26) (1.5) 39.0

Operation (2.1) (1.5) (1.75)

wer

?The cost of an equivalent coal plant is 92.5 mille/ih (450 Mt coal plant). The photovoltaic concept cost of energy is 48% of the cost of @ 450 Mw coal plant.

The project should be suitable for commercial financing.

The cost of the plant itself, estimated at \$2072/iw can be twice or higher in cost and still the plant will be competitive with coal.

### Cogeneration Photovoltaic Project

+ The economics of photovoltaics looks very promising in

the P. R, Scenario, Since a photovoltaic installation takes

a very large area a power plant site needs special consider-

ation. An industrial park can very well be developed adj

cent to the photovoltaic plant where process steam is produced

during the daylight hours from waste heat of the solar collectors and backed up with oil fired boilers or biomass fired boilers during the night hours. Such a system will offer

37

---Page Break---

?great economical incentives to industry. The magnitude of this project will require detailed research which is being

performed at CEER on photovoltaics and waste heat collection.

2. Photovoltaic Cogeneration project cost estimate.

a, 250 MW Power Plant Cost \$467 millions

b. Cogeneration Cost Estimate (for evaluating level of R&D funds requirement only).

About 4 KW thermal power is produced for every 1.00 KWE produced in the CHER 150 KS cogeneration project under

consideration. A steam flow of 2,122 lbs/hr. at 220°F with an enthalpy of 765 BTU/lb is predicted together with fan output of 151 kwe. There is no condensate return in the CEER project. For a large co-generation project, condensate will have to be returned.

Assuming 10°F condensate (obtainable with sea water once thru condenser) the amount of heat that can be extracted is approximately 900 Btu/lb of steam. This is equivalent to 12,600 Btu/hr. of thermal heat delivered per kw-hr. of electrical power generation.

The total amount of heat that can be delivered in a Large co-generation project of 250,000 KW will be  $3.15 \times 10^9$  Btu/yr. (note that the 250,000 KW is the ave. 26 hr. daily generation, The plant peak power capacity is three times

---Page Break---

higher? and it stores all the 24 hr. energy in the assumed

8 hrs. of daylight).

?At 80 capacity factor of the steam portion, yearly ge-

eration in thermal heat is  $2.2 \times 10^{19}$  Beu/year. Figuring conservatively \$2.00 per MUBtu steam cost for @ competitive

project total gross yearly revenues are \$44 million dollar:

?The cogeneration project level of investment will there fore be in the order of 800-900 million dolians.

For any such project the R&D funds are figured at 62.

?A level of \$50 millfon dollars will be required for the 86D of auch a project. Since the project is predicated under fan economical basis, electricity being nearly half the cost of a coal plant, and steam cost much lover than from ofl fired plant, the project can be funded by finantial enter prises on a comercial venture with PRWRA, Fomento and the P. R, Government. The project could be in operation by 1991-1992.

Te Se assumed that the P. R. Government can contribute with 50% of R&D Funds and the Federal Government with the reaining soz.

P. R, Governsont assignment to this project is at a level

of \$25 millions (1979 basis).

---Page Break---

>.

?The funding distribution is estimated as follows:

Research Funds for Photovoltaic Cogeneration \$105

Year B. R. Funds

Escalation Actual

79 - \_ s10®

1980 50 1.08 38

1981 -70 ay at

1982 1.00 1.26 1.26

1983 2.00 1.36 2

1986 4,00 1.59 5.88

1985 5.00 Lm 7.95

1986 5.00 1.85 7.40

1987 4.00 2.00 4.00

1988 2.00 2.16 1.62

989 2.33

25.00, 40.73

Advanced Photovoltaics Concepts RED

R&D funds for advanced concepts and material research

as well as improvement of existing operations facilities

should be allocated at least at the level of one million

dollars yearly (1979 base

) beginning in 1987, When escalation

is figured at 8 per year from the base year 1979,

---Page Break---

the following is the net result:

ADVANCED PHOTOVOLTAICS CONCEPT FUNDING (\$ W311

for 1988 1991-1990

1.85 2.0 2s 2.33

Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar Photovoltaic:

?The primary environmental questions arising from this technology have to do with:

1. site selection, given areas of land involved and

2, the actual construction effects on the sites.

?The first question requires research by resource economists and ecologists on the alternate uses of the land including evaluation of the possible destruction of rare and endan-

gered life forms. The

second research effort is primarily

of the nature of an Environmental Impact Statement and

might properly be subcontracted to a qualified industrial/

environmental engineering firm,

It is difficult to estimate the costs of environmental



research efforts required, but it will be assumed that

such costs are included within the allocations indicated.

a

---Page Break---

TIT, Bona

A, Program Objectives (In addition to actual program of species identification and production optimization):

1, Design, construction, and operation of a pilot boiler

plant with a capacity of 1000 tons of biomass fuel per

day achievable by modification of an existing sugar

mill, Project can be operational within 12 months after initial authorization, including the collabora:

tion of the PR Department of Agriculture and the

Sugar Corporation, Boiler size is comparable with a 62,500 kw electrical power plant boiler and is considered large enough for @ sevenfold extrapolation to ?an acceptable 450 Mw boiler plant.

2. PRWRA shall be ready to request bids for 500 Mw steam boilers suitable for burning any of three fuels (coal, oil, or biomass) by 1981 or 1982, and have an operational plant ready for 1987 or 1988. Additional units could be operating in 1989, A 500 Mw plant operating at a 75% load factor will supply 10.7% of the energy needs by 1990.

3. Routine considerations to be given by PRWRA, under available technological know-how and market conditions, for evaluation of biomass on competitive basis with

other available alternatives for future electric system

---Page Break---

additions beyond year 1990,

Bionase Economics in

Scenarios

1. Pilot Boiler Plant: It de estimated chat a two-year

project denonstrating a 1000 tons per day pilot boi-  
er plant, operational on @ 12-nonths basis, will

cost approximately \$2.5 million in sugar-mill modifi-  
cation and logistics considerations plus \$400,000 for  
one year operation and data gathering. About 1/3 of

the investment will be in the bionass production phase, with special reference to off-season biomass production during a 4-month interval when bagasse will not be available. To produce this fuel the project will require land rentals in the order of 4,000 acres from

the Department of Agriculture (\$160,000/year for two years), irrigation water charges (\$96,000/year for two years), purchase of four, 15-tower center pivot irrigation systems with pump and diesel engine installations (\$380,000), and purchase of bionass harvesting equipment (\$250,000). The Department of Agriculture budget is estimated at \$512,000, and total production costs at \$1,142,000. With the addition of unforeseen cost items the total value of the 2-year project is estimated to

be \$3.9 million. Continued production and operational

43

---Page Break---

2.

charges for years 3, 4, and 5 will total \$2.05 million.

This project will provide industrial-scale data

incident tot

a, Biomass production

1b. Logistics of biomass harvesting, drying, storage,

transportation, and incineration

ec. Logistics and costs of biomass-delivery technology

4. Furnace performance and design

Since the pilot project cannot be evaluated under  
& competitive economical basis its costs will be added  
to those of a commercial project identified under pro-  
gram objective to. 2.

Large Scale Plant Project

Calculations for a 450 Mw plant will be made in  
terms of 1985 dollars in order to compare with « eimi-  
dar coal fired unit.

Cost of power plant to burn coal and biomass

a, Investment charges

Coal Plant: \$683/kw (1978 dollars)

Biomass plant:

A credit of \$28/net kw can be given to the biomass plant for the unneeded equipment to burn no sulfur

fuel but at the same time additional requirements

4a

---Page Break---

will be necessary to burn both coals and biomass

the same boiler. It is assumed these two costs

cancel out. The cost of the biomass:

burning plant

yuned to be the same as the coal plant.

Biomass power plant \$683/kw (1978 dollars)

Investment charges same as for the coal plant 1985

@ollars.....23.2 mills per wh. (CEER energy

studies).

>. Fuel Cost

?The fuel costs for biomass has been figured at \$25(1) per ton delivered with a heat content of 15,000,000 BTU per ton. This yields \$1.66 per million Btu delivered fuel cost (Alex Alexander information). This cost is taken as 1979 fuel cost.

?Assuming the same carrying charges for a biomass

stock storage of 3 month as was assumed for coal,  
the carrying charges in biomass is 1/6 (1.66) (.1)  
or 4 cents per million BM. The fuel costs at  
1979 dollars level is therefore \$1.70 per BTU

including 3 month stock storage charges.

?Gy This Tnclude \$1900 par ton production cost and \$6/ton transporta~

Drying of biomass will beon the field, cut and scattered.

Bales or bundles are truck transported from the field to the electric  
power plant storage pile.



Levelized fuel cost 1985 dollar, 7 1/4 % escalation.

1985 Fuel Cost = (1.70) (1.0725)<sup>6</sup> MeBTU

a

Levelized (35 years) cost!) = 1.75 (2.59)=\$4.52/m<sup>1</sup>U.

With a plant heat rate of 10,000 Btu/iwhr (at 75%

capacity factor).

o

Levelized fuel cost is 45.2 mills/per-nr.

operation and Maintenance of the bionat

operation

will be taken equal to 2 coal plant less the operation

naintenance of a FD Syste, This estimated cost

for O&T of Desulfurization System for coal plant ?)

is = STR (401 + 10P<sub>gq</sub>) (LP) (1 +)", wher

S = sulfur content of coal 2/100

P<sub>y</sub> = price of Limestone \$/ton

TR coal firing rate tons/be.

R<sub>aq</sub> \* price of sludge disposal #/ton

LF = plant coal factor

= escalation

Y= years between time of estimate and beginning

of operation.

?(See CHER wergy study. For levelization theory. This takes into

account rising costs during plant life.

(2) 1 ton of sulfur requires 4 tons of Limestone to produce 5 tons of

dry sludge. This

tons of wet sludge, which requires disposal.

is combined with 5 tons of water to produce 10

46

---Page Break---

Using the same figure

for the coal CEER plant study:

$PL = P_{yd} = \$5.50/\text{ton}$

$\delta = .03$

$T_e = 200 \text{ tons/hr.}$

$LF = 75\%$

$Y = 7 \text{ years}$

$e = 08$

Substituting above figures in the formula gives, OM Desul-

furization Plant =  $\$5.2 \times 10^6/\text{year}$

The equivalent:

06M cost in milie/kwh

tor Pop system ) ig

$5.2 \times 10^8 \text{ 1.91 milis/ewh}$

(414,000) (75) (8760)

The levelized 35 years OM for FOD System

Leverizes © om cost FoD =  $(1.91)(1.75) = 3.35$  mills

The total O&M levelized cost for @ coal plant has been

determined at

? 3.3

ess ??-?-???? 3.3

cost O&M Biomass plant = 12.0 milis/kw

(Q) Goal plant gross capacity is 450,000 kw. Net capacity will be

414,000 ew.

(2) The factor of levelization of 1.75 is derived in other CHER studies.

It levelizes the effect of increasing escalation of operation and maintenance during the life of the plant.

a

---Page Break---

Total cost for Biomass plant 35 years levelized cost.

1985 dollars is:

Investment (same as coal plant) 23.2

Fuel 45.2

OSM 12.0

Total (Biomass fired plant cost) 80.4 mills /kwh

The comparable cost for a coal plant is 92.5 mills/kwh

If the 80.4 mills/kwh is corrected for the investment of

6.00 million (escalated) research funds invested in objec-

tive number one the correction is rather small. This

correspond to .000357 mills/hr. The R&D funds will be more than recoverable in the program. In addition the multiplying factor in the Puerto Rico economy of a billion dollars reinvested in local fuel of biona:

versus coal of oil more than pays for the project.

The second and third objective of the program can stand for its own economical basis.

©. Energy Research Funds Requirements for Biomass(1)

1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985

1979 Base 2.0 50h 4

Bevaluation 1.0 1.08 1.17 1.26 1.36 1.47 1.59

?Actual 2.16 0.59 0,500.54 «59,64

(2) Late revision by Dr. A. G. Alexander indicate mall additional total funding requirements in the order of \$930,000.

---Page Break---

## Advanced Bionass Programs

For the development of advanced programs such as fluidized bed systems, pelletizing, cycle improvements, technical difficulties of developed methods which needs impro-

venente a yearly assignment of 3/4 million in 1986 and \$1 million thereafter is allocated (1979 basis). When

escalated at 8X per year the resules is:

ADVANCE BIOWASS PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT. (MILLIONS \$)

1986 1987 1988 1989 1990

185 2.00 216 2.33,

Environmental Research Scenario for Biomase

The primary environmental issues associated with bio-

fuels include:

1, Atmospheric emissions quality and quantity and poten-

tial toxicity to humans and other biota

Residue disposal including possible beneficial uses  
of the ash as soil amendments.

Secondary environmental research which ought to be pursued

is the possible coupling of sewage and other waste disposal to

the rearing of biomass to ameliorate the fossil fuel subsidy re-

quired for high biomass yields.



Biomass production requires of land and site selection

4

---Page Break---

to consider the possible alternatives uses of the land

as in the case of photovoltaic generation.

It is difficult to estimate the cost of the research

program for a biomass program. However, it will be

assumed that such costs are factored within the allocations

indicated.

IV. Ethanol (Motor Fuels).

A. Potential and Economic Implications

Gasoline consumption in Puerto Rico during last fiscal

year (1977-78) was 678 million gallons. Gasoline con

Consumption has been increasing and is presently increasing at the rate of 6.62% annually during the last twelve (12) years (1966-1978).

Ethanol could be produced from sugar cane as a motor fuel substitute at prices which will be competitive with gasoline by the time that a project to produce and market ethanol can become a reality. Predicted costs of ethanol

are in the ranges of \$1.00 to \$1.25 per gallon.

The equipment and facilities required are existent in

Puerto Rico and they will require relatively small investments for conversion.

Cane juice is extracted by conventional sugar cane mills

In Lingayundun, Juice is clarified in existing sugar mills

(i) Office of Energy Data

(2) Sugar crops as a source of fuels - DOE - 1978

---Page Break---

clarifiers and rotary vacuum cleaners and concentrated to about 20% total sugar content. From this step on a aeration is required to the sugar mill, This modification involve yeast fermentation of the concentrated juices (fermentation can last 12-18 hours) and distillation of the same.

The cost of additions is in the order of 10-15% of the investment cost of a sugar mill.

In the sugar industry, bad weather or rain is a 4:1 ratio to the sugar sucrose yield which reduces the revenues of the farmers. This is not so for alcohol production, and for the contrary it will be an as

?The production of ethanol from sugar cane and of electricity from the sugar cane bagasse combined with the utilization of cane waste

Lization of cane waste

is a very attractive program.

Ethanol yields today from sugar cane is 15.6 gallons per ton of green sugar cane. Today the average production

of sugar cane in Puerto Rico is approximately 28 tons per

acre. Alexander) has estimated that with a program

partially optimized for biomass, yields as high as 29 tons

of dry biomass (116 green tons per acre) are obtainable

today. The ethanol yield would be 1800 gallons per acre.

Historically, experience has shown that yields under

?actual field conditions are much lower than under controlled

?The potential of sugar cane as a Renewable Energy Source for Developing Tropical Nations - A. G. Alexander

se

---Page Break---

experimental facilities. It is therefore logical to expect lower yield of ethanol per acre than the indicated figure.

of this calculation we will assume

For the purpose

1000 gallons of ethanol production per acre with 65-75

green tons of sugarcane per acre and 18 tons of dry biomass

In order to produce the same gallons of ethanol

equal to the same gallons of gasoline consumption last

year in P. R. a total of 658,000 acres will be required.

However, because of the lower heat content of ethanol this will be equivalent to only 60% of gasoline requi-

resents. In addition this plantation could produce the

total energy requirements by the ethanol plant and generate 50% of all the electricity requirements for the

year 1982 by burning of bagasse. The acreage indicated

represent 50% of the total agricultural Land in P.R.

The implications to the sugar industry and to the energy situation in P. R, could be very far reaching with such a potential program.

However, before any major scale operation is attended it is necessary to develop realistic information pertaining to all the technical data and economic evalua-

tion of such a project to produce ethanol and biomass for

electricity.

---Page Break---

### 3. Program objectives:

1, Selection of saccharum hybrid candidates for evaluation in a combined production of ethanol and dry biomass. The agricultural part of this program is

under the direction of Dr. A. G. Alexander and eui-

table candidates have already been identified.

Evaluation of the ethanol production at a Pilot Plant level. A proposal for a pilot plant of 600 gallons per day is under preparation and will be ready by May 30,

1979.

Conversion of a sugar mill to handle 4000 tons of sugar cane per day and produce 62,500 gallons of ethanol per day (approximately 2.0% of gasoline consumption during 1977-78) will require an investment of \$1.75-2 million dollars in additional costs plus RED funds, This project is to function in parallel with the biomass boiler project requiring 1000 tons of dry biomass (4000 green tons) per day. Project operational by year 1983.

Large Scale Operation ~ Goal for 1986

Ethanol production to equal 11% of 1990 gasoline requirements.

Investment cost for a new

(Q) Assumes growth rate is reduced from present 6.6% per year to 3.3%

Per year. Total 1990 gasoline consumption is predicted to be one billion gallons. One gallon of gasoline is equivalent in heat content to 1.67 gallons of ethanol.



---Page Break---

facility (optimized) \$225 million. cost could be  
Reduced to \$60-105 million if existing sugar mills  
are considered. Economics studies of both altern:

tives are required. In addition optimization studi  
of ethanol for electric energy and electric cars  
Scenarios need to be considered versus ethanol for cars

1¢ sufficient

Electrical generation with bagasse

to feed 50% of the fuel requirements of 500 MW electrical machine at 75% capacity factor (equivalent

to 10.7% of the electrical energy needs in the year

1990 as stated under objective number 2 of the bio-

mass program), Investment cost equivalent to @

coal fueled electric plant, of \$325 million

It was shown that the alternative of direct firing of bio-

mass for electricity generation alone was competitive with coal.

The combination should yield additional economic advantages.

The agricultural land requirement for both alternative com-

binations will be twice the value

required for biomass alone, because

of the lower yields used.

34

---Page Break---

### RAD Funds Requirements

The estimated RED costs of this project, based on using existing sugar mill facilities and a total project

cost of \$150 millions at 6-72 of cost is

### ETHANOL R&D PROGRAM FUNDS REQUIREMENTS

179 Factor ?Millions

Year \_ \$ millions Escalation Actual

1980 50 1.08 5h

asst 1.00 a7 Lay

3982 1.00 1.26 1.26

1983 1.50 1.36 2.08

1984 1.50 3.47 2.2

1985 2.00 1.59 1.58

1986 0.75 un 1.28

1987 0.50 1.85 93

1988 0.25 2.00 50

1989 0.25 2.16 3h

1990 2.33 258

8.50 12.64

---Page Break---

D. Advanced Concepts for Ethanol

Research for the production of ethanol at lower costs

include increasing yield production, new methods of fer-

mentation and distillation and new cycle optimization methods.

Improvement of technical difficulties of the first ethanol

work fund

plants will also require r For these purposes

1/4 million dollars is assigned for 1985, 0.8 million for

1986, 1 million for 1987, and 1988, and 1.5 millions for 1989 and 1990 (1979 dollars). After escalating the indicated

allocations the following results:

?ADVANCED CONCRPT ETHANOL FUND REQUIREMENTS (ESCALATED) \$ MILLIONS

1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
4	0.8	1.85	2.0	3.26	3.5

E, Environmental Research Scenario for Ethanol,

The principal environmental impact of ethanol production is anticipated to be related to the disposal of the rum slope for "mostos" which are known to be toxic to marine life at concentrations presently released. Research is needed to

determine ways in which the useful components in the mostos may be recovered for their energy and/or nutrient (fertilizer) value. This would enable the former waste to become a by~

product.

56

---Page Break---

Solar Stes

A, Potential and Economic Implications

Steam can be produced by direct solar concentration, Ta the production of ethanol as @ motor fuel substitute for gasoline there is a requirement to the order of 15-24 lbs. of steaa per gallon of ethanol. Steam can contribute to as a high as 10% of ?the cost of ethanol with today 's fuel prices. Reduction costs could be achievable in the range of 5-7 if solar energy is used. This percentage fractional cost will increase with the increase in fuel oil costs.

Other industries using steam could probably achieve costs reduction of @ larger magnitude,

GEER has developed a solar collector that is a linearly segmented compound parabolic concentrator (CPC) with a cylindrical evacuated tube as a receiver. The collector has a concentration ratio of 5.25. The efficiency of collection of solar energy is estimated at 55% at 350°F steam. It make use of direct as well as diffuse radiation of sunlight. Te doesn't require daily tracking of the sun position and as such is a very low cost, efficient collector that can be used to produce solar steam a very low installed cost.

Presently there is a project to produce steam for the Sacardf Run Distillery in Toa Baja (Palo Seco). This project is co-sponsored by Bacardi. The results of this project can be extrapolated

37

---Page Break---

to large industrial type of installation.

?The proposed large scale ethanol facility in Section IV will require approximately 100 million pounds of stean per day. As~suming a1 stean requireaents are produced by the solar radiation bout 1000 acres of surface will be required to produce all the steam. Assuning a ut{lization of 67% of land a total of 1500 acres

will be required, It is not logical to assume full production of steam by solar radiation, because the ethanol facility will have to operate on a 24 hour basis. One third of the steam requirement could be assigned to solar energy.

They will require 500 acres. About 17-20% more electricity could be produced by the electrical plant since now 33% more fuel in bagasse will be available for the electrical production.

Very rough calculations indicate that this project will cost \$200-250 million dollars, could produce 10-15% profit on investment and sell the steam for half the cost of an equivalent oil fueled plant (\$2 vs \$4 per 1000 pounds of steam)

## 2, Program Objectives

1, Economical feasibility and optimization studies and design to provide steam in the order of 33 million pounds

per day to an ethanol plant (producing 11% of the gasoline requirements by the year 1986).

2. Develop the RED Program to make a reality of such a pro-

ject operational by the year 1986,



---Page Break---

3, Extend the technology for general industrial uses by the

year 1988 to the u

level of 5 percent of industry ofl

requirement for the year 1988 and 10% by 190-1995 re~

quirements.

Rep Funds Roquirements

?The RED requirements are figured as follows:

ye

1980

1981

ase2

1983

1986

3985

1986

1987

1988

1989

1990

tion

Fad Grcstin

a 2

2 2

3 38

3 6

1.0 tr

20 38

5.0 8.35

2.0 3.70

3.0 4.00

1.0

a

14.60

59

---Page Break---

Advanced Concepts for Solar Steam

R&D funds will be required for materials improvement programs which will result from the operation of the first ins-

tallations, efficiency improvement for greater yield per

solar collection area, etc.

?The escalated allocation for this program is

## ADVANCED CONCEPT FOR SOLAR STEAM FUNDING (ESCALATED) (\$ MILLIONS)

198s 19871988989 1990

8 185 20 2.16 2.33

Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar Steam

?The sane environmental considerations given to the photovol-

taies and cogeneration concepts applies to the solar steam concept.

60

---Page Break---

wt.

SSOWUARY TABLE OF TOTAL CEER FUNDING REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAVPLE. SCENARIOS

TOTAL CEER FUNDS REQUIREMENTS FOR

?TABLE 6 (Cole. 1-12)

0tEe, PHOTOWOLTATES, BIOWASS, ETHANOL AND SOLAR STEAM RAD PROGRAMS

MILLION DOLLARS



igeo)s.a | St 2.16 St a 6.45

igi]3.97 8 239 77) 2 6.77

9e2]3.03, 1.26 250 1.26 8 7.33

1983]4.09 2.72 [sa 2.06 58 10.07

r9se5. 26 5.88 Tso 2.21 a7 13.38

1985]5.06 7.95 [6 139] 18 19.62

vss] Tul a | ize} sel ss | a

1987 1.85 {7.40 | 1.05 1s[ 93] as] 3.70 | 1.85

1988 2.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 2.00 | 50] 2.00) 4.00 | 2.00

1989 2.16] 1.62 | 2.16 2s] sf 3.26) 2.16 | 2.8

1990] 2.33 2.35 233 | \_se] 3.50) tay | 2.33

frats 26.2 |10.02 | 40.79 | 8.24 6.02%) 9.u| 12.64) mes) 25.62 | 9.16

(2) Assumes Federal Governsent Participation in a ratio of 4.88 to 1.0, where the Puerto

Rico participation is shared equally between PRIA and the Government.

?Government

Funds? assigned for Research; PHWRA funds assigned to Capital Investment determined from equivalency of coal plant generation costs. (Escalation and interest during construction included in estimate).

(2) Assumes equal participation by the Federal Government. (DOE).

(3) Latest estimate revised by Dr. A. G. Alexander is six million dollars

---Page Break---

VEL. SUMMARY TABLES

Cy



---Page Break---

Tan 2

ESTIMATES OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY REQUIREMENTS BY THE YEAR 2000  
UNDER PRESENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC STRUCTURES AND ABSENCE OF  
A STRONG RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ON ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES





1 a o 6) ©

za@onrs Fou ESTINATED

vear | ECHUCA | casouime | ?TnoosTmr?] tora. | way parce | TODAL cost

peeny (1) | § OIESEL(2)| \_ø omen) i" fant, | (§ winisons)

s976 | 21.7 128, 26.3

i977 33.0 18.2 Fi

Tan] aes 6:5) 235

1379.) 26-0 17 25:1 Ta70 Too

yom [75 17:9) 36:3 16.78. 1203,

t38r| 29-0 78.5) a7 7 aa,

sear} 29.7 19:0) 23 21.30, 1704

soa [a3 13:5) 3035 25.00 2055  
soa] 336 20.5 32.0 28.35. 2456  
3985. | 35.5 0 | 33.6 33:70 23.  
1986. [ 36.7 aa 35:3 3.29 3390  
3907] 37.9 239 30.28. 3303  
1906. | 4-2 Bas 46.72 635  
1989. | 44-8 21 49:60. 3396  
1990. | aa 26 35.00. e266  
i991 | 30.8 3eo \$8.75 oa  
igor [5.8 63.75 7e56-  
1993, [ 56-0 67-00 3295  
1994-|?39.1 ne 3796  
3995 [67.0 76:80 0894  
1996, e112 13078  
1987 16.00] 43347  
3998 [ 71-5 S115} a7  
3999 | 74st 96.62 | e290.  
2000 77-6, 175.61 02.6 TeaT6  
som, 315,829

(2) Statistical correlations between population and GHP and between GIP and

Electrical Energy Generation. Correlation 994. See Appendix

(2) Gasoline Consumption growth projected conservatively between 2.1/2 - 3% per year vs. 6.62 actual. More accurate predictions to be included in (CHEN Energy Studies

(3) Industrial needs projected at SZ per year growth. More accurate predic  
?tons to be included in CEER Energy Studies.

(W) Fuel off proces escalation indicated ie approximately 1980-85: 14.32/year;  
1985-90: 11% year; 1990-95: 6-8E/year and 1995-2000: 6% year.

~ 6

---Page Break---

a

TABLE 34

## SCUROULE OF PROPOSED SCENARIOS PROGRAM OBIECTIVES

@ o

suscrie (1)

w

© ©

o

SAIS

use. [ssenniosuos)

EARS] RY SSCA SIENT TON SAV

RELLION BBL OTL.

eofgas. reg.)

r

ROL PL.

137888

1985.

=e

7986.

1987

a



908

7985,

aoa

1950.

Taso

982

ro)

om | ST

re

3995.

ro

3996.

1398.

3=500%

1995.

= 50006

2009.

(2) At Least 9-500%M base Load units will be required in the period considered.

Daditiona} foe) forded Wits needs to be added,





TABLE 38

POSSIBLE MILLIONS BARRELS OTL SAVED WITH PROPOSED SCENARIOS

a @ 2) wo ©© o, @

wear] omc | supra | eman | SFORASS | casonell ercerte(2)| saan | tors

x05] 53 0.53

7986 | 53) Tae 5.64

3987 | 53 Sacer |e 70.94

yee] ? 53 333

1999} 53 79.6

1990 [3.86 10:6. 33.77

3991 [3.86 10:6 377

3992] 3.06. 10:6) 33.77

3993 [3.86 [3.55 0.6

ig9a] 3.86 [3.53 10.6)

y995] 30.53 3.53 10:6. 32.08

4996 | 10.53 [7-00 10:6. 66-01

1997 [17.20] 7.00 10-6 66:38

ye98 | 23.64 7-00 70:6 135

1999 [30,54 [7.00 10:6. 0.0

2600-1 30:54 ?J-7.00 10.6 ?0.02

(2) Retimated 00 take per ton of (S18 moisture) baggese.

oe

---Page Break---

?ABE 4

POTENTIAL, "ENERGY AND COST REDUCTIONS?

?WER BYOMPLE SCENARIOS





a. 2 re wy 5 (6)

So ENT nLTON FRACTION TT

MHELION BARRELS OTE ??]\_ 10° ous OLLARS (OF SCENARIOS

jrear [no ?WERE EXARLE | ? SAVINGS SAVINGS SAVINGS OF

Jsceuanzos | sceanros | wrm scmianios| win scenagros | TomAL-NoN SCENARIOS|

1905 | 09.9 99.37 0.53 17.33 oss.

1986 [93.4 87.76. 3.68 7487 a

a7 -96:9, 35.96 Wo:54 rn ae

985 [ 703.6, 35:53 18.07 oo ae

585 | Y08-8, 3540 23.40 Tr160 aie

1990] 103.9 3013 S377 11857 308

390 96.13 33:7 T1364 28

992 tas 33.77 zn 2

993 20:50. 24 2

983 ae 31138 ae

3995, S284 aoan, Sr

7996, 60.08 668. ay

3997, 66.38. 5,709, ae

1998) 73:35 6,886. ae

3999) 30.02 7732 are

2000 30.02 B20 a6

1435.62 657.18 1,909.0, 26

2

108 \_ .145,966.

---Page Break---

TABLE 5

POSSIBLE CHER REVENUES FROM FUELS TAX RED LAW



a @ o © © © @ @)

TAEELIGR [71-5677 Bo BeTOE wT 2A oe

BARRELS AX, 7x 7

year [CouSUNPTIOT

. with swe] oy s108] 8 5108 |

SCENARIOS

1900 | 71.70 6.45 10.53

1961 75.2 6:77 [0-47

1982 77-80 33a O35

7363] 82.20 4:86|0-48

1964 ?| 96:10 =r

3985] 09-37 Has.

3986] 27.76. =a

7967] 85.96. a7

1988 95.53, 33s

1969 85.40 330

1990\_?[80.13 227

1991 [65.13 236.

1992 | 91-43 22a

3933 | 90:30, 2

7398 az

985. 20,

1996. ae

7957 a7

990] e895, He:

3999 | 68-58. aie.

2000 [95.58 a5









---Page Break---

[ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

APPENDIX E

?LONG RANGE FORECAST OF ENERGY NEEDS IN PUERTO RICO

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

8 April 1979

---Page Break---

## APPENDIX

### WONG RANGE FORECAST OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY NEEDS

#### ELECTRICAL ENERGY FORECAST

##### AL General

The problem of forecasting long range estimates of energy use is a rather difficult task because of all the uncertainties involved in the development of new technologies and

changing habits which will affect considerably the estimate

ably the estimate

An attempt has been made to forecast for a length of period in which present embryonic technologies could be extrapolated in a qualitative sense. A 40 year period, up to the year 2000, is believed to be long enough to provide for such an extrapolation and at the same time provide energy planners with an overview of the next four decades for the adequate focusing of energy alternatives

CEER interest is mainly in the energy or fuel alternatives

Scenarios which are required to power the Puerto Rico socio-

economic

development ; therefore, the forecasting has been

restricted to the total electrical energy generation which

is responsible for the fuel consumed in the electrical plants

Classical statistical regression analysis were used. (1)

The approach adapted was as simple as possible so as not to

complicate the prediction with complex relations and hypotheses

such as postulating saturation functions, etc.

GY Fase naaeE Sue EE Resisiog,tekigg, WA. chance 1969,

RATRDORSEY IADD., Hokeleton, Ontario?

---Page Break---

The prediction of energy generation requiresent is recognized

to be based on two main factors:

I~ Population

- Heonomic welfare or incoue per-capita of the population.

?The above factors were be analyzed statistically in

making the prediction. After the mathematical relationship

were established, then judgement of past experience and insight

of new technologies and changing habits were considered £8

select the most appropriate relationship.

The energy prediction ves be based simply on a correlation

between total GP at constant prices and electrical energy.

The GNP ?was be predicted from the product of population predic~

tions, times the GNP/cepita prediction at constant prices. Popw~

lations have already been predicted by the Planning Board up to the

year 2000, GNP up to the year 1983. ur predictions will be,

therefore, somewhat uncertain for the period 2000-2020.

Be Population

@ Gaterene

Population is a very sensitive variable in the prediction of

energy needs. Different government prograns, economic velfare,

Social and religious groups? attitudes may influence to a certain

degree, the population growth. Meléndez (2) indicates that the

Growth rate of the economy of a nation responds better to a moderate

increase in the population, then to a rapid growth rate as is the

Present case concerning Puerto Rico, where population is doubled in

Journal of Economics and Population, Dr. James A. Santiago Meléndez

Serie de Conferencias y Foros: Núm. 4 Departamento de Economía, Universidad de Puerto Rico, Río Piedras, Puerto Rico.

-2-

---Page Break---

less than 35 years, or to a slow population growth rate such as doubling of population every 200 years. Doubling times of the order 50 years in the population is considered moderate and adequate to help the economic growth.

A rapid population growth rate causes severe impact on the



nation's substructure, the balances of resources and requires higher investments from outside sources, etc. A very slow population growth rate on the other hand can create a problem as the population matures in age and there are not enough youth to replace those leaving the labor force. This has been experienced in certain areas of Japan. However, the concept of optimal population growth is difficult to determine because of the many factors involved.

?The Planning Board has predicted a population for Puerto Rico of 4,675,000 for the year 2000, Planning Board Population predictions on a city by city basis up to the year 2020 has been made.

?The population of Puerto Rico in 1960 was approximately one half of that predicted by the Planning Board for the year 2000, i.e. the predictions indicated a doubling of the population in the 40 year period.

Using @ Linear regression analysis on historical population data, dating back to 1962, and the Planning Board predictions up to the year 2000 as input data to the regression analysis in which the total number of input points is 22, gives the

following equation:  $y_p = 2166.9 + 65.05 x$

---Page Break---

where  $y$ , = population in thousands,  $x$ = year referred to the 1960

fe, year less 1960, Coefficient of determination of above equation,

$r^2 = 0,98$ , indicating a significant correlation of 99%.

The predicted population calculated in this manner for the year 2020 will be 6,070,110. The approximate doubling time of the present estimated population of 3,338,000 using the above Linear relationship is 51.3 year, This is within the range satisfactory for an adequate economical growth as pointed out by Meléndez. An exponential regression of population was also attempted. The exponential relation gave same degree of correlation and coefficient of determination as the Linear relationship but the doubling time of the present population was 35 years. Since this should not be the policy of government as previously indicated

it was discarded. The exponential relationship we

population

equals to 2308.66, times  $e^x$  elevated to the exponent 0.02%, x  
having the same meaning as before.

The predicted population for the year 2020 with this  
 $e^x$  exponential relation was 7,300,580, This was discarded in favor  
of the more appropriate Linear correlation indicating « 6,070,110

population in the year 2020,

@

op. cit.

---Page Break---

The predicted population data to be used in the study are:

TABLE 1 ~ POPULATION

BY LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

YEAR POPULATION (MILLIONS)

1979 3.47

1980 3.53

1981 3.65

1982 3.7

1983 3.78

1985 3.92

1990 , 4.26

1995 4.52

2000 4.67

205 5.08

2010 5.42

2015 5.75

2020 7

Beononic Welfa

It will be assumed in the study that the overall economic

welfare of the country will be maintained and improved. The GNP per capita in constant dollars is a measure of this index.

Therefore, if the total economic welfare of the country is to be improved, the GNP per capita in constant dollars should reflect a small or moderate yearly increase. The total GNP at constant dollars should then reflect a yearly increase of at least equal to the population growth rate in the rate GNP per capita. The total GNP in current dollars should further reflect any increase due to the inflation price factor.

-5-

---Page Break---

The Gross National Product (GNP) sums up the economic activities

of the country in terms of production of goods and services. The total consumption of electrical energy by all sectors of the economy is very sensitive to this variable and can therefore be satisfactorily correlated.

Statistical tests can determine how good the correlation is

The Planning Board has predicted total GNP values in

current dolla:

up to the year 1983

indicated in Table IT below:

Planning Board Prediction (of GNP)

Current Dollars (\$ thousands)

1979 1980 1981 1982 1983

Current \$ 9835.0 10750 «11,693 12,710 13,795

Constant \$ 4047.4 4298.8 4,549.7 4,814.0 5,090.1

Constant dollars were estimated by assuming a 10 percentage points increment in inflation for the year 1979 and 7 percentage points increment for the remaining years, The 1978 inflation factor relative to 1954 (the year that the Planning Board used to reflect constant prices) is calculated to be 2.33 from the Planning Board reports on current and constant dollars data.

Using the predicted populations for the years 1979-83 the above GNP in constant dollars were converted to GNP per capita.

-6

---Page Break---

These data together with historical data back to the year 1962

were then retrieved by statistical methods. Four types of regr

sion analysis were tried, including, Linear, exponential, logarithmic and power. The best fit correlated with a 97.5% correlation coefficient or 95% coefficient of determination. This

fit was:  $y = 546.87 x^{0.7}$ , where

$y = \text{GNP/capita in constant}$

1954 dollars,  $x = \text{year} - 1960$ .

Predicted values with above equation indicate yearly improve-

ments in GNP/capita at constant dollars of the order 0.5 to 1.5 to 1.0% which is considered adequate and on the low side,

The predicted GNP per capita at constant dollars was multiplied by the predicted population to obtain the total predicted GNP at constant dollars.

### Electrical Generation

The total electrical generation was correlated with the total

Results were as follows:



Coeff. of determination 98%; doubling

20 years

2) Power Correlation?: Coeff. of determination 9825 doubling

Time: 1 years

3) Log Correlation : Coeff. of determination 972; doubling

Tine: over 40 years

4) Exp. Correlation : Coeff. of determination 93%; doubling

Tine: 5 years

---Page Break---

A statistical test indicated excellent correlations on all  
the above.

Of all of the above correlations the log and exponential  
correlations are discarded because of poorer correlations relative

to the Linear and power correlations and because of the very slow and very fast growth rates respectively. The Linear and power regression analysis represent reasonable selection projections.

Electric power generation has been doubling every 5 years

during the 1960 decade. During the present decade it has been doubling every eight years. A doubling time of 11 years for the 1980-90 decades is therefore, not unreasonable. Doubling times of the order of 20 years might be appropriate beyond the year 2000,

if the same level of technology and habits are maintained, it is felt however, that new technologies and new consumer goods will impact beyond present expectations on further needs of electric power. One

example, could be the development of urban electrical vehicles requiring nightly battery charging. This requirement might offset

the leveling of power growth as predicted by a linear relationship.

Also, the development of new technologies for producing electrical power from renewable sources (solar) might bring down costs enhancing an increase in the demand. We, therefore, feel that the power fit represents an adequate description of future electrical generation production.

$(0.012294) (x) \times 10^6$

The power fit is given by,  $KWIR_{gen}$

where the unit for GIP is million dollars at 1954 constant dollars.

---Page Break---

Table III indicates the correlation data for population, GIP and Electrical Energy. The figures given for electrical energy consumption are comparable to PRURA forecasts but they tend to be on the low side. Power Technology(3) prediction for the year 2000 is  $38,261 \times 10^6$  KWUR generation which is comparable to our Prediction of  $42,910 \times 10^6$  KWHIR within 5% difference.

The prediction of electrical energy generation for the year

2020, shown in Figure 1, using the above selected relationship is 89,120 millions Kw-hr, which is slightly over six times the current electrical energy generation. Energy plane

and researchers

ust, therefore, think of energy alternatives for Puerto Rico in 4 scale a5 large as six times today's demand by the time vhea supposedly most energy alternatives being researched today could be highly competitive economically. Electrical energy is used round the clock, hence, large storage systems on direct solar derived energy must be looked at in perspective.

?GD Tang Range Sales Forecasting Study for the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority, Kevin A. Clenents and Robert de Mello, Power Technologies, Inc. Schenectady, N.Y. May, 1976.

---Page Break---

TABLE XT

(GNP POPULATION AND ELECTRICAL PRODUCTION CORRELATION DATA

## CONSTANT PRICES (1954)

Fiscal Gur/capita Population \_GNP\_?Electric Prod.

Year S/Capita Thousands § millions 106 KWchr

a 694 2,228 7

63 736 23473 15

he 768 23523 22

65 817 2,568 2

66 861 2,603 28

67 892 25623, 7

6 927 2,650, "9

co 1000 23685, 35

70 1070 2m 25

n 1120 2,747 23

n 1139 23823 0

B 1186 23910 °

% Lies, 25991 23

5 a3. 3,078 23

1% 1103 3h167 28

n a6 31266, 4

% 1150 3,338 23

3 1166.48 3,470 : 12

80 1217.8" 3,530\* 18 1542916

a1 1246.528 3,650" 16,307.2,

8 1294.1 3,720" 17119715,

85 1310.9 339208

30 1377's

95 1436.4

2000 1489.4

2005 1537.8

2010 1582.5,

2015 1624.0

2020 1662.8, 10,093.20 89,120

\* Planning Board Predictions

~ 10

---Page Break---

REFERENCES

Statistical Methods for Decit

1969.

TRWIN-DORSEY LTD, Mokeleton, Ontario.

fon Making, W. A. Chance

Conferencia sobre Heononta y Poblaci3n, Dr. Jaime A.

Santiago Mel3ndez, Serie de Conferencias y Foros: Nan. 4

Dopartanento de Econonfa, Universidad de Puerto Rico,

Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico.

Long Range Sales Forecasting Study for the Puerto Rico

Water Resources Authority, Kevin A. Clements and Robert

de Mello, Fover Technologies, Inc. Schenectady, N. Y.

May, 1976.

oe

---Page Break---

cont

v0 20 002

i

oy

est ov

vad

oF

sar SHH MM ?NIE

on

=

---Page Break---

APPEIOIX F

?PROPOSED PUERTO RICO RESEARCH INSTITUTE

(CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ELVIRONOGINT RESEARCH



. siveesrTy oF PUERTO RICO

8 sprit 1979

---Page Break---

?PUERTO RICO RESEARCH INSTITUTE

?The main porpose of the Tastitute is to serve the advancenent  
fof science and technology for the betterment of the Puerto Rican  
family and humanity as a vhole; szong ite main purposes will be the  
Aevelopment of know-hov" principles and technology which will help  
local industry to develop and offer industrial products in the world  
?market competetively and therefore advance econoaic welfare and  
standard of Living. The Institute shall be a non-profit research  
organization. Energy research shall be one of its main areas of  
concern. It shatl be incorporated under the lave of the Connonweelth  
of Pucrto Rico.?

?The Institute will provide specialized research and advisory  
services, by contract, to solve specific problene for industry, govern  
ent, foundations and individual

?The Institute vill undertake pure ae well as applied research

Indicated above.

The Institute shall be formed by contributing members mainly

private organizations, industry, professional organizations, etc., and

the government. The greatest bulk of the research work is expected

to come from Puerto Rican Government sponsored contracts on energy

research field for which adequate cooperation needs to be obtained from

government by legislative action.

---Page Break---

The Institute will be regulated by a set of By-Laws approved by

its members. Approval and modifications of By-Laws will require

endorsement by 2/3 of Institute members. The Institute will be

governed by a five-member Governing Board elected freely by its

members. Governing Board members shall be elected to serve for a

period of five years in a staggering order. The Governing Board

members will be constituted as follows: President, Vice-President,

Secretary, Member and Member.

The Governing Board will select its President, Vice-President,

and Secretary between themselves. The Governing Board shall meet at

least once month.

The Governing Board will have no salary. A per diem will be assigned for every meeting of \$35 per meeting, plus travelling and other out-of-pocket expenses.

?Any person of recognized moral standing and any organization

of corporation doing legitimate business:

can apply for membership.

ALL Institute members will have a yearly dues of \$500. A down payment of 50 years dues (\$25,000) will make the member a Benefactor Life Member. A down payment of 30 years dues (\$15,000) will make the member a Life Member. Organizations or Corporations members will have only one representative with voice and vote. Each active member will have only one vote.

Membership privilege include participation with voice and vote

on all Institute meetings. They will receive copies of all unclassified Institute research project reports. Short general consultation

---Page Break---

and orientation from staff members is provided free of charge, and

free use of Institute Library will be provided.

?The Institute will benefit from the membership of certain Govern~

ment institutions. Adequate legislation should include incorporation

of these institutions as Benefactor Life Members and authorization

for payment of dues. Such Government Benefactor Life Members should

include:

?The President of the University of Puerto Rico

?The Chancellor of the University of Puerto Rico-Rfo Piedras

3. The Chancellor of the University of Puerto Rico-Mayaguez

Campus

The Chancellor of the University of Puerto Rico-Cayey Campus

The Chancellor of the University of Puerto Rico-Medical Sciences

6. The Secretary of Agriculture

Manager of Puerto Rico Development Administration

8. Executive Director of PRIRA

9. Direct Representative of the Governor

10. Director of the Office of State Energy Affairs

U1, Planning Board Chairman.

Each government member indicated above will have one vote at members meetings making a total of \_\_11\_\_ voting members for the government by legislative action, Other government agencies or institutions could apply for membership on a voluntary basis.

---Page Break---

Government contribution by State Legislative Assembly authori-

zations must be sought for permanent facilities and laboratory equip-

ment. Some help on operating funds for initial operations may probably be required. All equipment and property bought with Government funds will remain property of the Government and will be identified properly and taken care and disposed of as regulated by the Office of the Controller. All direct work performed for the Government will be by contract and such contract should reflect corresponding cost reduction for the use of government property.

No official or member or other party shall receive Financial Benefit since this is a non-profit corporation. However, research

Projects shall be performed at cost plus some Institute benefit to

provide for self expansion of permanent facilities purchase of addi-

tional Laboratory equipment, etc.

It is expected that the majority of members will be from private institutions and local industry.

Members will have an annual business meeting in the month of November and will appoint the Governing Board or whatever vacancy

there occurs in the Governing Board. No employee:

of the Institute

who simultaneously holds membership in the Institute will be permitted to vote in the selection of the Governing Board members.

The Governing Board will preside at the member meetings and dis-

cuss the affairs of the Institute including Financial, Technical, Research

-4e

---Page Break---

Projects, Topics, etc. At least two member meetings shall be held yearly.

The Governing Board will appoint the Executive Officers. Executive Officers will be employees of the Institute. They include the President and the four Vice Presidents of the Institute as follows:

1) President

2) Executive Vice President-Contractual Relationships and Fund

Raising '

3) Vice President-engineering and Research

4) controller

5) Personnel officer

?Tere shall be as many Divisions as found neces:

ry. ALL changes

in organizational matters have to be approved by the Governing Board.

?he President will appoint the Division Heads in consultation with the

Vice Presidents and with the er

jorsenent of the Governing Board,



ALL officers of the company shall be full time employees and they will have a salary as approved by the Governing Board. No officer can be removed from office unless proven of misconduct, negligence, inadequate discharge of duties, incompetence, etc.

AL research projects sponsored by public funds shall be for the benefit of the government and the people of Puerto Rico. All research Project carried with private funds shall be proptictory {£ so desired by the sponsoring organization.

---Page Break---

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

APPENDIX G

?THE NEED TO EXPLORE ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCES FOR PUERTO RICO

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

8 Aprit 1979

---Page Break---

## APPENDIX G

### ?THE NEED TO MAPLORE ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

### SOURCES FOR PUERTO RICO

### ?DYTRODUCION

La cusdruplicaci6n de los precios del petr6leo por parte de La Organizaci6n de Pafses Exportadores de Petr6leo (OPEP) a fines el aio 1973 ha tenido un impacto profundo y permanente en las eco nonfas de casi todos los pafses del mmndo incluyendo a Puerto Rico EL impacto inieial fue un fuerte aumento en los precios de casi todos os Bienes y servicios internedios y los que van al consumidor final. EL aumento de los precios aunent6 los costos de producci6n de casi todos los sectores industriales reduciendo asf 1a capacidad productiva de estos. La inflaci6n que fue seguida por una severa recesi6a av- wnent6 1a tasa de desempleo reduciendo 1a producci6n actual y aunentando el "gap" entre esta Gitiaa y el producto potencial que se obten- aria de 1a economia estar usando todos sus recursos a casi su capacidad Se estima que e1 aumento en el precio de le energia redujo en forma permanente 1a capacidad econSmica, 0 1a producei6n potencial de la economia de los Estados Unidos en cerca de un cinco porciento (1) reduciendo tambi6n en forma drstica la productividad del capital y Ja mano de obra.

La producción de un sector industrial, o de una economía en su totalidad, dependerá del acervo (stock) de capital, de una mano de obra, otros recursos (como la energía) y de cómo se combinan estos

factores.

(Q) Robert H. Rasche y John A. Tatom, "The Effects of the New Energy Regime on Economic Capacity, Production and Prices", Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Review (Hay, 1977).

are

---Page Break---

recursos (la tecnología). Los precios que se pagan por estos recursos determinan los costos de producción. De tal forma que el aumento en el precio de energía afectó los costos de producción (dada la tecnología) lo cual tuvo un impacto adverso sobre la producción. En cuanto se afectaron: los costos de producción van a estar determinados

por la participación ("share")

del recurso (el cual fue objeto del

aumento en precio) en los costos totales

No existe la menor duda de que sino existe expectativa alguna de que hayan los precios del petróleo (y las empresas quieren minimizar sus costos) la alternativa sería el cambio tecnológico y esto en términos del recurso de energía implica el buscar fuentes alternativas de este recurso.

El Costo de Energía por Sector Industrial en Puerto Rico y Capacidad Productiva

Los sectores industriales de Puerto Rico necesitan del insumo de energía (combustible y electricidad) para llevar a cabo su producción. También el consumidor final demanda productos derivados del petróleo. En otras palabras que la industria de productos de petróleo vende su producto a otras industrias para ser usado como insumo intermedio, y a los consumidores finales:

De igual forma se hace el

sector industrial productor de electricidad

La Tabla T muestra la

demandas que hacen los diversos sectores industriales y el consumidor

final de productos de petróleo en base al cuadro de relaciones

---Page Break---

interindustriales de 1972 publicado por la Junta de Planificación.

La Tabla 2 muestra el porcentaje del total de costos que representa

el gasto en productos (suministrado por la industria del petróleo)

para los 25 sectores más intensivos en energía (en este caso combustibles). Nótese que la propia industria de petróleo, la electricidad, la minería, construcción y cemento son las industrias más intensivas en

el uso del combustible, por lo tanto las más afectadas en caso de

un aumento en los precios del petróleo.

El cuadro nos indica que los costos totales de producción (uso

de insumos intermedios

es el pago a los factores primarios de pro-

ducción - capital, mano de obra, etc.) para la economía de Puerto Rico

fueron de alrededor de \$12,071.1 millones, de los cuales \$491.9 millo-

nes fueron gastados por las industrias en consumo intermedio de pro-

ductos de petróleo. El consumidor final gastó \$70.8 millones en

productos derivados del petróleo. La cantidad demandada por los

sectores industriales constituye el 4.1 por ciento de los costos to-

tales (insumos intermedios más valor añadido) y el 8.8 por ciento del

total de gastos en insumos intermedios.

El porcentaje que constituye el gasto en insumos energéticos del

total de gastos es una medida de cómo se afecta la capacidad produc-

tiva de la economía total, © de los sectores industriales, en respuesta

a aumentos en los precios del petróleo. De acuerdo a un estudio re-

cienta para 12 economías de los Estados Unidos y otras economías

---Page Break---

mundiales

The percentage response of capacity output to

one percent

change in the price of energy is just equal to the share of  
energy costs in total factor costs" (2)

Según los estudios citados para la economía de los Estados Unidos perdieron  
cerca de un 5% de su capacidad productiva debido a los aumentos en  
los precios del petróleo. Asumiendo que la producción total de  
Puerto Rico (igual al costo total) de 1972 se acercó al punto de né-  
xia capacidad y asumiendo que el "share" de energía a costo total  
(combustible más electricidad - 4.1 por ciento combustible y 1.0 por  
ciento electricidad) es del 5 por ciento (según datos del cuadro de

insuso-producto de 1972) podemos estimar en forma aproximada la pérdida en capacidad productiva de la economía de Puerto Rico. Según nuestros cálculos nuestra economía perdió capacidad productiva en alrededor de \$603.5 millones. Aplicando la relación de empleo a producción para toda la economía (61.1 hombres por millón de dólares de producción) podemos obtener una idea de la pérdida de empleos.

Esta fue de alrededor de 36,846 empleos. Nuestros cálculos están

muy cerca de la cifra histórica de cambio en empleo de 1974 a 1975.

Según la Junta de Planificación el empleo bajó de 775,000 en 1974 (año en que se aumentan los precios del petróleo) a 738,000 en 1975

(una disminución de 37,000 empleos)

(2) Véase: J. M. Griffin y P.R. Gregory, "An Intercountry Translog Model of Energy Substitution Responses," American Economic Review (December 1976). También R. H. Rasche y John A.



---Page Break---

Siguiendo los cálculos antes citados el 15 por ciento de aumentos en los precios del petróleo reduciría la producción del año 1977(3) (\$12,282.2 millones) en \$92.12 millones lo que implicaría una pérdida de 5,543 empleos. Los datos antes señalados nos dan una idea de la magnitud del problema que tenemos por delante. :

Si los precios del petróleo no disminuyen en el futuro inmediato la alternativa será buscar nuevas fuentes de energía que reduzcan los costos de producción de los distintos sectores industriales y del consumidor final. Como explicamos antes la reducción en costos aumentará nuestra capacidad productiva y por ende el empleo de recursos humanos. Por ejemplo una reducción en precio del recurso energético que disminuya los costos de producción atribuibles

al consumo de petróleo y sus derivados en solo un 30% significaría en términos monetarios unos \$150.0 millones en forma directa para toda la economía. La industria

de la construcción reducir:

sus costos en \$44.2 millones, la manufactura en 954.7 millones y lo que es aún más importante el costo de producir energía eléctrica se reduciría en AFS de \$15 millones. No solo se reducirían los costos sino que la capacidad productiva de la economía aumentaría en cerca de un 5 por ciento de la reducción en precios.

Si la reducción en costos implica una reducción en las importaciones

de la misma magnitud el producto bruto de la isla aumentaría ya que habría un incremento favorable en el saldo de nuestra economía con el

exterior (aumentaría a nuestro favor la diferencia entre exportaciones

@ ure

---Page Break---

? importaciones). En otras palabras no solo habria aumento en 1a capacidad productiva y el empleo sino que habria substitución de importaciones ayudando así a nuestra balanza comercial. Solamente asumiendo que nuestra producción bruta total aumentara (sobre los niveles de 1978) en un 2 por ciento se generarían más de 20,000 empleos adicionales. Sin embargo con toda probabilidad el aumento en capacidad productiva debido a una baja en los costos energéticos incrementaría nuestra producción en un porcentaje mucho mayor. Por el lado de la demanda disminuiría en 1a tasa de inflación incrementaría la de-

manda final por bien

y servicios y el ingreso real disponible de

las familias. EL aumento en la capacidad productiva, disminución en

importaciones, aumento en ingr

10 personal y aumentos en 1a demandas

Final no hay 1a menor duda incrementarfa los ingresos al erario pblico

fen una cantidad considerable, Solamente un ausento en 1a demanda

©)

Final (doméstica ? ) de St incrementarfa 1s producién de \$15006.4

millones a \$15,710.9 millones (sobre los niveles de 1978), 1 empleo

fen unos 36,000 y os ingresos netos al fondo general del gobierno

?en unos \$74.0 millones. Si} descubrimiento de una nueva fuente

?energética reduce los costos y sueenta 1a desanda final en un 9 por

ciento 1a produciGn aumentarfa de \$15,006.4 millones a \$16,329.2

millones (a precios de 1972) 1o cual incrementarfa el empleo en unos

69,000 y e1 ingreso al erario pblico en aproximadamente unos \$133.3

?millones.

?UY Genanda final donfstica no Tncluye Inportaciones. El eGapato?

se hizo resolviendo e1 wodelo de inswao-producto cuya ecuscia es

$X = C_i - A$ ?IF donde X-producién, (I-A)-! matriz iaversa de Lentref y

Fodenanda final doaéstica.

---Page Break---

Estas cifras aproximadas le ofrecen una idea aproximada al señor

Legislador de 1a importancia que tiene el asignar algunos fondos para

?Research & Development? en el campo energético que redunden en el descubrimiento de nuevas fuentes energéticas que abaraten los costos

de producción y los precios de los bienes y servicios:

-7e

---Page Break---