

# CEER-X-134

CEER-X- 134

BREVE HISTORIA DEL DESARROLLO DE LA ENERGIA NUCLEAR EN PUERTO RICO

TECNOLOGIA VS. poLITicA

(1987-1981)

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

---Page Break---

BREVE HISTORIA DEL DESARROLLO DE LA ENERGIA NUCLEAR EN PUERTO RICO

TECNOLOGIA VS. POLITICA

(1957-1981)

Preparado por:

Lic. Justo £. Varela Dieppa, P.E.

Septiembre 1981

---Page Break---

~ RECONOCIMIENTO -

Deseo agradecer la cooperación recibida del Prof. Richard Brow, del Recinto Universitario de Mayaguez, y del Sr. Orlando Angleré, Jefe de la División de Estudios Ambientales y Confiabilidad de Calidad de la Autoridad de Energía Eléctrica. Igualmente, agradezco los comentarios al borrador de este trabajo que me ofrecieron los señores Juan A. Sonnet, Jr. y Modesto Infante, del Centro de Estudios Energéticos y Ambientales de Puerto Rico y al Sr. Bonnet, hijo por la oportunidad que me dio para llevar a cabo este trabajo. Por último, y no por eso menos importante, gracias a quienes pacientemente mecanografiaron los distintos borradores y el trabajo final, señoras Sylvia Medina de Mercado, Ivette Diana de Vega y Eva Oliver de Infante

---Page Break---

TABLA DE CONTENIDO

Página

Introducción 1

Centro de Puerto Rico 3

Índice 8

Índice de Busca de un Lugar 11

Aguirre e Islote: ¿Fracaso o 0 Víctimas? 4

Centro de Estudios Energéticos y Ambientales

de Puerto Rico a

Status Actual de la Energía Nuclear 22

Política o Tecnología 25

---Page Break---

Introducción

Durante un histórico discurso pronunciado en la Asamblea General de las Naciones Unidas el 9 de diciembre de 1953, el Presidente de los Estados Unidos, Dwight D. Eisenhower, enunció por primera vez el plan "Atoms for Peace", destinado a difundir los beneficios de la energía nuclear por todo el mundo.

Hoy día, a pesar de los contratiempos surgidos en la década del 1970, la energía nuclear se ha difundido por todo el globo terráqueo. En junio de 1991 estaban operando comercialmente para generar energía eléctrica aproximadamente 250 reactores, en centrales generatrices de sobre 30 MW, habiendo cerca de 285 en alguna etapa pre-operacional. Estas unidades tienen una

capacidad neta en megavatios eléctricos de 408,098. (Ver Anejo 1).

Sin embargo, el desarrollo pleno se ha visto maculado por movimientos antinucleares de todo tipo. En adición, la legislación protectora del ambiente que empezó a surgir, especialmente en los Estados Unidos, desde el 1969 (NEPA) también puso freno al desarrollo de esta nueva energía en

la fase de su utilización para centrales generadoras de electricidad.

A raíz del discurso del Presidente Eisenhower en el hemisferio de las Naciones Unidas en el 1953, el Congreso de los Estados Unidos enmendó la Ley de Energía Atómica de 1946 que no tenía disposiciones para el uso pacífico de esta fuente incalculable de energía. En el 1954 se enmendó totalmente la Ley para autorizar a la Comisión de Energía Atómica (CEA), establecida en el 1954, adoptar reglas y estándares para implementar un procedimiento para la construcción y operación de instalaciones nucleares para usos pacíficos.

---Page Break---

En el crecimiento del sistema regulador de la CEA pueden identificarse dos periodos de desarrollo. El primero comenzó con la aprobación de la Ley de Energía Atómica de 1946; el segundo con la promulgación de la Ley

de 1954; el primero con la emisión o concesión del primer permiso de construcción de un reactor de gran capacidad energética cerca de Monroe, Michigan (a la Power Reactor Development & Co.) en el 1957 y el cuarto con la aprobación de la Ley de Reorganización Energética de 1974, que abolió la CEA y transfirió todas sus funciones de financiamiento y regulaciones a la recién creada Comisión Reguladora Nuclear (CRN).

El desarrollo de la energía nuclear en Puerto Rico ha sido gobernado tanto por la CEA como por la CYR. Es la función principal de la CEA (y de la CRN) el asegurarse que las actividades privadas relacionadas con la utilización de la energía nuclear se conduzcan dentro de un marco de seguridad razonable para que el público y los trabajadores no sean sometidos innecesariamente a los riesgos de la radioactividad, además de evitar que se contaminen el ambiente. Precisamente esta preocupación es vital para que se apruebe la Ley de 1974 que abolió la CEA y creó la CRN, ya que para muchas de las funciones promocionales y reguladoras de la antigua CEA eran inconsistentes. Esta preocupación toma gran auge en los comienzos de la década

del 1970. Tan es así, que un ex-director de la CEA, el Sr. James R.

Schlesinger, manifestó en el New York Times el 26 de noviembre de 1976,

que la CER no seguiría actuando como un promotor de la energía nuclear y

Si se convirtiera en un "órgano" del interés público. De hecho, la recién creada CRN no tiene funciones promocionales; estas fueron ubicadas en la Administración de Estudio y Desarrollo Energético (Energy Research & Development Administration)

---Page Break---

El desarrollo de la energía nuclear en Puerto Rico en el campo de la Generación eléctrica tuvo un buen comienzo, pero actualmente su estado es incierto en una isla que paradójicamente depende 100% del petróleo importado. Por otro lado, en el campo de la medicina y en la industria se ha venido utilizando con éxito la energía nuclear; actividad regulada por el Reglamento para el Control de la Radiación en Puerto Rico, promulgado en el 1972, bajo la supervisión de los Departamentos de Salud y del Trabajo y Recursos Humanos. Este reglamento fue establecido a la luz de la Ley #79 del 24 de junio de 1965, aprobada por nuestra Legislatura, bajo la cual el estado asume control sobre ciertos materiales y equipos radioactivos.

Sin embargo, el primer contacto que tuvo la CEA con Puerto Rico fue

a través del Centro Nuclear de Puerto Rico (CNPR).

La Comisión de Energía Atómica fue instrumental en el establecimiento

en Puerto Rico de dicho Centro y del primer reactor nuclear para producir

energía eléctrica. Es a través del Comisionado James T. Ramey, un gran amigo de Puerto Rico, y del entonces Director del Centro, Henry J. Gonberg, que nuestra Isla se vistió de largo en el campo nuclear en toda América.

Tan es así, que se hace indispensable que la CEA establezca en Puerto Rico una oficina local (1957 a 1974), dirigida primeramente por el señor John

1. Thomas y luego por los señores Floyd P. Trent y Perry Morgan. Estos fueron decididos y entusiastas colaboradores con estas instituciones que

se vieron envueltas en el desarrollo de la energía nuclear en nuestra Isla,

Centro Nuclear de Puerto

Posterior al discurso del Presidente Eisenhower en las Naciones Unidas

en el 1953, se celebró lo que se conoció como la Conferencia de Panamá del

---Page Break---

año 1956, en donde éste instó específicamente a que se hiciera algo por

acelerar los usos benéficos de la energía nuclear en todo el hemisferio?

En enero de 1957 se celebró un simposio en la Universidad de Puerto Rico sobre las Aplicaciones Prácticas de la Energía Atómica. Durante esta reunión, la necesidad de un centro nuclear que sirviese a Latinoamérica se hizo más evidente.

Como resultado de este simposio y de la Conferencia de Panamá, la Comisión de Energía Atómica de los Estados Unidos estableció el Centro Nuclear de Puerto Rico, contando con la importante cooperación y ayuda de la Universidad de Puerto Rico, bajo los términos del Contrato #AT-(40-1)-1883 entre la UPR y la CEA.

El Centro Nuclear fue concedido principalmente como una ayuda a las naciones latinoamericanas, a las que permitiría adquirir las técnicas esenciales para las actividades relacionadas con la energía nuclear proporcionándoles educación para graduados y de oportunidades de Investigación. Además, el NPR participó en la preparación de operarios y supervisores para el primer reactor nuclear que se construyó en toda Latinoamérica para generar energía eléctrica, BONUS, localizado en Rincón, Puerto Rico.

Esta era una central nucleoelectrónica de tipo experimental de alrededor de



16,500 kilovatios de energía eléctrica. También ayudó en las investigaciones marinas y ambientales para los malogrados proyectos nucleoelectricos de Aguirre y Arecibo.

El CHPR fue establecido el 2 de octubre de 1957 y la colocación de

la primera piedra para la construcción del edificio del reactor, en Mayaguez,

---Page Break---

Se inauguró el 26 de julio de 1958, el que fue inaugurado el 23 de agosto de 1962. Durante su primer año de operación (1957-1958) asistieron nueve estudiantes extranjeros y cincuenta ciudadanos americanos, mayormente puertorriqueos. (Ver Anejo 2).

Las operaciones del CNPR fueron ubicadas en Mayaguez (Recinto Universitario de Mayaguez), y en Río Piedras (Centro Médico). En Mayaguez, el Centro ofrece programas de tecnología y ciencias nucleares, ingeniería,

radiofísica sanitaria, química, agricultura y biología, equivalente al grado de Maestro (Master) en Ciencias. A la disposición de los estudiantes había tres reactores nucleares, y un montaje subcrítico, un generador de neutrones de 14 MeV, espectrómetros de neutrones, un laboratorio para trabajos de alta y baja radioactividad, una instalación de rayos gamma, un laboratorio de química y edificios separados para biología marina, ingeniería nuclear y agricultura.

En San Juan se contaba con un edificio biomédico localizado en el

Centro Médico equipado para diferentes investigaciones. Facilidades para

radiación incluían una unidad de tele-terapia de cobalto 60, una unidad de rayos X para terapia de 300KVP y un irradiador de cobalto. Un laboratorio de estado situado en el Colegio de Ciencias Naturales de la Universidad de Puerto Rico-Recinto de Río Piedras y laboratorio de ecología terrestre en varios puntos (Bosque Nacional de Luquillo, entre otros).

Se ofrecían programas en aplicaciones de radio-isótopos, aplicaciones clínicas de radioisótopos, radioterapia y adiestramiento en cáncer y radiobiología, y poseía una División Médica.

EL GHPR cerró sus operaciones como tal el primero

Julio de 1976,

---Page Break---

a) convertirse en el Centro de Estudios Energéticos y Ambientales, bajo el mandato de la Ley 93-638 del Congreso de los Estados Unidos (1975). Durante sus 19 años de operación (1957-1976), el CNPR considera como su principal logro el haber entrenado 3,560 estudiantes y científicos de 41 diferentes países en la tecnología y ciencia nuclear, medicina nuclear y radiofísica sanitaria, (Ver Anejo 3). De estos, 694 eran extranjeros, 2,866 Ciudadanos americanos (la gran mayoría puertorriqueños). De hecho el

único registro que distingue a los puertorriqueños de los demás ciudadanos americanos disponible a este escritor, nos indicó que entre el 1970

al 1976 de 1,328 ciudadanos americanos; 1,248 fueron puertorriqueños.

(Ver Anejo 4)

Otro hecho significativo de la importancia de este Centro y lo que representaba, fue que en sus primeros 10 años su staff aumentó de 43 a

300, incluyendo 80 científicos. (Ver Anejo 5). Al momento del cierre Su Senior Staff era de 140. (Ver Anejo 6). Durante sus primeros 18 años de vida, el Centro tuvo un promedio de 185 estudiantes por año, y en su último año su matrícula era de 239 (Ver Anejo 7); siendo en 1974 su año de mayor matrícula en su historia, 365. Durante sus últimos cinco años el Centro aumentó en un 36% de su matrícula total de 19 años. Todo esto parece apuntar hacia el hecho de que el CHPR no había perdido importancia ni interés entre la comunidad científica al momento de su cierre.

El Centro produjo en su historia alrededor de 766 publicaciones científicas, siendo el año 1970 el más productivo con 98 (Ver Anejo 8); un promedio de 40 por año. Su staff presentó alrededor de 643 papeles científicos en reuniones científicas alrededor del mundo, siendo el año más

---Page Break---

Productivo en 1966 con 76 (Ver Anejo 9); un promedio de 34 por año. Todo este acervo científico en el campo de la energía nuclear y sus campos relacionados habla muy bien de los logros del Centro. Ante este cuadro nadie puede sostener que el interés en la energía nuclear había decaído,

a1 menos desde el punto de vista científico.

Por otro lado, el CNPR tuvo ya responsabilidad de conducir un programa de investigaciones científicas en biología, química y física como parte de la exhibición Atómica en Acción de la Comisión de Energía Atómica en Latinoamérica. Estas exhibiciones, que involucran seminarios y conferencias, se llevaron a cabo desde el 1965 y al 1973 siendo beneficiados los siguientes países: El Salvador, Guatemala, Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Panamá, Ecuador, Venezuela, Argentina y Brasil. (Ver Anejo 10).

Sin embargo, el Centro Nuclear de Puerto Rico recibió el impacto anti-nuclear de la década del '70, especialmente durante los años 1973-76, y el resurgimiento del movimiento ambiental. Su programa nuclear empezó a decaer y gran parte de su presupuesto de adiestramiento y educación de \$1.2 millones en el 1976 era usado principalmente para respaldar las operaciones básicas del Centro (este presupuesto había sido de \$119,000 en 1958), (Ver Anejo 11). Por otro lado, el rol investigativo del Centro aumentó de \$58,000 en el 1962 a \$1.062 millones en el 1976, especialmente dedicado a la ecología terrestre, biología tropical marina y a la ecología tropical humana. (Ver Anejo 12). ¿Cumplió con su objetivo el Centro al permitir que la ola antinuclear cargara con el programa nuclear a pesar de que tenía estudiantes y personal? Tan es así, que el sucesor del CNPR,

@ Centro de Estudios Energéticos y Ambientales (CEA) tiene proyectado

---Page Break---

Para los próximos 5 años (1982-1985) un 0.6% de su presupuesto para un Programa nuclear. En el período 1977-1981 menos del 9% fue dedicado a dicho programa. (Ver Anexo 13)

## BONUS

El 4 de febrero de 1960 la Comisión de Energía Atómica de los Estados Unidos de Norteamérica contrató separadamente con la General Nuclear Engineering Corporation (GNEC) de Dunedin, Florida, E.U. y la Autoridad de las Fuentes Fluviales (hoy Autoridad de Energía Eléctrica), para el diseño completo de la Central Nuclear Eléctrica BONUS (Boiling Nuclear Superheater). Se adjudicaron los contratos AT(40-1)-2674 y AT(40-1)-2672 de la GNEC y la AFF, respectivamente, por las porciones nuclear y generación eléctrica. Estas adjudicaciones surgieron como consecuencia de haber completado tanto la GNEC como la Autoridad de las Fuentes Fluviales (AFF) estudios y diseños preliminares sobre BONUS bajo el Contrato AT(40-1)2484, otorgado en el año 1958. Dichos estudios fueron terminados el 30 de noviembre de 1959 y el 21 de diciembre de ese mismo año. Fueron publicados en diciembre de 1959 y enero de 1960, respectivamente. La decisión relacionada con el permiso

de construcción fue emitido por la CEA el 28 de junio de 1960, siendo  
Final y firme el 19 de julio de 1960. (Ver Anejo 14)

La Central recibió el Permiso de Operación No. DPRA-4, otorgado por  
la CER, @ principios de 1964. El 13 de abril de 1968 se puso el reactor  
a un estado de criticalidad inicial, el 15 de septiembre de 1965 el

reactor fue llevado a su potencia térmica máxima, 50 megavatios, con todo  
el vapor desviado hacia el condensador. El día 20 del mismo mes se cargó

la turbina a 16 megavatios eléctricos, a la capacidad de diseño de la central.

---Page Break---

Durante el período del 10 de noviembre a 9 de diciembre de 1965 se operó  
la central a su potencia completa como demostración. El período de arranque  
terminó el 19 de diciembre del mismo año. (Ver Anejo 15). Entró en

operación comercial en marzo de 1966.

La Central operó hasta julio de 1967, cuando finalmente fue decomisada  
en el año 1970 por razones económicas, según se señala más adelante. El  
proceso de decomisión se había iniciado el 11 de agosto de 1969, al recibir

el permiso final de la CEA, (Ver Anejo 16)

Esta fue la primera aventura nuclear de la AEF, y el primer reactor nuclear instalado en Latinoamérica para generar energía eléctrica. La AEF fue la primera central nucleoelectrica de Latinoamérica. El tipo de reactor utilizado era uno de los que fueron instalados en esa época en el mundo occidental. Consistió de un reactor nuclear de agua hirviente (boiling water reactor) con sobrecalentador nuclear de vapor integral.

La AEF tenía una capacidad generatriz de 16,500 kilovatios eléctricos. Era un programa conjunto (Joint venture) de la CEA y la entonces Autoridad de las Fuentes Fluviales, hoy Autoridad de Energía Eléctrica, siendo operado por esta última en un plan de investigación por espacio de tres años (1964-1967). Lo que se investigó fue la técnica de producir vapor sobrecalentado por métodos nucleares, propósito que fue cabalmente cumplido. Una vez demostrada la viabilidad de esta técnica, la Comisión de Energía Atómica desistió de seguir costeando la operación de la misma y se la ofreció a la Autoridad. La AEF decidió no operar comercialmente la misma debido a que la pequeña capacidad de la central (16.5 Mw) era económicamente inoperable en su sistema.

---Page Break---



Para la operación de dicha central se adiestraron alrededor de 50 técnicos especializados en el campo nuclear en distintas capacidades

(incluyendo ingenieros), la gran mayoría en el Centro Nuclear de Puerto

Rico,

Este proyecto inició un proceso para dotar a Puerto Rico de una

central nucleo-eléctrica comercialmente viable.

Proceso que no se ha cul-

minado y parece que finalmente decaerá. Es bueno señalar que varios cien-

tíficos e ingenieros puertorriqueños y norteamericanos (Or. Hoderio Iriarte,

Dr. Juan A. Bonnet, Jr., Ing. Angel J. Lizasoain, Ing. Julio Hernández

Fragoso, Sr. James T. Ramey, Or. Henry J. Gonberg, Sr. Sol Luis Descartes ,

Ing. Rafael V. Urrutia, Or. Ismael Almodovar, entre otros), al igual que

los ex-gobernadores Luis Muñoz Marín (q.e.p.d.) y Luis A. Ferré, visua-

lizaron desde el 1960 la necesidad que tenía Puerto Rico de independizarse

del petróleo importado (13 años antes de la crisis del 1973), y vieron en

la inabarcable energía atómica la solución de nuestro desarrollo económico.

La Autoridad de las Fuentes Fluviales (hoy Autoridad de Energía Eléctrica)

fue pionera, junto al Centro Nuclear de Puerto Rico de ese esfuerzo, sin

embargo, es bueno señalar que la AEE, por diversas razones, no considera seriamente al presente la alternativa nuclear entre las posibilidades que tiene Puerto Rico para solucionar su dilema energético. Sin embargo, en San Luis Obispo, California, la Pacific Gas & Electric, la corporación privada más grande de la nación de generación eléctrica, está a punto de inaugurar una central nucleoelectrica (Cafion del Diablo); la séptima que entrará en operaciones desde el incidente de la Isla Three Miles. (Ver Anejo 17). Todo esto ocurre en el país donde más abunda el carbón

---Page Break---

one

en busca de un lugar

Conociendo que la Central BOWS no ofrece posibilidades de operación

comercial, la AEE inició una serie de estudios geológicos, hidrológicos

ambientales desde el 1963 alrededor de la Isla para buscar posibles lugares,

de ubicación para centrales nucleoelectricas y fósiles.

Los primeros estudios (1962-1967) se realizaron en Tortuguero (manant), Palo Seco (Toa Baja) y Aguirre (Guayama), luego en Punta Higuera (Rincón) y Yabucoa. (Ver Anejos 18 y 19).

En una ocasión, entre 1973-1975, la AFF solicitó a someter 15 posibles lugares para la construcción de centrales fósiles a la Junta de Calidad Ambiental de Puerto Rico. (Ver Anejo 20). Posteriormente esto se redujo a cinco (5) por motivos ambientales, geológicos, agrícolas, hidrológicos y turístico, Luego, de estos fueron establecidos varios para ubicación de centrales nucleoelectricas.

Específicamente, la AFF solicitó a la Junta de planificación de Puerto Rico, el 19 de septiembre de 1963, una consulta de ubicación para una central nucleoelectrica al oeste de la Laguna Tortuguero (Barrio Tierras Nuevas Salvientes) en la municipalidad de Manatí. Esto se hizo en paralelo con los estudios antes mencionados. El 14 de junio de 1965 se le solicitó a la JP información adicional. El 17 de marzo de 1965 se solicitó a la JP los planos de mensura de las fincas concernidas y se solicitó permiso para adquisición de terreno. El 11 de octubre de 1966 se le volvió a notificar a la JP que la AFF seguía considerando seriamente los terrenos de Tortuguero para el establecimiento de una central nucleoelectrica. (Ver Anejo 21). Eran los primeros pasos de un sueño que hasta ahora parece irrealizable.

---Page Break---

-1e-

El 21 de noviembre de 1966 se celebró en Puerto Rico una audiencia pública para la ubicación de un reactor nuclear de escala comercial para generar energía eléctrica. La misma se celebró en el Municipio de Manatí.

Se describió el proyecto y se Te 16 énfasis al tópico de seguridad. No hubo gran oposición. El 27 de febrero de 1967 se celebró una segunda audiencia pública. A la misma compareció el primer grupo organizado que se oponía a dicha ubicación, el cual presentó a) señor Adolph J. Ackerman, de Madison, Wisconsin, como su consultor en asuntos nucleares, Más adelante se descubrió que este señor era un lego en la materia. (Ver Anejo 22).

A raíz de esa audiencia pública, el Club Exchange de Manhattan emitió una resolución, fechada el 14 de marzo de 1967, resolviendo ofrecer el respaldo financiero al establecimiento de la central nuclear bajo consideración. (Ver Anejo 23). Es esta la primera acción concertada de un grupo a favor

de una central nuclear de que tenemos conocimiento en Puerto Rico.

Dicha consulta (64-136C) fue recomendada favorablemente el 15 de mayo de 1967 (Informe #67-C-160) por la Junta de Planificación de Puerto Rico. (Ver Anejo 24). La Autoridad adquirió por expropiación forzosa cerca de 500 cuerdas de terrenos en el área de Tortuguero. Sin embargo, la ubicación

de dicha central no se viabilizó. Entre las razones para descartar dicho lugar estuvo la posterior centralización de industrias pesadas, consumidoras de grandes bloques de energía, a ser localizadas en la Costa Sur y la conveniencia de que la generación eléctrica se ubicara cerca de estos centros.

Simultáneamente grupos ambientales continuaron presionando para que el área de La Laguna Tortuguero fuera convertida en santuario ecológico. Sin en-

bargo, es bueno señalar que dicho lugar fue recomendado favorablemente por

el Advisory Committee for Reactor Safeguards (grupo de científicos que le

---Page Break---

-2B-

hacían recomendaciones a la Comisión de Energía Atómica), desde el punto

de vista geológico

de acuerdo con lo anterior, y teniendo conocimiento de ese problema, la

AFF ya había iniciado planes para ubicar un complejo generatriz en el área

de Aguirre. A tales efectos comparecí a una audiencia pública en agosto

de 1966 en el Municipio de Salinas (3 meses antes de la que se celebró en

Manatí) que celebró la Junta de Planificación (JP) para considerar la via-

bilidad en términos generales del establecimiento de una central nucleo-

eléctrica. Más tarde le AFF radiqué la solicitud #67-1225-P para que se le

autorizara adquirir tierra y servidumbre de paso para esos propósitos.

Dicha solicitud fue aprobada por la JP el 24 de mayo de 1967, 9 días des-

pués de haber recomendado favorablemente la ubicación de una central nucleo-

eléctrica en Tortuguero. (Ver Anejo 25). Por las razones que señalaremos

más adelante, la central nucleoeléctrica no se materializó tampoco en

Aguirre @ pesar de que el proyecto estuvo muy adelantado

Mientras tanto la Autoridad continuó con sus investigaciones geológicas, hidrológicas y ambientales alrededor de la Isla, con excepción de un área desde el este del Municipio de Dorado hasta el sector Las Cabezas en Fajardo, y un área entre Aquirre y Ponce. Estas dos áreas fueron excluidas, la primera por ser una de alto interés turístico y alta densidad poblacional, y la segunda por ya existir información.

En el 1973 la información preliminar disponible apuntaba hacia la Costa Norte entre Tortuguero y Arecibo como la de mayor potencialidad. (Ver Anejo 26). Entre los sectores estudiados se encontraban Punta Cerro Gordo (Dorado), Río Cibuco (Vega Baja), Punta Chivato (Manatí), Tortuguero (Naná), Islote (Arecibo), el área de Quebradillas, Quebrada del Toro

---Page Break---

-u-

(Isabela), Cabo Mala Pascua (Patillas), Punta Verraco (Guénica), Morillos (Cabo Rojo), Rincon y Aguadiita.

Finalmente se recomendaron tres sectores: Tortuguero (el que había sido aprobado en 1967), Islote y Punta Chivato. Ya en estos momentos

el factor principal era ecológico y geológico. Islote fue seleccionado

Y fue recomendado por la Comisión Reguladora Nuclear desde el punto de vista ambiental (siendo de hecho el único lugar así aprobado en P.R.) en 1976, (Ver Anejo 27). Según se relata más adelante, Islote probó ser la aparente tumba del desarrollo de la energía nuclear para generar electricidad comercialmente en Puerto Rico. De hecho, esta unidad (0 unidades) nuclear experimentó una serie de postergaciones por diferentes razones, hasta que se pospuso indefinidamente, 1976, 1981 y 1985; entre las razones podríamos mencionar problemas de financiamiento, aumento de costos y consideraciones políticas.

Aguirre e Islote: ¿Fracasos o víctimas?

Aguirre, un poblado rural del Municipio de Salinas, dentro del Distrito de Guayana, (específicamente el Barrio Jobos) tuvo la primera oportunidad seria de convertirse en uno de los primeros lugares en Latinoamérica en tener una central nucleoelectrónica de una capacidad intermedia (500 MWe) de tipo comercial para el 1976,

Pensando en grande, en el 1968 la Asamblea Legislativa de Puerto Rico asignó \$200,000.00 para

Ser pareados por la CEA para Tevar 2 cabo un estudio conducente a1 establecimiento de un centro agro-industrial de energfa (Agro-Industrial Nuclear Energy Complex), que también se conocté ?como NUPLEK (Nuclear Complex). (Ver Anejo 28). £1 misno estaba formado

---Page Break---

-15-

bor 22 estructuras principales y sus instalacion

+ plantas desalinizadoras

(para tratar de resolver parcialmente el problema de las sequfas en Ta Región Sur), plantas para recobrar sal, refinervas, plantas quimicas saté Nites, planta de aluminio, finca agrfcola experimental, aeropuerto para aviones Tivianos y un Srea para una futura expansi6n industrial. Oicho estudio no cont6 con Tos aumentos descomnales en el precio del petr6leo Gue comenzaron en el ato 1969 e hicieron crisis en 1973. Habi6ndose con- Eluido el estudio en 1969, estos acontecimientos invalidaronde facto el mismo. Aden6s, fue precisamente en el avo 1969 que se comenz6 el movi- sientto ambientalista en los Estados Unidos y Puerto Rico, con 1a aprobaci6n 481 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Com consecuencia de este movimiento, Ta Bahfa de Jobos (Aguirre), en donde se habia reconendado



establecer NUPLEX, fue posteriormente declarada un área ecológicamente delicada. El tipo de reactor nuclear que se planeaba construir era el de agua presurizada (PWR).

Por otro lado, el 26 de junio de 1968 un Comité Especial nombrado por el Director Ejecutivo Interino de la AEP para llevar a cabo una evaluación económica sobre la decisión entre unidades de tipo 0 unidades nucleares rinde un informe titulado Comité Especial sobre la Selección de Unidades Generatrices para el 1975 y 1976. Dicho Comité recomendó en un informe de 1968 al Director Ejecutivo Interino la construcción de una Unidad 1 para operación en 1976, (Ver Anejo 29).

En enero de 1969 en una reunión en La Fortaleza, mansión ejecutiva de Puerto Rico, entre el recientemente electo gobernador ingeniero Luis

A. Ferré, el Director Ejecutivo de la Autoridad ingeniero Félix Cordova

---Page Break---

-16

Díaz, el Sr. James T. Raney, Comisionado de la CEA, y el Dr. Modesto Iriarte, de la Autoridad, y otros, el entonces gobernador de Puerto Rico, ingeniero Luis A. Ferré, otorgó autorización al Director Ejecutivo de la AEP para que

procediera s considerar serianente Ta alternativa nuclear. Al seftor Gober  
nador Te preocupaba la total dependencia en e? petróleo, especialmente  
ante lo que se empezaba a percibir en el mercado de éste.

Este nuevo enfoque ante Tas alzas aceleradas en el costo del petróleo,  
que no Se consideraron en los estudios anteriores, i6 una gran ventaja 3  
Ja alternative nuclear en los estudios de planificactén. £1 endoso decidido  
del entonces Gobernador Ferré fue instrumental para considerar positiva-  
mente 1a instalacién de una central nucleoeléctrica que entrarfa en oper

cin comercial para enero de 1976. Ya para esta fecha la Autoridad habia  
planificado 1a construccién de dos unidades fésiles, utilizando petróleo,  
de 460 we cade una en Aquirre. Este lugar fue seleccionado debido a 1a  
actividad industrial que se esperaba se desarrollarta en el rea, actividad  
ave 1ueg se quedé muy corta. Posteriormente se afadieron dos unidades

de gas (1972) de 40 We y Finalmente dos unidades de ciclo combinado de  
250 the cada una, La Declaractén de Impacto Anblentat para el desarrollo  
de Aquirre fue sonetido 2 Ta Junta de Calidad Ambiental el 21 de abril de  
awe.

Para abaratar los costos de construetén de a futura central nucleo-

eléctrica 1a Autoridad decidió ubicarla en Aguirre donde existiera la infra-estructura necesaria, tales como centro de transmisión, líneas eléctricas, carreteras, almacenes y otros. Se confió en el juicio de los entonces

consultores de 1a AFF en materia geológica y sísmica, quienes asumieron que

---Page Break---

La falla geológica en Aguirre podía probarse que era inactiva. Así se abandonó el lugar del área de Tortuguero, además de por las razones ya expresadas.

Esto luego resultó ser un gran error y quizás el punto que marcó definitivamente la final cancelación del proyecto nuclear. De haberse seleccionado el área de Tortuguero creemos que el proyecto se hubiera llevado a feliz término. Esta habría sido la intención originada

Ya el 19 de julio de 1972, la CER comenzó a poner reparos en la data geológica presentada. Según el TOS la misma no probaba que una falla geológica cerca del lugar de la ubicación de reactor estaba inactiva, y que probar dicha inactividad era sumamente difícil. (Inactiva quería decir que la falla no había sufrido ningún desplazamiento durante los últimos 35,000 años y no más de un desplazamiento en los últimos 500,000 años). Este problema tenía una solución ingenieril: dispositivos para terrenos de magnitudes elevadas. Pero el costo involucrado era muy alto. Por tal razón y

desde el 10 de enero de 1973 se comenzó a hablar sobre la necesidad de mover las unidades nucleares a otros lugares. Para agosto de 1972 la Autoridad se vio forzada a paralizar indefinidamente la construcción de

dicha unidad debido a este problema. Se volvió a sugerir la utilización

del lugar disponible en Tortuguero, aunque cuando había que comenzar de nuevo todo el proceso de aprobación del lugar. Este cambio trajo la postergación

de la unidad nuclear de 1976 a 1981.

Los estudios que se habían venido realizando indicaban una posible

faja de terreno apropiado para la ubicación de unidades nucleares entre

el este de Arecibo y el oeste de Tortuguero, a pesar de que mapas geológicos

---Page Break---

1B

Los mapas indicaban la posibilidad de la existencia de una falla menor cono-

ida como Briggs Fault a 10 largo del Cato Tiburones.

El 25 de junio de 1973, la Autoridad decidió concentrar sus esfuerzos

en estudios geológicos en Tortuguero e IsTote (Arecibo). Finalmente,

Los estudios geológicos, ambientales y económicos determinarían que el lugar más apropiado desde el punto geosísmico era cualquier punto en la Costa Norte Totalizado entre Arecibo y Dorado. Se seleccionó el área de Isote del Municipio de Arecibo como la más apropiada, incluyendo el aspecto ambiental y social. Este lugar satisficó los criterios de selección de lugares (site selection) tanto de la nueva Comisión Reguladora Nuclear (CRN), como de la vieja Comisión de Energía Atómica. Los hallazgos de este estudio fueron discutidos con el personal del CEA.

Posteriormente la Autoridad radicó ante la nueva CRN el Informe Preliminar de Análisis de Seguridad y el Informe Ambiental el 26 de septiembre de 1974; los mismos fueron aceptados oficialmente por la CRN el 27 de enero de 1975. Estos documentos son los que conducen esencialmente al

permiso de construcción.

Como consecuencia del embargo petrolero del 1973, las realidades económicas y financieras por las que atravesaba la Autoridad y la crisis recesiva que afectaba a Puerto Rico en el 1975, en adición a la reducción en la demanda de energía (de 16.2% en el año fiscal 1972-1973 a 1.7% en el año Fiscal 1974-1975), y los estimados de proyecciones de demanda eléctrica, hicieron posponer la posible construcción de la unidad

nuclear en 1a Isla del 1981 a 1985,

Por tal razón, el 10 de agosto de 1978 se decidió no continuar con

---Page Break---

-19-

aquella parte del proceso de licenciamiento que involucra las vistas públicas sobre asuntos ambientales y la comparecencia ante el Advisory Committee for Reactor Safeguards en relación al Informe de Evaluación de Seguridad. Se decidió continuar con los esfuerzos para que la CR autorizará finalmente a Islote como un lugar apropiado para unidades nucleares de cierto tipo y capacidad en todos sus aspectos.

Ya en septiembre de 1975 la CRU le había dado el visto bueno a las medidas de seguridad de la propuesta central nucleoelectrica. Más adelante, el 11 de septiembre de 1976, la CRU determinó en el Borrador de Declaración de Impacto Ambiental que el propuesto Barrio Islote era apropiado para el establecimiento de una central de energía nuclear, desde este punto. (Ver Anejo 30).

Por otro lado, a principios del año 1976, y debido a las postergaciones de 1976 a 1985, la Autoridad decidió vender todo el equipo de la central nuclear eléctrica. No se pudo vender y está almacenado en Aguirre.

Eventualmente la construcción de la central fue postergada indefinidamente, el equipo almacenado en Aguirre es obsoleto, y los líderes políticos de todos los partidos en contra de la construcción de dicha central en el futuro previsible.

(Ver Anejo 31). La única excepción

Siempre lo ha sido el ex-Gobernador Luis A. Ferré, quien es ingeniero mecánico, y anteriormente el ex-Gobernador Luis Muñoz Marín (q.e.p.d.)+ que emitió instrucciones al Sr. Sol Lutz Descartes, ex-Director Ejecutivo de la AEF, para iniciar el programa nuclear en la AEF (hoy Autoridad de Energía Eléctrica). El ex-Gobernador Roberto Sánchez Vilella, quien también es ingeniero civil no se ha manifestado últimamente. Pero tanto bajo

---Page Break---

Su presidencia en la Junta de Gobierno de la AEP como en su término en la  
Gobernación (1966-1968) y el programa nuclear de la Autoridad se desarrolló.  
Tanto el Gobernador Carlos Romero Barceló, como el ex-Gobernador Rafael  
Hernández Cotán y los líderes independentistas Rubén Berrios y Juan Mari  
Bras, que son abogados, se han manifestado en contra de la energía nuclear.  
Todo, a pesar que los asesores científicos e ingenieros de las últimas  
cuatro administraciones 1972-1980 han respaldado la energía nuclear. Todo  
el proceso de licenciamiento fue detenido en 1979.

Es bueno señalar que la organización privada Misión Industrial, Inc.  
tuvo el peso principal de la oposición nuclear, tanto en el Proyecto de  
Aguirre como el de Islate. Su intervención fue efectiva y su voz fue  
escuchada con detenimiento y sus argumentos considerados con mucho  
cuidado.

Por otro lado, tanto el Colegio de Ingenieros y Agrimensores y el  
Colegio de Químicos de Puerto Rico han respaldado consistentemente la  
opción nuclear. Lo mismo hizo el National Academy of Sciences en su  
informe Energy in Puerto Rico's Future, publicado en forma final en 1980;  
aunque considera que por razones de capacidad del sistema eléctrico  
para la demanda pronosticada, una central nucleoelectrica no es viable, por  
lo menos durante los próximos 20 años, a menos que se desarrolle una  
Unidad nuclear pequeña que sea económicamente viable. Similar posición  
han tomado la mayor parte de los ex-directores ejecutivos de la Autoridad



de Enerofa Eléctrica.

Esta posición contrasta con el hecho innegable que 1a Autoridad tiene en operación dos unidades de 460 MWe cada una y dos unidades de

---Page Break---

-a-

425 MWe cada una, para las cuales se tienen que proveer las correspondientes medidas de reserva y estabilidad dinámica, a menos que 12 Auto-

ridad decida descartarlas en el futuro lo cual resulta ilegítimo.

Centro de Estudios Energéticos y Ambientales de Puerto Rico

Como se señaló al comienzo de este escrito, el Centro de Estudios Energéticos y Ambientales de Puerto Rico (CEA) es el sucesor del Centro Nuclear de Puerto Rico. Inició operaciones al inicio de julio de 1976 y surge con el cambio de prioridades ocurrido con la Ley 93-438 que creó

la Administración de Estudios y Desarrollos Energéticos (ERDA) en enero de 1975.

Se reorientan los programas para hacerle frente a la crisis energética, especialmente en relación con el impacto ambiental resultante de tecnologías energéticas y usos energéticos. Además, se reorientan las inves-

estigaciones hacia el uso directo de la energía solar e indirectamente por

medio de la conversión oceano-terrestre.

[1 CEEA se dirige principalmente a las ciencias ambientales; medicina, conservación de energía; ciencia e ingeniería solar, incluyendo conversión oceano-terrestre; y desarrollo e investigación de materiales. También estudia otras fuentes de energía; biomasa y bioenergía. La

energía nuclear ha sido totalmente relegada.

En el "Integrated Program Plan for UPR/CEER FY 1977-82" que fue Preparado en abril de 1977 y corregido posteriormente (CEER-A-63) en el 1980, nos dice que dicho Centro está comprendido de cinco divisiones principales: a) Solar; b) OTEC; c) Ecología Marina; d) Ecología Terrestre y e) Biomasa. El presupuesto sugerido para ese programa de cinco

años elimina totalmente en 1979 los Programas de Medicina Nuclear e

---Page Break---

-2-

Ingeniería Nuclear. (Ver Anejo 32)

Por otro lado, en el propuesto Plan de Cinco Años (1982-1986), e?

Centro incluye un programa nuclear, pero sólo le asigna el 0.6% del presupuesto total para esos cinco años; y el mismo va mayormente dirigido

@ la fusión nuclear (92t). (Ver Anejo 13). Solo el 6% del presupuesto se asigna a educación y adiestramiento. Con este cuadro la energía nuclear parece destinada a desaparecer del panorama puertorriqueño, especialmente en el campo de la energía eléctrica. Parece extraño que siendo la energía nuclear una fuente de energía disponible se haya relegado al plano que se encuentra hoy día

En los informes anuales del CEEA (1979-1980) nada encontramos sobre energía nuclear. Sin embargo, el Informe Anual de 1980 (p. 29) hace mención de un estudio realizado sobre las necesidades energéticas de Puerto Rico hasta el año 2020 y el costo de las diferentes alternativas, el cual podemos apreciar concluyentemente que la alternativa nuclear es la más económica. (Ver Anejo 32). Pero el informe solo destaca el hecho que la biomasa constituye una solución a corto plazo y OTEC para

la próxima década, @ pesar de que este último se equipara con la nuclear en el año 2020.

Por otro lado, el Centro continúa su proliferación de publicaciones científicas (ninguna nuclear) con 34 en 1979 y 53 en 1981. Pero algo sí tenemos claro, que el Centro tiene la capacidad científica para en-

volverse nds en el carpo nuclear.

## Status Actual de 1a Energia Nuclear

Aunque parezca paradójico, desde el embargo petrolero del 1973 Ta

---Page Break---

-2-

energfa nuclear para generar electricidad comenz6 a decaer tanto en los Estados Unidos como en Puerto Rico. Lo contrario ocurrié en Europa y Jap6n, y en algunos países latinoamericanos. La legislación ambiental, la inflación y recesión causada por el problema petrolero y la disminución en el consumo de electricidad fueron factores para esta declina-

ción en el interés en centrales nucleoelectricas.

Actualmente hay cuatro (4) veces más unidades nucleares planifi-

cadas o bajo construcción fuera de los E.U.

- que en este país (Business

Week: agosto 31, 1961; p. 102). (Ver Anejo 33). Por otro lado, en los Estados Unidos se han cancelado 0 centrales nucleoelectricas desde el 1975, 16 en 1980 (Business Week, supra). Este hecho, junto al hecho de que las exportaciones de la industria nuclear han disminuido de 83

(1958-1975) a 27 (1975-1981), tienen al borde de la muerte a esta industria.

El Gobierno Federal cambió su enfoque en el programa energético a transformar la CEA en la Administración de Estudios e Investigaciones Energéticas en el 1975. Más adelante, el Presidente de los Estados Unidos, Jimmy Carter, se declaró en contra del establecimiento de nuevas unidades nucleares. Pero aparentemente el Presidente Ronald Reagan, de los Estados

Unidos, tiene intenciones de revivir al programa nuclear

Como señaláramos anteriormente, en Puerto Rico la energía nuclear y su uso para generar electricidad parece estar muerta. Durante los últimos años, la AEE (antes AFF) ha venido realizando estudios

y gestiones para

construir para el 1990 una unidad de 400 toneladas que quemará carbón. La unidad nuclear ni tan siquiera fue considerada. El pasado 2 de septiembre de

1981, el Gobernador de Puerto Rico, Carlos Romero Barceló, anunció que

---Page Break---

a2

se había descartado la construcción de una planta de carbón, aunque se  
Planes convertir unidades que quemaban petróleo para que quemaran carbón

Dicho proyecto recibió el endoso del Director de la Oficina de Energía y  
del presidente del Colegio de Ingenieros y Agrimensores, rechazando así  
la alternativa nuclear. (Ver Anejo 34). Sin embargo, es bueno recordar  
que el CIAPR en el 1975 dio un endoso, por Resolución de su Asamblea

General, a favor de la energía nuclear como resultado de un estudio realizado por  
ingenieros pertenecientes a dicho Colegio. (Ver Anejo 35).

Por otro lado, desde el 1976 los líderes de los principales partidos  
políticos del país, han rechazado consistentemente la utilización de la  
energía nuclear como fuente de energía eléctrica. (Ver Anejo 31). Tan  
reciente como el 9 de junio de 1981, el pasado Gobernador descartó la  
energía nuclear por considerar que no existen adecuadas garantías ambien-  
tales\*. (Ver Anejo 36). Todo esto, a pesar que la CRN dijo lo contrario  
en 1976, (Ver Anejo 30). Anteriormente, en 1978, el actual gobernador  
había expresado su rechazo por el problema de disposición (de residuos

radioactivos)", (Ver Anejo 31). Todo esto a pesar que en Puerto Rico no se va a disponer de esos residuos. En el 1977 habfa manifestado que no se debia descartar totalmente y que se oponta ?ya que los vientos de mar a ?erra podrfan contaninar e1 campo en caso de producirse un escape". (Ver ?Anejo 31).

Por otro lado, otro ex-gobernador (y el nico ingeniero entre os gobernadores que han opinado) se ha manifestado consistentemente a favor de Ya energfa nuclear, a1 igual que 1a comunidad cientffica en general. (Ver Anejo 31).

---Page Break---

-25-

Segin 1a informaci3n ofrecida por el Director Ejecutivo de ta AEE y 1 Gobernador a1 descartar 1a planta de carb3n, 1as prayecciones de demanda e enerofa indican que 18 cepacidad actual de 1a AEE es suficiente para satisfacer Te demands hasta e1 1995. Este hecho y 1a oposici3n en el Viderato port

ico del pafs hace renotamente posible el establecimiento de una unidad nuclear en Puerto Rico durante el resto del presente siglo. No Se he considerado la posibilidad de sustituir las unidades convencionales

?que ya han pa:

do 0 pasarén su vida til por unidades nucleares para econo-  
mizar a largo plazo Tos gastos de combustible. Sin embargo, sf se piensa

hhacer con unidades de carbén.

Esperanos que el sinposio sobre energia nuclear que se celebraré

en Puerto Rico durante el mes de novienbre de 1981 tratga mayor luz sobre

este asunto.

A No largo de este escrito nos hetos podido percatar que 1a proble-  
mnitica de 1a energfa nuclear en Puerto Rico nos presenta estas dos ver-  
tientes: politica y tecnologfa. Los aspectos técnicos tienen soluciones

?SEenicas. Ye en dos ocasiones 12 Conisién de Enerafa Atomica y 1a Comisién

Requiadora Nuclear han aprobado pretiminarmente, desde el punto de vista  
ambiental, tres lugares en Puerto Rico (Tortuguero, Aguirre e Islote)

£1 problema sfsmico es uno de diseio y econdmico. Por eienplo, en Cali-



fornia, un área de alta intensidad sísmica, se han establecido centrales nucleoelectricas. La primera tan reciente como septiembre de 1981, la Central Nuclear de San Luis Obispo, en San Luis Obispo. De hecho, durante

un fuerte movimiento telúrico en años recientes, fue una central nucleo-

---Page Break---

-26-

eléctrica. La única que se mantuvo operando en un sector de California.

San Luis Obispo es la séptima central nucleoelectrica que inicia operaciones desde el incidente de

1000 Miles Island.

El problema de la disposición de desperdicios radioactivos no debe constituir preocupación para Puerto Rico. Estos desperdicios se almacenan en lugares escogidos en los Estados Unidos. Además, se sigue estudiando e investigando nuevos métodos de disposición. Por otro lado, en octubre de 1977 el Presidente Carter, de los EE. UU.

anunció que el Gobierno Federal almacenaría los combustibles usados de centrales nucleoe eléctricas comerciales por una tasa de almacenaje sencilla, para evitar la presión que tienen las Centrales por carecer de espacio suficiente mientras continúa

la investigación,

El aspecto de seguridad y ambiental ha sido solucionado en más de

una ocasión. En cuanto a esto, el incidente de Three Miles

muchos puntos positivos.

Sin embargo, los políticos siguen trayendo argumentos que ya la tecnología ha resuelto o que ni tan siquiera afectan a Puerto Rico, o que

ya fueron evaluados por las agencias concernidas:

Por último, es bueno señalar que existe un aspecto sumamente importante en el caso de Puerto Rico: el económico. Una decisión nuclear en nuestra Isla más que tomar en consideración asuntos técnicos o políticos,

tenemos que tener en consideración el impacto económico. Este resume toda nuestra problemática. Si consideramos que los atrasos de los proyectos

de Aguirre e Islote hicieron que los mismos llegaran a niveles de costo

---Page Break---

- 27.

Prohibitivos y que el retraso de los mismos le ha costado al pueblo de Puerto Rico cerca de \$100 millones anuales, tenemos que concluir forzosamente

que el aspecto económico tiene una importancia en nuestra Isla.

¿Los preguntamos si la solución a toda esta problemática político-económico-tecnológica sería consultar a nuestro pueblo directamente, después de una orientación de los pros y los contras? Nuestros estados de los Estados Unidos y países europeos han recurrido a este mecanismo para

auscultar el verdadero sentir de los realmente afectados: los consumidores.

---Page Break---

finje 2

IK

World List of Nuclear Power Plants

Operable, Under Construction, or on Order (30 MWe

1d Over) as of June 30, 1981

wie te ?Septet Eveet conser ?CaS gece

BBS Pave Semens ?Y\_?\_Sanens Seren 109672674

?kame? tre bee et i me Sema ru onegwmy ?Cena a ae

?Exate (eno ho fers fo Ps AEC almpame AREY noone at rors eos

Austia

?metseantaninten Tate (Oe

ated fecmaso 2 own Kmvate?wuein ey 5010) th nwt

?covrmueo

= Unis conn eoetion 1 she ae of sap, ancien ea wa re

+ Competitive for open

Twice each year Nuclear News sends a questionnaire to each utility or agency on this list, asking for

corrections or additions to the information listed. In cases where a response is not received, we do follow up by phone, though such follow-up is not always possible for plants outside the United States.

The criterion for listing a unit is that either an order or a letter of intent has been signed for the reactor. In cases where the definition of "letter of intent" may be ambiguous, or where a specific

situation may exist, the judgment of the utility is followed as to whether a plant should be included in

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear on Gamer

Power Plants, cont'd ee

cox Gamer ate spe se tre

Seno tater anna ?SS ?Se poe

ot ee) am Pam aCkooNEN enpas! wm om ans

?ae

+ ae 2 ere) a es sins

ottre oane (SEm0)

sae hte 8) PHRF mae ah rE NAO wa saTH 975,

?Gem cst SHE SMCS

Sec nt ie a feet

cro (theo

vein i span mawucco Aamo lcm CE

2 ae woo pan sceconen ?Bowtie tact crarue a) ane

seo mie, ta

cant a a a

oven

Sen bo mo pwn scecowen ??ithom? =e tra mat

eras

So ee opm ow won wo

?nga eat a

salen ws Pen tm cena EBs

butgaria

fo nSeey 9 scuaey eo pwn ae jo ae

PSS fm id

TSS Be ie ie

isi essa ome Mt ?®

cenada

Ftc ae Pot Common

Tencgen ay tfowy. WB) 6S) PWR EL ACLTLUY uy

ons dm

Sse Fo veto, on m6 mown ous on

BS Swe Sen

Seopa at own

BS Bue Ee out

33 pee Re oem,

eT ma Be et sas

fic neon ot} ro feet om Ot

for § eet} ro fn eet Oem. Oe

fea nono) is wk eet gue

tenders. ba) Se fee Hee ome Be

fag Se om fet Suet

rare Be pon et Sic

reg Se fie Hest Seaect

Steen RE ae Met Sect

fete} oman RS Slat

ria oe) = tom Re gue

Se caeee on) 1 tome fie

Sein hewase twp oxy gt wn et Sct

ofan Rat cc



Soham Ret Succ OF

fhe ket oust

---Page Break---

cava cans

ar ue

Eee eancur Oe)

Sete? frat, at)

cxachostovakia

Fee tt une

iS kami

Eee

S58 j Rees

wont Soar

Eayot

Ss ane tary

Finiang

Sten ama Ostet 10)

Mens Rape re)

enon ar)

?eget rae ean

2 Lecce one

1 Giro inet one

2 Mo Sue ete

TE neon

Spier ianes

ml

4 fesse 9 au

5 fSsemes fest)

rece)

SE ike

PE

+ Deroere 2 ot

+ ceroeme 3 home!

Geae 8° over)

Gann burner

Garó Boece,

Cote be emcee)

SSESEREEESS

333:

a

i

ea

&

Babies §

88 8 8 § SES88EE EE:

oun

ar

a

a

a

ze

wo ee

me e

a as

ae ae

seen ? Salina!

{RR AowoneNAA eaten

Ey

Sich Rates Ames

Ey

fa.

faa,

Fact

fet

rae

aia

trate \_ç

ecusmcaeen 400

?wectone ta"

is

Semen ak

nm mS

sSertion ASEanom 199

setnon ?SAA? 109

a

00

20 ae

7s aa

08 ?sm

wt 3m

Wi ware

3B bn

contaes

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear

Power Plants, contd

if

if

f

q

i

france sane te ee seer

Tessier me se

magna ma us

ae a =

PSHE = =

Be & =

Cee z ie SER

tee ie e 223

sobiasciss a Ed See

aE a = S28

ees vu on oma



som ton \* en

?a i

= hm o :

sm a 3

2m a 3

Scum = 3

SERED BB ae ESS 8

Ss Li tne

ee se ren ttn Rac cone tc mae

aroun Camera Reps

ormeatenete pe ae = tet

Visitas ome Parke

i ge E B28

is gm # 8

3 ome 12

ceemany sree

Tete, mney mmm ma

maaeaeear eS,

a Se ym ym me mes

a 2m 2 oS oS om tas

LEE

Seam, ae ome yea a

NOTE Ts Geter ana Page set act ane ey vate: Aso eros tom he alate Sa a 9 = ah

Weis Pune Secsnamacsen ae teenies sesovasten Eeevcannes ae Waele We tat eee Boma AS

aes an ynrea setae ro erenaein voekep aceon

Nea Sse oa Ee Ste eran Sate ae Zs TG woe

---Page Break---

FG. ona

ewan pe naan Gat

ocrerperaa kertraaen Gam (6)

"nino are ee

Xemerchnngtenion taroate

wore Ue pare

?emrsce meat nb

Bensues nnauee ee

emu tam ot)

esi Gn ew)

a egren to Cera

Serta gto

races Ue

Sine: SvesRemuteapseactat

Hungary

India

?esme bea

see | eae)

TESS semoan

Sade de Raps

Thee oa: Rasen,

mm

me

ne

28

cae

v6

EERE

PEPELLEES

Hae

seness

xu

snes

serene

as

emu

me

oe

eae

owe

we

me

&

f

senen

om

ow

sseness

ones

coum

fe

te

oe

secxwe

eae

\* Rema ee of Sam, arsed te as sede

sone

sven

100

?s

2%

i se

176 a8

78 ane

5 stat

wm

Pa

continut

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear

Power Plants. contd



vase coe iee\_tye\_\_Sesier\_\_Septer\_tipaets\_c

TSS oem st

cre nan z

ms 00 Pw fo 0

lame reae ee

ease aww Fao Te Feces 100 5

Pe ge ton, shew See rt

Seite samo Ra Se

Shum ag tennant ay e hom

SSE; 2 se Eg 3

HSS: BONE wtih oe :

eee

ee nuyenees Stone hey wa ay tac Mean tama) TB TE

Sentero joa ke we cee Suma 100 168

ee BR f ç ec: Ee

PoE eT a) mo PWR ow womek ne Mee 0

+ naan oe me etn SEY

Coo cmstnemesy Hott ence "SY

Sian tcoron tm omen Em

eroavimemret ut Rome to 3

scm sCwwmeon) POR we oo

Lema me rt yearn

sme 33 ° Sf wx

ome ote wee me

gun me os

gE 2 2 &

B& 2 Ge

Stee

vette en

=

4+ Unt n comarca oomration = fried ee Sanu, snout time ecw rae

---Page Break---

te

?iat Ee Powe

Ss sues fame Pet) oo

wa 2lasrew inne Pe!) Se Pia

?tes foe ie

oa Gee we

oe Sr ta

S25 Sey § er

onset et

ea bee eden

fiscnme Situs

BRS aa

SRT en

ees tee

SE essa om ae out

Sekagergy ote

Sulit oa nny Bae

SeMeeiS ean Bae

SR OREM BM

SEER een

Epo

siya

- so rm

anenbou

RR ne an

cate v0 pen

sexi,

eS utr nen)

ce tt aye ane

eee! genwecten) St Bt

Netnerande

caret emmegacmine

mene a

sce at aro)

pakistan

a

NOTE Ke ae Some

excrete

Sepiet\_\_Serner\_

we ?wet

ceTopee gic

ee atte

cates

w ae

w a

SMe

ou son

uo Se

cat sn

rec me ne es MOS PATIERE 3

i

een

we

aa

ee

oes

bor,

ete

ect

H?01 Ceara

ww

fic

cat

Connect

ee

es

Sie

yoenace

ete

»

s

ve

er

oF

m

sive. ?Spentee

tan 079. acta

ee pace

Swot a

ms 7S 6a

3

?Be

3

nig a7

wm

nat

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear St comma

Power Plants, cont'd 7 7

ie\_tyoe Spot \_\_Sppter\_Exgmet\_conucne\_ ?Ci ?te pose

Priippines -

?Pigg hana Pow Ca.

RPP rng Ban Caton) mw w on wom ree tas

?aan } Que) wo pw ae 2 ms as

Taro 2 anim) ?PE 0 ee

Rumania

mai (0 wo pm ae mm

Famane (nro) too pawn AEG AMUSE AECUGEAMN Sue =e

?Rano? (en) Tan Pawn Keck AMUSE ECLA Sate om

?South Attica



erty Sup Commision 8600)

oey 1 toe) op tw stem 8 BBR

oe 2 eee) se pm ia ioe % Baas tm

Spain

lta Beehcs de Caan, 5,

ao sc. Teg pew Wns Beauty? NUGEA 9817782

ot Eerste Cale,

telco tape, 84

sca en.) woop ow WENS ?Recueiocnyh NUGEA 71127 am

canes iso a, A

twocitnen

+ Samara Gao Sara Maaco 440. BWR « a

?aie

?Compt eto te Bead 84

ries Epn 3

asec ana ms ot e a re

Vitec 2 (ne) ms on & Bo hea 2 ote

?compen evn fe Eni 84 °

triacs Epa ho in

rene 3

umora | Wane. ces om ow ? ome yt 1m) eam

?heart 2 ane Gores) mop OW ? woe |S tam im

eras Rein erga A, Carle

?tence Hnpnens 3 De

vse (ie, ee ee ee

pee)

?To te, aad) 10 rm ona

empea ana erteica

"Rangonns St Bre ater wes

nates Cage worm ow en

enh fern Gree Meee, A)

Pegdoe an) pwn wy ow

aa

?Cae tote. Vers) mo mms Ce

ae cine (ape. Pave ei Mron) ND BWRE Ce

CONTINUED ON PAGE 87

= Ute con eto

matt 608 of rar, motets we ac was on

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear

Power Plants, cont d

me escee Genera rei

ste pe Stouee\_?\_Septer\_\_\_\_Tageest\_ conse

SPA0 cts

tepna Faana ena Mt, Sk

?ern

senna ms a

mane | (Lene, Vaca) mo pw w peitecn ? ersiew

Stare

amon 2 (Levan, Yee) smo PWR w w Dele tein

Seve

?So (S38, Zar) wos pwn Ww w eres bees

ones ?ene

Seta nee mae 9 pw w omer

Sweden

Craranenssetats Katy 8 (KG)

wasn ener Uo eR Aston Staal Ana

+ oss 2 (Ouray 570 BWR ASteAln SBSH seat

on

Comshen 3 (Oeaanee) oi ewWR ASEAME® ? SubL one

?sow

States Vata (88)

eens Wane) Tso BWR ASthAln FE ASEAMOSSPS S508

Fagus 2 hae) form SAN gua ?Ssracune Seok

3 fem) pao Se Gin ssctuon that KasSrose 8

2 Neanae cen fot Ww" Sito ?ereweSSre

gras # fee Seo Pan Sida ABIES She

frsran ose) foo fn ascnkomp ? Sivlaw ?aSSrast She

Sorat 3 oust), ia Swn ASckanm ?<SSiiae | AASSPaSL SSPE

syevent ka Ab

oSteaes ta 79) S70 BWR ASGAAn ? Subloal AAS USL ME geo

ane ime BM kh, SSE RASS Sct

?Switzerland

cesene wen am)

Sree er Bene) owe cersco. satay

?asco

eee aber AG RC)

Siar Teen so wwR GeTscoco ee wacrsco

amet bea AS

?etic a

tosses tabeene AE OH)

mast cern oem Ww fe ansee

1 Eero 2 (Semen) Sob Shue

eran Goooge Dues NG

Scere Ce) ww ku ew

?eseage waters) es pwn Gensco wR MONO) wat,

?cerscoatisto

Taiwan

?he bar hm sag) so ome w fae

Enea 2 ison Moun) fom \* fae ie

veteg | (eeseng) Somme \* aoe |e

?one 2 assed) Soom & \* fow 18

cra 3 as) mm OW & Bow We

Warne 2a) Sof w & fom ie

NUCLEAR NEWS / AUGUST 1081

1

Ey}

wore se

1269 126

wi nine

ote ?ao

{om toe

er ane

os

conte

---Page Break---

Word List of Nuclea Can Conner

sine ?Spent

Power Plants, cont d ae tes

na escny Gurren se chee oer

whē pe Spee pte ae \_\_consa ees

Turkey

?ay ny) uo ph o ®

United Kingdom

sey een Bw (CEGE)



Sener? oocntesn te ock TG a wo te 10 682

Bessy 2 omens ie Se ?i Wwe = ts mt

sie se tio Sex Ts rat) TMS 0 oe

eel 2 ae RMR Rute Meme Ne

cee es) ym cn ?we ?an we ?we io 2s

ce 2 hae Bo Ge ke 4 fee Neto a8

Doren te i Ge thee |r UMAGA 10 Ses

Biers 2 ee) BE hee Peumiass Tg cone 10 noes

Sees gee) io Gee eowtw cow ao ee

Sewn 2S) ee Ye

Say Pot amex) % GR enw = teen w te Ss

ea fom A Some Bo Gen teaww 0 | tegatw we Ss

?aug tonsa) fo Ge ?tee? aur un ?Tura? etn to ie

Genay2iaewcsenae) Seo Sek Ts Heipytim) pe Seagoe Mo ve

fs teen fen ccawrr "ceatarw Sw" tab an

Weazingenty Se Gee femawtw eau Two 1

Wty Pact Semen ee Kee NR" toe We wwe oa

TENG Fat B inese) Site we wee

Sesion ete fo sce ee fy Em

Binsee 882 tem et a



benapon at eves ee ?ae me

tiesto! me (creas ad Se me ome Oe

Sp st accom ice We Ge tO

ofp gone fie Wee ae we We 8 8

5 fo he Se ew =

ok te ae Geet 6

wo sce ee ee cf owen 100 set

ie te Ge oe Ge Menem Sao oe

SR MS eto «te "Mg" tam Be

Ge aches Pag) Trees 873 577

?nou fatten) GRAN ?ae wie was ?a

rca cy: Sear fat Laman) 6D RGR AME ee te Mane

ee hea a

haere ar) so ockwApeuUkw os

hae ho 2 ono ee rd oe

aoe he Haro Gee Gare Puy) Wek Tw 4

Heenera Sle Uta Parti) Uk Two oe

?EEE Eset) Ramee) Sh RR) Uw ne

Teas Cee § Gummer) & Gk Oak Pt) ak Tw na

ase Gus Semene) Ste ma Pi) Tw ns

?hn nn ac or hry

1 fie ebans Goaet fe mun yuch?AEURUAER Tut pes

?Shown Pong) 2 Uhm vars ?ee ace Wee N03 tora Be

United States

owas

ator Ga 8 ee o

'edere ey ue. a) wom ce « eons: eowel\_ Wo 3735

Chen 05 ase MED wo Pm CE w Sent Bem tee

er pwn o eons seas 109107! 127?

fae Ce & Et from "3 "800 wet

ase ken oseom wen Ome) 575 PWR W " Siw kw t09 eT 188

1 Una one seen \* Eemaet osu anes ne as was cee

---Page Break---

si ?Open

eg, ea

we acer Gamay htc se ter

te \_sype\_ Stone Soi onan ?tS ?Get pecee

ys sons, come

sno

SS macy esr ate

seme

ee ae ee

Ermereeeen) Sef Nally "Bs a SE

em ww wos

Least

erie. es) aah awh ck aRGE Rw

nga

Dei tk ny mom ty

a cn

na ee eam a

Ed Se ES ae

moe ay ee ge

Eee wm ? OE oS We

Tae om go ms mu am

Tg 6 Um Og fs ag

Weceniei yas Bn ooo

sear eg

Tees) ny owt cee new

et cok

Diheterees ac fonon fe) 8S awk ø seme m 1

Tecoma ie ? & is aah

Rt Sm ? 6 SE. (Bae

soc th Bi \*

ir ae ce ?sw

~ 8 ge

i o 8 te

ners ears

hare: soe me yy wae ete

Sept) i ee

Berra ay whee 8 Ra

meee th Som GEE, ue

cet) aa rhs tat

se mses 08 r0

or

one

ene Bi oom ew sow os

nee i el

?eon hy a er erm

ao mm og cr 3B

ener ey

Sees mm eg toe me lw 3

roe fioe Rm o@ @ a 2s

Sees 6) Zi & @ we IS ie aR

Sere &} mim ge ee ase

SESE} a 2G en te

conrnues

NOTE Seanecenrs sia Hurst Bay 3. whch ano s'sewee Paci Gas ze Eeccs mp cater alee to resat

Konto 3

cn ?Ttlh mn % mamswarae score rocteaon are asucye!naswihgamnn Deer 180 Pe dye BUSES Pe

BBD

PURSUE Sit cmtorsmectyne ica ogy Sinan te pam sve ?pom ema eae se

ao. eAR NewS / AUGUST r089 \*

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear

Power Plants, cont d

fescis??Gontor??ace

te Stoner ?Stet aginst conser

us moms, co ¢

ammormen Edien Campana

coe ewe ow w

Seana fin w

Bswone oacecoe > per w St 1073 1088

Enews? areseed Pea w sit we 1098

te pwn ow sa ©1037 at

ceca ime ne Pan OW st © tose in

acti ices wo em oe oe sata a



43 Sats fare eer a) me be oe Sk te we

nome Paes

ae Boe reich) 2 ome ot o fee! Seems 100 ta 2

2 FSi um neta 6, ba Ee oi Brows Seem top "oro dant

rei atta ten) SS a Saw o few: feo "ee Soe Tat

BSEE Dias en} spin Skw & Stow Seow 66ST ed

CnglrdPner Coupe

asco rock \* mas me Sh Mos t00 T0888

Seve se

oi. Men) 10 « « yoke Ne

at tion. 8 9 oe sh mow 7g

\* oo Ey & Si Bare ?Ge Es ant

?hen go Bree ce,

eee won) tse w seesc ays 109 ez 478

Seba sare ME) tet \* meses MO

ara some ot Seow sens 109128

wo owe aa ene 0° ow

ere tenet wo PWR w ?« best Ome reat ae

mom w eRe ah 7

os ewe « su pane

wregteoeene Moe 35 ewe « gue toatl mo \$7971

Seng se oy Man) Some W w ey tn S02 a

ERSEISSS tle ok a iow w fs tay lon Se tae

Wee Heo Cs pwn ow w sty

wena ation Eom, Pan st Uy

jie on ner me Pan aw oe ecm ben

Sahay te. Mo mo pwn w oe en Saat

any? ton Me ns PWR OW @ Seow! Chet

for Been no Cen.) sy pwn ow w Sees fe 100 47079

meseiieeeme MS) St bm w Senet Seat Ne 0a

sec Cater Ws) PMRW w SHS twee

+ tea conmer apetan 1 Kami et of a, amount ma ws te

---Page Break---

an Consere

S oon

= oe

= re Bs

Ste ST SE eee BB

gm oy: of St ao ae

mbit

ee eRe

Teles; ok Rw

sas

» BRE ft & se

| BRE P of & g

| BR Go of © =

moe gues me

2 ¢ B

em & &

eS tod 2

jones t Moore MEY ce « eae,

2 eee at Hg =

ey ne =

. maa OE ® S85

woreay Corecess

Woh Seaayuins Bowe lea

Sic sanery tnsas onan

Gere semucy, ovary

Se. Reh ia ba

eo nea hot Ga, Ons

SOUTHWEST © Se New Mee:2

Sts a

WF ao inate

---Page Break---

World st of Nuclear

Power Plants, cont d

?a

ootian Powat ah

seseatign. Powe & ie Oo

Tg eT dean Mss)

E22 Syn tment)

set sin ae te

SUSE Fe Bae as

rosa pape See

he eee mesg ask)

WeSt2 onset |

vest 3 meseo. At |

lon pig & Poe omen

fie's Com wate Tey

Suc fens Poet Paces

Sio5 let one 2

?Bsn fr. Oun|

Bs for now Oe

?eae ice GeversingComeany

evan bet sean We

CEEST Pe er tet fo

a

hoe pase

te mot

Yo Be Gt

wm exe ow

tos ee

ie eo

si Pam

re hae

we Baa

En bm or

&

&

&

ee

a

rd w

wr ew

tio fea Ee

tm Pea Ge

io gmk oe

?Be fan Ww

veo Pan OW

0 owe ot

MS oem

wa owe ow

Me Pan

&



SReeraaeesss

sese

wy

iy

saw

aay

B® oe BBo

ae

3

ee

or

ie

i

---Page Break---

WES" ALD KORTANES?

rane on eran

ae tay 1a oh Cav

San apn 2a Se |

eta cep! ia

Sine Pear

Pak gree loge Oe)

235. Som 2iateyn Oe)

unsere Comgany Cts

ce Nien eames con

Foe Sot Poe eh

a ign tind

Scant cto Enon ad

?Ss gene & ace

+ thet! Siete iy

?san foate 3 San Camere Cat

soe eo)

See eunrn 2 {rare}

ca rar Mamas)

foo a mane)

Lost ume

oo ostey omens

1. ore done no

?mena ta

Smee? ae ate)

Seaman

Eset)

Cron?

sae « ecm

wit an \* ?iy

ine ? vom,

0 9 e sete

io tan & Seo

eae & seme

mon ok « cy

we gan oe ® fecwt boa

vo Re & \* fom Feo

a0 rae tw w owe tec

5 an see

a AME ete foe

toa ee Sone

oh & ain

taba \* wesc

5 75 w Gt

1S ean w wee

tea te \* fe

950

1

Me ane

ane 76

io ae

mg

sn ans

wn

3

ay

Be

8 108

nm

---Page Break---

World List of Nuclear on

Power Plants, cont é =

mi eam ewe ie

ok ae ?oe conser ?88

oe of

tint cm

Sos ee ta Mane

+ Samet 2 om 0

Sot coma hoe oe

PRR Sea 0 wie \*e

Yugoslavia

[de Beceem) ane

?atest ee

coe es nm wen wim

no Se sie

ene er ae

Avteevitions used

in neta



HELE

yen

anf

HE]

9

a

iti

EHF fF

>

ape

eee

ag

?penton

ong al

ie? pesee

©

m

---Page Break---

## Student Statistics

FY 1958 - FY 1964

comers

a

"59 160 (61 "62.63. '64\_ TOTAL

Argentina

Bolivie

caste

Colombia

Costa Rica

cote

Ecuador

21 Salvador

Great Britain

Ouavenaia

mates

Inia

Japan

Mexico

Nicaragia

Parana

Paraguay

Pers

PuiLippine Islands

Santo: Domingo

South Africa

Spain

Graguny

Venemiela

1

?

é

Re Bown

Total Non-US. Citizens 9 2 2 a 2 % 169

U.S. citizens 50 52 MOT! OM HL 1 68T

?TOMAL SINDENIS 599 72 BH ee lg a

Feo PAE 7°

---Page Break---

Table 4: PRNC Students by Country ? FY 1958-FY 1976"

6 so tt 8

woe 8 8 Ss

e 6 8 2 8

" 2303 38

100 se aot mt

ze ne 25 ms? oe 860

+ Fat mum owns wane PANG rm on ya top ation FSB rouh FYI

F001 CEE AS

---Page Break---

Table 3: Geographical Distribution of PRNC Students, FY 1970 through FY 1976.

crepsonea 1999 wo was ste

Peete Res USA wines

a

Feo CER I~ S

---Page Break---

aneje 5

## INTRODUCTION

?The Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, Founded in 1997, ss operated under cv  
yr the J. 5. Atonie taergy Conmizeion by the University of Puerto Rico, whose  
Seosent soay of 34,000(which fas doubled ta each of the past three decaies)  
fakes st spe Selari"s largest university.

?Yor Naclear Conver engages in training and research in the peaceful use of  
nuclear smeray, with special eaphasie pon the needs of Muerto Rico and Latin,

deerica, the idea for a nuclear center on this Caribbean island stems from  
President Dwight D. Eisenhower's historic "Atoms for Peace" address before the  
United Nations General Assembly in 1953.

Since 1987, the Macclean Center has grown rapidly. Its first year staff of  
100 has increased to nearly 300, including 80 scientists. PRND's student enrollment  
last year was 236, four times the amount during its first year. About one-  
third of the students are foreign nationals, from 18 Latin American republics  
as well as other nations.

The Center is 2000 sq ft compared to other nuclear labs on the U. S. mainland,  
but its southern facilities are excellent



One of PRI's two main facilities is at the University's Mayaguez campus on the west coast. Muerey St has three reactors (one pool-type research reactor and two training reactors), a subcritical assembly, a 1 k MeV neutron generator, neutron spectrometers, a laboratory for work with high- and low-level radio-

activity, a large gunn facility, w chemistry laboratory, and separate buildings for plant sciences) nuclear engineering and marine biology. The marine biology program has a 100-ton oceanographic research vessel, fully equipped with Aabere-

The other main facility is at the new Medical center in Rio Piedras, on the southeast of San Juan. The Bio-Medical building in Rio Piedras is equipped for research in several fields. Irradiation facilities include a cobalt-60 teletherapy unit, a 400 KVP X-ray therapy unit, and a cobalt-60 irradiator. An animal house next door is stocked with colonies of mice and snails for experimental use. A solid state physics laboratory is located at the University's College of Natural Sciences in Rio Piedras; terrestrial ecology laboratories are located in the Loquitlo National Forest.

The Nuclear Center's academic program is closely integrated with the master's degree programs of the UPR in the physical and life sciences, agriculture and engineering. Students enroll at the University and receive academic credit through the corresponding University department. Their professors are scientists who have appointments at both FRAC and the University. Degrees are also being made at the doctoral level. Few doctoral programs are now offered in Biochemistry, Microbiology and physiology; proposals for programs in physical Sciences and Chemistry are under study.

PRNC also gives non-credit training courses, It provides facilities for

---Page Break---

SENIOR STAFF

ten an

1 Site Tore

a Roos Mane

satan Onsiony

Ws Cme Pg

rainy Acct

at era

ex Lig Benen Cl

Soni tt Compo Un

ery Sn Maes

?Sera of as

?ane oa

nvr of Rr Rizo

Da See of ton

Anejo 6

sere

ation Tnpy

?etaton Tewoy

eclosr

co

---Page Break---

eal rs Seon

erate Saunt man Eloy

Crore Scenes Man Elo

Gear A Ste Sema

fan Goa daa

Tie vegas ot

1 hoe Sinan

?vn t of eco

Scie et of Oren hy

encanta

Term AM Unesty

anenny of Pore Rs

a Scat wad

?Scipio Oamrog shy

?01 Doncnos Une

Camnopaone

Fray

Meccsas

Sewn

Zola

oer

leet

Pronton

---Page Break---

= Hanno Mee

an nd Str

1 rena 0. Toto

?eit Redan Sey Pt

?tng na

onan Mal Soi

Senor Senet

Scie Anoeet

Seite Anwcet

3

fo.

onary ot ae

Peru Pumeny Une

Tom A&M Unveny

?emmy Se Uresty

?sevoeern ta. Clie

Uy 9 ahs

Goran of amo ee

Scmorsty



Poiy

Pe

Nie Engeing

oe

ten Ps

?rd ain

ree

Pape! Hae

---Page Break---

?Reet Oper

Somat

?

nora et fees coe Tso

Coren ot fees he Pee

onan at eae es Engng

ce Reevncae Coun Nat Retr Ope

?Nowe mesticae Coat Neca Rett Oper

---Page Break---

snejo 7

Table 4: PRNC Students by Country ~ FY 1958-FY 1976

a

a

i

eulae

Doman Rpe

|

Eke ip eweewer iiss

Bhersrieriewewrireeeriie

¥

Bae.

Ebtos--.u eae

{fte..

te

an FY SE oh TE

fio CEE A-S

---Page Break---

anezo 8

SCIENTIFIC PAPERS PRESENTED BY PRNC STAFF

AT SCLENTHE!

MEETINGS

FY 1958 FY 1968,

1959 1969,

1960 1970

1361 197

1962 1972

1363 1973

1964 1974

66 +

1965, 1975

1966 1975,

28 #48

1967 1976

\* 2 Oct. 1957 a 30 junio 1958

© 1 julio 1973 9 30 junio 1975 (panc-198)

?et 1 julio 1975 a 30 sept. 1976 (ceeR-as)

Fuentes de Informaci3n: PRNC 22, 47, 82, 102, 121, 131, tho, 14h, 157, 165,

176 y 198; ceER-As.

Nor

PRNC 22 cubre el perfodo de 2 oct. 1957 a 30 de junio 1963.

---Page Break---

SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS BY PANG STAFE

FSB wee Fv 1968

1959 1969

1960 9

1961 wn

136 on

1989 ?op

1964 on .

1965, 1975 a8

1366 1975 .

1967 1976 ee

\* 2 oct. 1957 a 30 junio 1958

1 julio 1973 9 30 junio 1975 (PRNC=198)

Set 1 julio 1975 9 30 sept. 1976 (CEER-AS)

Fuentes de Información: PRNC 22, 47, 82, 102, 121, 131, 140, 144, 157, 165, 176 y 198; y CEER- AS.

Nota: PRNC 22 cubre periodo de 2 oct. 1957 a 30 de junio 1973.

---Page Break---

ane 16

"The PRNC has been assigned the responsibility for conducting @  
program of scientific investigations in biology, chemistry, and physics  
15 part of the United States Atomic Energy Commission Atoms For Peace  
Exhibit in Latin America. During 1965 the Exhibit was taken to El Sal-  
vador and Guatemala under the auspices of the USAEC Division of Special  
Projects." PRNC-82

1965 £1 Salvador -

Guatemala PaweB2

1966 Costa Rica ~ paNc-102

Nicaragua

1967 Panané ~ pANC-121

Ecuador

1968 Venezuela prwe-131

Argentina

1969 = PANC-140

1370



---Page Break---

Anejos 11 y 2

vireo stares.

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

April 11, 1976

ACTION MEMORANDUM 6108

Administrator

Assistant Administrator for Field Operations

[REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A CHANGE IN THE ARRANGEMENT WITH THE  
UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO (UPR) FOR MANAGEMENT OF THE PUERTO  
RICO NUCLEAR CENTER (PHNC)

Issue

To determine the programmatic and institutional future of the Puerto  
Rico Nuclear Center facilities and recommend, if necessary, changes

to assure that the FRNC's future management and operation are consistent with ERDA's programmatic requirements.

vernon

A 4m ERDA Task Force was appointed by the AFO at the request of the ALA and AES to address the issue. A counterpart Puerto Rican Task Force was appointed by the President, OE, to complement the ERDA Task Force effort (Enclosure 1).

## BACKGROUND

Funding,

The PREC was established in 1958, under the Aton-for-Peace program, to investigate the effects of radiation on the environment.

Since its inception, the program has been successful in

conducting research and providing technical assistance to

various countries in the Caribbean region.

The program's activities are expected to decrease as the

number of training needs at PIMC. SPISC'S research and

mainly with funds from AES (81.002 million in FY 76) and sound expertise

ESAS Mile Couey AS separ: OP the caeiae Blotogy eee

tropical forest ecology AES support for the area of research

Ecology and Wetland ecology program is expected to continue and will

be phased out as the program is completed previously carried in other

proprans.? Eesearch ia nuclear sedicioe and agriculture 12 ot « high

3 pron Cesre-4-073

---Page Break---

PROGRAM COST SUNMARY

PUERTO AICO NUCLEAR CENT ER

FY asa = FY 1978,

ning

fort Ean

orem

wes nec s

sss 78700

suo 430.200

esr 750508 750.508

wee 1210886 same azeas70

feo Menon ass, a8 vane

tose 3908597 tases2 526.998 uns

yes ze en a0738 sz

fois thowess = rizsee ssoae «= semanas 2048,190,

seer 4s26 764 zsn02 57.008 © nee .T07 °

mom yzsz2ts anes seatee | scs gga Tna80

ws 1z300 © oa «= sees zzesas02 zat

wo aexzra eczema sams 12895, zoe

wn amas Hosgot?7nsas ngage 2.3 Sood 68,620 2509,26

wr 101s «azar. sap wnz msasy 2508.32

7 1eeoss © ares espa senor a © rezsas 30ms218

re acossz?asas7 Tm ae © 1300569 3.307.505

firms zara asa ascot? aot Sisco 1asa205 3762825,

ame y.c00 spe2.000 3 -z000 © ?Tre.e00 2.686.000

Te S68 0 onan vcr ot nd TST Tor Wig rd Ecvnion

Sage top Coonan Mego = astm Tope Feeds

?HEARD von oige iPRBA oe Bears

ae

---Page Break---

anejo 13

?PROPOSED FIVE YEAR (1982-86) PLAN

SumARY

?The proposed five year plan (1962-86) for the development of

alternative energy sources is subdivided into thirteen (13) main

subject areas:

1. ore

II, Biomass

III, Bioconversion

IV, Fossil Fuels Research

V, Solar Program

VI, Ecology Programs

VII, Environmental Health

VIII, Materials Development

IX, Integrated Technological Assessment

X, Nuclear Program

XI, Transportation and Conservation

XII, Public Awareness:

### XIII, International Programs

Summary Table S-1 "Total Funding Requirements for Proposed Five Year Plan" illustrates the funding level requirements for each sub-

ject program. Total funding requirements average out approximately 91347

million per year. This is approximately 3-4 times the average level of

CEER funding existing during the last two or three years. One of the

Freon CEER- 4-55

---Page Break---

oot ayctes ?Sz6itet ?vartyt ?gastersvssttzt snot stir

? - \_ ? ? ? youoy3eu20201

we mee ue ose sw 19 eeouaavey o7TaNd

en ove oz ser sor

vt set ue sm \$200

ox

oo scr sor sor 08 08 3 x

st \* sez ssz sor ont ssi x

en oe ee sso sev ose svers928 ?111A,

ss 06 ose ost 509 008 ?yaqvon Twawemuoxparg ?IIA

over 7 \* \* : 7 (oto fexpntaxe) Motor

ve

ee

ars

vet

ow

ywier

wr

---Page Break---

fain reasons of the incr: fe reflects



is that the proposed program bud

costlier development and denonstration programs as compared with previous

less expensive programs addressed to develop baseline information data.

42% of the total budget goes toward "Development and only 30% to Ba

Research, This last requirement is

\dly needed for developaent of addi-

tional baseline information, Demonstration prograns account for 22% of the

Budget while training and education accounts for less than 62, No meaning-

full energy proses

could be developed without « funding comparable to the

indicated in Summary Table S-1. OTEC is the largest budgeted program (21.52) followed by Biomass (19.42). Beology which interfaces with several of the energy programs ranks third in budgeting (18,62) followed by Solar (9.12).

Summary Table S-2 "Total Program Personnel Distribution? illustrates

the total manpower requirements, by classifications for all programs. For

all information on manpower requirements, per program see the corresponding Table 2 under the respective program section.

The total maximum pi

projected personnel requirements for the programs

varies

between 297-335, Present CEER total

Personnel is slightly under 200,

hence this indicates

an approximate growth of 77% to handle all programs.

EER feels that enough physical facilities:

are available, After decontamination

of the nuclear reactor facilities in Mayaguez, that additional

&

available space in addition to that available at the Rio Piedras facility

should be able to accommodate the projected expansion.

F

Summary Table 3 "Total Program Budget Distribution by Type of

Research, Development, Demonstration and Education and Training. The

largest component as previously pointed out is "Development". For details

at the classification of each particular program refer to the corresponding

Table 3 in the respective section program.

---Page Break---

001 page

Summary of the Program

?noyae23eu0mq

woadoteaeg

secty cesta yoawonsy 2y80q

oT w % cy w TaeteTD

---Page Break---

## X. NUCLEAR PROGRAY

### AL Nuclear Fusion Progras

Nuclear fusion promises to be the ultimate and optimin solu-  
tion of the energy problen for husanity. The first nuclear fusion  
reactors will use tritium fuel. Approximately 85% of the energy in  
this type of reaction is Liberated in the fora of MEV neutrons. Any  
machine designed to harness the energy produced by this type of reac-  
tion must convert the 14MEV neutronic energy into a manageable form.

?The most commonly considered concept to harness the 14MEV

?neutron energy in fusion reactors is by permitting the energy

to be deposited in a ithiun blanket designed to breed the re~

quired tritium  $^3\text{H}$  and the heat generated in the blanket is removed by conventional heat exchanger technology to operate a Rankine cycle. This approach does not lend itself to the generation of out reactor fuels.

Hydrogen production from water decomposition with 14 MeV neutrons is of particular interest in the harnessing of this fusion energy for the generation of out reactor fuels, CEER has at it

facilities in

Mayaguez a 150 MeV proton accelerator and facility which produces 14 MeV neutrons in a target reaction which could be effectively used for this purpose

Existing experimental data on the conversion efficiencies of radiolytic water decomposition indicate values of 10%. Some

experimental data indicate higher efficiencies (30 to 40%), but

results are not fully understood and the researchers have

---Page Break---

x2

not been able to duplicate experimental results such as the CIRENE reactor experiments. More important, however, there

is no data using L4MEV neutrons as a source. It is estimated

that 30-40 conversion efficiencies in radiolytic decomposition of water with L4MEV neutrons can result in acceptable hydrogen/ electricity production scenarios.

EER proposal of February 1977 entitled "Feasibility Design Study Project for a 100KWE Level Pilot Plant Fueled by Hydrogen Produced by Direct Solar Heat" contains a detailed discussion of the most promising thermochemical cycles of that date.

The use of L4MEV neutrons in a thermochemical step can result in eliminating inconvenient high temperature steps of particular thermochemical cycle.

CEER has been in contact with IMS Fusion of Ann Arbor, Michigan

in an effort to establish such a program. IMS Fusion is willing to develop a joint effort with CEER in this area. In addition, CEER per-

sonnel will maintain itself abreast of the now

developments in Fusion

Technology by attending seminars, symposia, reading the Literature and

holding occasional local lectures.

## 1B. Nuclear Fission Program

In the field of nuclear fission CEER proposes to monitor and

transfer technological information from the national laboratories to

interested CEER/UPR, P. R. industry and Latin American countries inte-

rested personnel. Distinguished investigators and professors will be

invited from time to time to present Findings and developments to CEEL

---Page Break---

personnel, CER personnel will attend national meetings, conferences,

symposia, etc.

Tables XI-1 through XI-4 illustrate the funding and effort



scheduled.

---Page Break---

TABLE 1

NUCLEAR PROGRAM

BUDGET (In Thousands \$)

8

A. Nuclear Fusion Program BS

B. Nuclear Fission Program 3

Total 658080

TABLE X-2

NUCLEAR PROGRAM

100

105

?BUDGET - PROGRAM PERSONNEL DISTRIBUTION

2 9

Nuclear Program

Scieneific Staff toa 1

Technical staff Boss

Adpinistrative Staff no

TABLE x3

-MUCLEAR PROGRAM

?TWPE OF RESEARCH

a 83 Bk

Nuclear Program

Basic Research 6 7S

Developaene

DenonatratiOn

Education & Training ss 5

105

---Page Break---

Personnel

Equipment & Materials

Services

?ToTALs

0

30

105

10

105

---Page Break---

PROGRAM PLAN FOR UPR/CEER

For 1980 and FY 1981,

Introduction

The Council of Higher Education authorized the establishment of

CHER effective July 1, 1976 after one year successful negotiation

with ERDA (now DOE). The negotiations with ERDA (now DOE) were sum-

marized in an action memorandum dated April 11, 1976, The establish-

ment of CEER phased out the operation of the P. R. Nucl

ue Center (PRC)

which had been in operation since 1957. This change was a result of the new needs to focus on the changing world energy situation.

A document was prepared in April 1977 entitled "Integrai

1d Program

Plan for UPR/CEER FY 1977-82". It consisted of a 50 pages plus five appendixes entitled: (I) Biomass Research, (II) Solar Research,

(141) Solar Materials Ret

ech, (IV) Conservation Research, and (V) Bio-

conversion Research+ This document vas to serve as a guide for energy

und research programs for the recently established CEER organization.

The programs described in the above document and the funding and

budget allocations have undergone changes and revisions. These changes

and revisions are the result of the natural development process

search findings, budget restriction

time schedule restrictions, personnel

availability, newly set priorities, etc. This document revises the

original Integrated Program Plan, establishing a new plan for the FY 1980

and FY 1981,

prepared by CEER- AW

---Page Break---

current organization

The original organization chart of GEIR indicated four main Divi-

sions: (4) Basic Programs, (6) Biomedical Research, (2) Environmental

Research and (1) Botany Research, in addition to the above Divisions

there were five administrative units attached to the Center's Director?

Office: (a) Wealth and Safety, (b) Training and Education, (c) Administration and Services, (4) Technical Services and (e) Facility Decontamination.

Various organizational changes have occurred during the period

mainly due to program reorientation, budget restrictions, personnel availability, etc.

Figure 1 is the present CEER organization chart. As can be seen

in Figure 1, there are five main Divisions as follows: a) Solar;  
>) OTE; Environmental Sciences Comprising c) Marine Ecology,  
4) Terrestrial Ecology; and d) Biouaso, There are five administrative

units attached to the Director's Office: a) Energy Assessment and Ana

late; >) Public Awareness; c) Library; 4) Administration and Support

Services, and e) Health and Safety,

Budget Restriction

The greatest changes occurring in the original programs are mainly due to Budget Restrictions.

Table 1 "Federal Funding? promised for CEER/UPR Transition Period?

shows the funding assignments contained in the referenced April 11, 1976

RDA (DOB) Action Memorandum, The dollars indicated

in Table I are FY

---Page Break---

00008 \$ ?\_oaooes ser'ciss ? 9r6teris sivor



ost'au ~

oorszes §?senteess reer ?aos ans

ostnce\*is

sruserentey pve

ostece swanio

00's SISKNY AON

sowvasau Stang T1ssod

ea TwoRNONL ANE

sorswanwoo01

Notuvanignoo Kowa

wos

ao

5 sswmott

sertory TTS

y musa mens

---Page Break---

?oust 12 207 cootoazé

ose

oy

OSS

WOOS

OS

wre

orscet

beste

Sd

ose

os

---Page Break---

Anejo PRIRA-CHDS 5

Rev. 0

aajez

Lognity of the cuperheater

in of the turbine. Tt will be

\* eawe a hazard to

JORS, 0 public Rearing was beld in San Juan,

60. As ? resul? of this hearing, an inter-

. sy the Presiding officer,

5 ideo ster Resources

Docliet No. PP=ge The

4 Jor the ersetich to completion of

om facility ceseribed in the cone

and among the Unived States

ver Resources iuthority, General

won Construetzon Compeny and in

port, which are a part of the

Secorance

recor herein

ration gaterizesion Jo provistonat to the extent  
on te oferaus the facility will not be issued by the  
chere ? guiaitted :t 9 further public hearing which  
?o consider the operating authorization, The Finel  
sort (yortions of ?hich may be submitted and evaluated

ind the Conmigsicn ehall fn that the final design,

aunerneater, end dete developed from the specified  
p experiment? progruas, incluting the integrity of the

verre, provide reasonable assurance that the health and  
gafery of tbe pools tii! not be endangered and that the operation of  
the feclldty will net be inusieal io the common defense and security ag  
requires ty the Avent: tnengy Aet, @2 anended.

for shall? the waste of nuclear fuel for the proposed  
silica:ton facility until further bearing and determi

Commtscicn, which shall be held respecting operating

Gsthoriastion after the coupletion of the construction of this fecility.

"ge Eecoptions, if ony, ané brief in cupport thereof must be filed  
by July 18, 195C; UMets in opposition thereto shall te filed by July  
20, 1960, and #f' the Cemmiacion does not initiote a review on its om  
notion, én no exseptions are filed, this vecision shall, in accordance  
with the Cousission's Rules of Practice, become final on July 19, 1960,"

?There being no exceptions filed, the stove decision becune final on  
July 15, 1960.

a

---Page Break---

EPACE

BOWS Nuclear Power Station Located near Rincon, on the western  
© of Puerto Rico, is jointly owned by the United States Atomic Energy  
Commission and the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority (PRWA). The  
partial operation of the reactor and plant have been con-  
ducted since the authorization No. DEAK, Issued Jointly to  
the Sand PABA, with the responsibility:  
Plant operation and activities assigned to CEND.

reactor is of the Pressurized Water Boiling type with integral  
thermal capacity (rated 50 Mw) at 975 psia and 900°F. Superheated steam  
from the gas heater fuel zone of the reactor core is supplied  
to the 17,300 kw turbine generator in a steam/condensate cycle  
with preheating of the feedwater to 350°F prior to



ieved snitial ersticality on April 13, 2964,

visting of 30 of the eventual total of 64 boller fuel

Or Dacenter 14, .965, the startup phase of BONIS operations

She guscenity: coneiusion of & full pover desonstration

che rouctor was operated with its futl complement of fuel at

Geatgn there coiditions and tae power generation equipnent delivered

Spimateiy 95 pesceat of generator capacity to the gra

eriving time, a relatively large number of core configu>

ex ie were tected ant analyzed 29 establish their nuclear, thermal, and

erlsties. The core configh ied under cold

experinent conditions were the fLiowing:

6 x6 bolier assexbiy without boiler shins

bly vith boiler shins

roly with So:ier shims

Reduced BORIS cor

FALL BOR cor

In adzition to these coid experaments, extensive tests under hot operating

wer conditions were conducted on the B'x 8 boiler core, the reduced

elenent) euperneater core and the full BONS core to evaluate their

Nuclear control and thermal characteristics during steady-state and tran-

sient operating modes. The sequence of the experiments conducted and

Other milestones of BOWS operation are presented in the chronoto

History tabulated below

1

The experiments described in this report represent the preoperations]

sealyois program defined {n Modification No 16 to Contract AT(0-1)-2674.

?The report has been prepared in fulfillment of the requirements of Modifi-

cation No. 16 and in order to provide gijaence to the Puerto Rico Water

Resources Authority for subsequent plant operation,

---Page Break---

such as the AES

The details of the BONUS reactor end point have been  
sufficiently described in EOS documents, namely, the Final Reports  
of the Technical Specifications; the Final  
Safety Analysis Report, PRARA-GNEC-1, and the Startup Summary Report,  
7, TAVEL Engineering matter relating to design aspects has  
been presented in this report. It is assumed that the reader familiar  
with the BOS reactor and its plant systems. :

## CHRONOLOGICAL HISTORY OF BONUS OPERATION

operation

Initial loading of 6 % 6 potler fued

ye aprit 13 Initial criticality with 6 x ? unshinned boiler core

April MSatune 24 Cofd and hot critical experiments at. zero power with full core to measure nuclear characteristics

galy 1-5, Co'd ?ritscal experiments in preparation for power

on with byller sore

poration sith boiler core

chectrica? generation during tet

Sine generator with saturated steam

Dover operation with eofler core up to & maxinun ?of

30 tule}

fot critical oxperinen:

G tte) with full core

aly !7-papust Lh

operation of

and reduced power operation

Sturt of cold critical experinents on the reduced,

2iesuperheater assembly core

Pevrusry Start of power operation with reduced core

apres First electrical generation utilizing superheated  
steam with reduced core

September 10 Maximum steady-state power of 7.1 MW  
with reduced core reseed

September 11 Reactor power to 10 MW

September 12 Full 90 MW reactor power level with a1 reactor  
steam bypassed to condensers

September 20 Turbine loaded to 16 MW

November 9-10 Reactor overload test at 55 MW and generator maximum  
output capability of 19.2 MW (gross)

November 18 = + put power demonstration run, 9965 MWh

December 19 Completion of startup period

---Page Break---

## 1, INTRODUCTION

### PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The ABC and the PRWRA had agreed to terminate operation of the BONUS

reactor facility and to decommission the plant. The type of decommissioning

selected includes such key features as;

1 Removal from the site of all special nuclear materials

and certain highly activated components such as the control rods and shims,

2. In-place "entombment" of the pressure vessel and associated internal components within the biological shield.

3. Decontamination of the contaminated systems external to

the entombment boundary so that they may be left in place.



?The decommissioned plant was to be left in a condition where radiation and contamination levels were suffi

ently low to allow for unrestricted access by

members of the general public when the facility was open to the public. This goal is particularly important since the facility is to be used as an exhibition

center for a number of years immediately following decommissioning.

## 1.2 PROGRAM APPROVAL

?On May 26, 1969, PRWRA requested authorization from the AEC, Division of Reactor Licensing, to dismantle the BONUS Reactor. On August 11, 1969, DRL

---Page Break---

issued the order authorizing dismantling of (the BONUS! facility (Reference 1).

.s order include the following stipulations:

1, Dismantling of the facility shall be in accordance with the PRWRA application of May 26, 1969, and the Decommissioning Plan (Reference 2) submitted therewith,

2, After completion of the dismantling and decontamination of the facility, PRWRA shall submit a report describing the condition of

Remaining structure and the post-decommissioning surveillance program,

3. A post-decommissioning inspection will be made by representatives of the Commission.

## 1.8 PROGRAM SUMMARY

### 1.3.1. End Product Description

The total radioactive inventory remaining in the decommissioned BONUS plant is 54,284 curies (C). Of this 13.8 millicuries (mC) are contained in the form of scale, in piping and components external to the entombment system,

the remainder is contained within the entombment system. The inventory, as a

function of time, is as follows:

## Entombment System

Date Total C Major Nuclides, %

Initial (Aug. 1968) 4,288 Fe(63), Co(29), Co'(4), Mn\*(2),  
Ni8Q)

50 yr (year 2018) 600 (96), Co(4)

140 yr (year 2108) 296 Ni\*(100), Co? (<1)

---Page Break---

## BONUS NUCLEAR POWER FACILITY

Decommissioned 1970

?Entombed in this structure are radioactive materials which could be hazardous if exposed, Entry is prohibited without specific authorization from appropriate officials of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, If the structure is breached, vacate the premises promptly and notify the Public Health De-

partment of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico immediately.

A capsule containing drawings and technical data relative to this facility is buried in the structure. Its location and a description of its contents may be found in the records of the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority, Main Office, at San Juan, Puerto Rico.

### 3 Main Floor Reading Level

A plaque containing the following text, in English and Spanish, will be set in the concreté surface at the main floor reading level. .

#### BONUS NUCLEAR POWER FACILITY

CONSTRUCTED AND OPERATED JOINTLY BY THE PUERTO RICO WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY AND THE U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION TO DEMONSTRATE THE TECHNOLOGY OF BOILING WATER-NUCLEAR SUPERHEAT. IT ACCOMPLISHED ITS OBJECTIVE AND WAS DECOMMISSIONED IN 1970.

Entombed in this structure are radioactive materials which could be hazardous if exposed, Entry is prohibited without specific authorization from appropriate officials of the Com-

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. If the structure is breached, vacate the premises promptly and notify the Public Health Department of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico immediately.

A capsule containing drawings and technical data relative to this facility is buried in the structure, Its location and a description of its contents may be found in the records of the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority, Main Office, at San Juan, Puerto Rico,

---Page Break---

hg Etec'ric- que se eapers sea escenario de Una mi

moniente. (ote UPI)

generaria pugna

SN LUIS ORIEPO, Cat

. en eta ce

hen onde

"ye race hae me

?at de a he

Re ne

wi) be Samronaen

Serge tke

Sn hyo wt bs operae-

Beco plona de tora

orn pina ow ee

peers ae

ei he Tome Se

nyse

et Sous and ?ec

sien tabi pat

Boer!

Rabie

?tna

?essed

ante wy me, aetidalo de  
tana Coerpon, rd). que  
tee Uabupdoes pasa  
Sagi

0 cur

le cnivars soa  
vse ons eden  
de pertaom de plans,  
Peder os clas gt  
?anya ep Ga  
?Seat alm reactor

1 stoness ican y  
?ete de Galo tin ee  
fio f Sueno Se mie 9  
?let de pms  
?a paral de areas

stn tien cor sor  
Sutdless pas bene  
Say to ae mares Ce  
?A de ts Pisa on  
eer tems mpusrade

form more fe wee

foe. Tewe voy cara

atin ea

?cima Ouro

oun ce Dera novia

Uubjeseoe np ool eden

ewan Pat

iva demostracion antinuclear ea cualquier

Meas 7Y PEL OET

Apertura de una planta nuclear



en California

?te tae gue rmsd

un concn ttlad "Pe

1 riente rues a

colada ol cued ena a

amb que posra oder

cm eo Nectar

(f" poternadce Edmund ©

iron gun pone ae

fm posi police come

&

movies sina?

---Page Break---

Seotember 9, 965

spinturt jl-ecter,

After May 27, we have completed preliminary:

general geology and hydrology of the three sites

Prone sets Aino Ys to Daeete tiiien Water Resentee fh

we scatter cement: ReRM tin

ash of the sites resist to team +

conclusion on the top of the sections with mentors of the Gontog!e2

survey was also conducted, field geology studies in Muerte Tso,

In general, the area:

geology and hydrology for each of the

clear information to determine the suitability of the

for nuclear power reactor, As an example, the regional geology=

dons though accurate provide only background data which must be  
advanced by information on the occurrence, distribution, or use of  
not vetted stratigraphic formations. Some of this information  
has been published geologically such as the Geological Survey

of the Tortiguers cle news and are published in the, when the  
the @toteibut fam oP thotta within the Tatnmls

Puerto Rico is in an active tectonic zone, has been subjected to earth

quakes of magnitude

1.5, and contains many west to north-west-trending

strike-slip faults. Without detailed field investigations it is

difficult to determine whether the many faults which are shown on the

map were displaced Geopcsits considered to be Pleistocene or Recent

(less than 500,000 years old). With Puerto Rico in an active

tectonic zone, it is recommended that considerably more detailed geologic

introduction Fe outlined by the applicant before any decision is made"

on the suitability of the three proposed sites, with this, &

Field study may be necessary,

(Tortuguero) on the north east of Puerto Rico lies just north

of Through the area and possibly

5 the very young deposits (Recent), The proposed site location

uncertain. Very thick Limestone Leds that characteristically contain

---Page Break---

and other collection of

? 5 of Haber tontgmey pee fan,  
a er eo ot derth are neesenta? sr  
Seite for the seas! adents)

03 td a0, therefore,

Geologieel ?vrver perenne: +

ge fexieu in thie area, dees,

aaults are shown tc Le preser! within

ene northwest Inte the clte aves that

Sow effete of Hefaiaccre co

: wm enaedte, fn the tnetnpeee

BOWS OA Ty erie cot T denn swe weand tis ne seta die

fe ete eshogeie: Inve Gam Fon

an area jist east of a pone ceveral

Lied ané Tatea. Ayperenti: the floed

wre clfoet by vom oP these Paulie.

ws worcetvie 2p Td be es young ae Facent (0,00) yoare

Cups Mere are us fautte Shown on the map of this aren,

als gravel and sensenr he

wed

Boop couemare cf Tike C de eignificantly aere complex  
sion chet Gt! Sites A and By Again, the detalies  
. area er ?md aheiiid be thnesreh  
\* edt. cegpleme + Invertignilone stone  
mooring Hele cite Tethers Tie best, lapegenphy hirer ie

seaely Te precede tong Has owt rent  
nel Tameuptlis developed heres

shew

In eomary,

following eaments are pertinent in considering

sie oor nusleer hover neactorst

Diets Noo te Ina ceienteally active areas the totand

Pax een unl dt'ted several times since OLigosene tine, seme

vie0%,200 wens ogo, and in sone areas--esierially the

Solthutet parteaGenetts may have been Gispineed in the



pout TO. gente by Pulte that agenmpanted enethqvukes,

Akorst Lomgraohy eee Ue north and south cents ot ta

Aelund viLL yrecent seme untque exploration and construction  
protlone,

Te hyderlegy of the three sites probably doce not uresent

---Page Break---

Seen of the geology of the three erased  
soperent chit ite | ig dn ne of tae ey eneden  
ycate of defnmarion end, therefore, rrc!atly  
?he seeor guitalle cite cf the tniwe reopeoed,

ended cent provide detailed grotogte

Perris of each i tata as location ane age

Cf faite sad the genic of karst tapogranty

er totramat ton ie wequinad. we ould be plensed te diseuce

ler femetes wilh ye

Sincerely yours,

fs

BR, Mtnarsh

AseLetane Chief Geclegict

for Fagineering Serdcey

---Page Break---

seth 38 which are not expected to be a problem, save the case sites AU

TE which tests the connection the plan ate at twenty-five slice

& return of the at ent air ai standards, Nissan capacity 538

won. the Plants have combustion products. To carefully now site

Be vbr Jownitored and see ntl radioactive gas emissions from Soverals

? seal ?he miles tescors are considerably below biological hewn

so sinately nage thresholds so that no effects are expected from en

for their tinuous operation see

? 3. Large slips of fast Rave to be made frequently see

sith some sage has ie contrast with the infrequent andy vena

' ?" sssie compact shipments case fe en

i 44, architectural aesthetics, including the presence of high eee

\* Stick, few the sees plant

ms 5, Suine have a fossil plant are significantly higher than After ps

ceisbe semen equine 9 silly sized nuclear plant benaslaapeoail

ere Sian sea Proposed sey

Ad to these points the fact that nuclear power brings one Rico Planning

ove Rind vfa portable power to enforce the reliability of petincat inf

lotucity oa the Mand ot Puetto Riso. Since she Island is solely

Alepanident on is ewn resources, and in the event of an ink The Pus

Dat was later «

national criss, the rlisilty of s power plant operating on &|

Ye eee future oud «

tong duration? fuel ihe eucleat fue. is immessurable

necessary for

TABLE x9 limited acces

FINANCIAL SUMMARY For the \*

1.600 We Nui Part Entinsted Cone \$175. ition done, and the  
. ne 2 00 ee Fort Punt Estimates Com "300, milton extensive stud

5. et Copal Cont ele eso fred) 585 miion Eunoz

4 Aniozt Net Ces SovigsNucet tos it aed \$18.6 milion

: a 5: leo Prjct 8975 orcugh F013) ?a yer wien consid

6 Prescott Vatu of Anal Cath Soins sacioeconom

?a ses \$2200 milion eee

B08 18% 0 mitten 7

we shart Car, \$1520 mon the study iss

enn Dts \$1230 milion Valley. Prati

Cec Peviack Byes that gasous

soon pnt Yabucoa and

? roe Ty conctesion. nuclear plants wil yield their optimum tacos an

economic beets in Puerto Rico when operated as baseload units, configuration

ent a clos to fill power capweity. Since seasonal snd daily variations in bE problem

owas powor des nd must be met, fositifired plants rst be used 10 development

?eeu Foe SI ati higher forthe

more economically. A balanced net

and yuck plants is then the ideal combination? The Ter!

should power at the least cost to the consumers since the Com

work between for

role to provide the »

power compl

figures G. SELECTION OF PLANT SITE

the Net on the Basis of Cost

Process low sheet is the

the mid

S teegen selection of the pnt ity In retrospect this was done

---Page Break---

Jats Naciest

fe Th corstilly

tive gas tMents from

?bly below Niosie

expected from



de freyscntly

sa Me infiagMont ond

e of high

iftcntly higher than

S175 iin

20 euhon

S88 inion

S186 milion

33 yas

£22000 mitton

5181 D minor

5.0 mala

51230 ron

Fo yield thsir optinain

feo operated as bins load wits,

?rsa and daily eaiations in

ust be vse to

Peta

cally. A alana net

?rts thom the feat combination

Prococtlow shot ithe

jas dose i the mad

that time (siting possible sites were not felt to be

seem far the addition of major power plant

18. Connecticut. The Commission Was Made to Select

Several studies were conducted on possible sites that would

be suitable only for fossil fuel nuclear power plants as well

Groups of engineers and agronomists visit each of these sites and

descriptions of physical

geography, demography, meteorology,

water and the financial and economic status

of each, together with the physical characteristics of the

area

Additional information

were held with with Conse

inent factors including peclgy

ation way obtained, several meetings

lth agencies. Fach of the

assed with members of the Puerto

Rive Passing Booed und Federal

proposed Ges wa fusther dl

studies in order to obtain

pertinent information regarding the stability for @ nuclear plant

at Ponta Higuera Site was one of the first to be considered,

but was discarded mainly because of its remoteness from

future food centers; its lack of adequate harbor facilities, which are

necessary for the delivery of fuel and auxiliary equipment; and the

limited accessibility of the site

For

done, and the Economic Development Administration made an

led "Yabucoa Report Base Line Studies for

Environmental Conservation in Industrial Area Development?

Which considered the impact of industries on the ecology and

livelihood of the Yabucoa Valley. The study makes an inventory

of resources and an appraisal of environmental changes. Specifically

the study is very much concerned about air pollution in the Yabu

Valley. Preliminary atmospheric studies established the possibility

that gaseous emissions from these Fined units would be blown over  
Yatuvua and nearby areas causing a smog problem. Terrain  
configuration and prevailing winds seem to indicate that this could  
be a problem area. In addition, the PRWRA concluded that  
the incremental cost for the first two units would be some \$5,010,060  
higher for the Yubucow site than the Aguirre Site,

The  
since the Commonwealth was specially interested in establishing a new  
power complex in a socioeconomically more depressed area

Yabusou site a physical and economic analysis was

centensive shy 6

site was not considered a prime contender

---Page Break---

SITE ALTERNATES

?en ty

Oc majo city tes Aecivo, 17

eo popu tion Gassica 14869 areconwis 20,792

Gwhia Ormtes!?Yauen? 36.403 Mina 30'559

Guyente 8122 Ve Al 72810

° 15273 Vepe Bui0 28.377

rn sows sseon wri? want

Ono to mae Mighoay Antes 2 mics

Diterietanerest por TNA mwiss auld on ste

Gevsrl te apny unaitssse ??anable

Sours of easing water eceon cen

Seurcsof other wate nents dup welt dep it

Distance t tonamiacon ine rext tote 118K, 2 miles

MS ke, 10mies

West dinipation sytem once through once through

Steater siteare

Pox problems erdeterninad ? Tortupiera Lagoa



Unique advance Industrial rage Major led eter

with port factty San Jonata.

Bowie

In the Gi plans were already in effect for power

sit.) Tor tat area, and (fom 3 poser saturation standpoint. was

foi sossidered to be a prime candilate One advantaye of this site

fs that stappeaty to be one of the best areas seismically on the Isard.

After considering the proposed sites, the PRWRA selected  
Agustre as the best site, which would be suitable for siting  
nuclear power plants. There was no outstanding disadvantage  
to the site, and the Puerto Rico Planning Board was encouraging  
industrial development away from the San Juan metropolitan area  
to the southern part of the island to an area such as the  
Gurgana subregion,

The benefits on which the present decision was based to  
locate the Power Plant Complex at the Agustre

Site were

fea 2060

Fires 9098

2a

Me

erat

118, mies

ce tough

Adige cur

tence cepts

Hore a

Moots

avs :

ty .

M5ke 2m

wey

cece tough ae

Nonsetle ome

2banity ot

patting

mean pure

Adewstecorents VC

Me eonsot

we ee

This the best site for ths

and nuclear units

2. The existing plant ste onset

under development f0F

svinimtum impact on the

effects as compered mith

few site elsewhere

3 The

ea is presently det

f rial great

for future indus ?

4. The atsa sparsely PO

sictropolitun area

5. There isa protest

we

ats

oo

snare

---Page Break---

Gera.

co tR17 Geryoma 36 269

ayes Snes 2180

Yapseae 30.863 .

vista amd

se sep 288

lrees wim

esa eyes

NSky, 2s Ka, es

230%, Bue

?Sitwater Sites

eomieehte ?\_margtove

terth tobe mead ocsnystems

for exeatracton

2eabicy of

potting vty,

Pant paced

Aung cur Adequat oneente VClied a6

iar oe apart wuld

hehe

robiens



1. This the best site for the onstruction of a power  
per somples consisting of a vombination of fossil  
anal nuclear units

?The evistng plant site. owned by the PRIPRA. is  
under devlopment for fossil units and 50 there wou

uly

be

?eininin impact on the ensiroament (eon construction  
effects ay compared with the clearing and grading of &  
new site elewhere

3, The area ws presently depressed and hast good potential  
tor future industrial rowth

4. The area sparsely populated and well removed from any  
metropolitan area

5. There is a protected harbor really accessible by land and

front boat #

Miscellaneous

air and wattage

3. The distance from the

Pass

Inview oF the mans

H ALTERNALINE UCU A

1. Transmision

The sl

Fired units, suboeation state

ed eviting ate Ye

power plants, For conor t+

was designe insu away that 9

?operation, no additional 9s

?That is towers and  
planning. not only is there 9  
ab impact wheel +

water the pe

wires, place new towers  
cooling system has the Base

two the existing system

2. Heat Dissipation :

Of all the alternate 19°

selection of the best alters

water from the power RAS \*\*!

the benefit of analyst Bev?

bn

alternative that wet

aa Sours tag Drstarse

ae ?

oul

Aisipation was 19h

Bay next to the pov

study, the Nuclear Comet M8

Jobos Ray in naling HE

by the some

was soon apparent that BS

ecological values 9 te Bo

act

rae the sem t SME

Nucfeae Center 0016

---Page Break---

Aneje 20

---Page Break---

copy lea fs

v6 eel 29 ¢

Rep to ates &

Bi

ne: Ciey'y

---Page Break---

Fe any Lttey jo ne

wos Wleai dy Sayeatine ay cones

rattries: Gut

vied Bes y otven,

coe yea 2a fonts tes Mantes Tria

deo webtobes ponmetanes de enna eked dea srpamsidss ee



at y ce) 58 lon Seserde> ea otter nfercas para el cio do

Dade te hers ef, tenurlo meade etfetendts parm ges da ten

9 6

bafta sient y la Ma, 10 ø9 Ofainata alt

Loos pats nee meereatiies de as phign

ewan

jiqae una Deelensst£a ?o Dyaeto fet

IE wsiragTatt ies emrea eontilleede y een cesse de seefho ec: copia

wo eg ekouste al Lie, WMis Kind tofny Bhrocter Bjeoue

te es Miuviates, Apartide W257, Sano

scyeteding M Paméngae, piviaita tag:

?4 Astiemtd; Tags Lerenso Hy Tale

scorn Ae) data do Cab

de Airs, Junta de C3

tang Bacio C6

ty Sosa Reerte Rioos

Aewto Moos a 90 do adie es aT

---Page Break---

& de marzo dy 1907

Bote rine

2Aihey

Hoa, Rama Cerela Santiago 9 9 Lot

Presidente Junta de Planiticocion

frated 27

Sonteres, Puerto Sle 00713 i

Central TermonucleSr Tortuiscre

Caries de: 17 ce septiembre do 1763,

14 de junio ee 1959

I de junio co 1205,

7 60 worzo de 1953

FI de cchiore de 1705

edo Nonche:

Esta Autoridad sometió a la Junta de Planificación con fecha 1?

el 21 de septiembre de 1953 una consulta de ubicación para el Centro Termonuclear

en el sitio de la Laguna Tortuguero en la zona de Nonatón. En nuestra

comunicación del 14 de junio de 1955 dimos más información a la Junta sobre

el proyecto de Fortuguro. En el informe sobre la necesidad de un

del 21 de junio de

9 estudios de

terreno. Con fecha del 7 de marzo de 1955 sometimos a la Junta

los planos de zonificación de los terrenos concernientes y solicitamos aprobación de los

planes para el desarrollo de estos terrenos. La respuesta comunicada el 11 de

agosto de 1958 volvimos a notificar a la Junta que la Autoridad continúa con-

templando desarrollar los terrenos de Tortuguero para el establecimiento de una

central termonuclear.

EL 21 de noviembre de 1966 se celebró una vista pública en el  
do Monat en relación a la instalación de este proyecto. Las Autoridades  
escribieron y expusieron el proyecto, contesté preguntas, y recibí comentarios sobre la seguridad  
de este tipo de instalación. En dicha vista pública no hicieron «.2e3t~  
?lin, pero el Alcalde, Hon. Joaquín Kosa, indicó que se dicta 12 evortunie 2

Go una segunda vista pública. Esta segunda vista pública se hizo por el 27 de  
Febrero de 1957 @ los 7:30 P.M. En dicha vista pública un grupo encabezado por  
proyecto presuntamente @ un tal Adolph J. Ackerman se reunieron, se comunicaron con  
Cconsultores en estos asuntos nucleares, donde que es común de todos los inciviles  
?duce que 40 oponentes al establecimiento de las centrales nucleares arrojan acerca

---Page Break---

tolxo la sewurided Cu ete the ce instolactones, desearcs tesar @ la otvnci3n  
de esta Hesornhle Junts iniomoeiin portincnie @ ests os20cto.

EL 24 do junio do 1765 et Sr. Ackerman preson's testimonto onte  
el Comit3 Comzresional Conjunto de Lnerofo Atsmica oponiiacose a la exten  
sien cul ?VricomAndcrson ngseanee tadomnity Act por un perfado de diez  
Zayuato 48 ineluye pore conscimiuats de era Henorcile Junto el te  
jo del 'r. Ackesaien enle cf Comit3 Conctesimal. Icualnente se Incluye  
ceria del ?Advitory Coromittes on Seector Seicyuards (AC2)" quienes realiza~  
igaci3n detallaca da los puntos ve vista del Sr. Ackermen. Se  
?ccercspondencia de Wr. Robect E. Giana ce la Rochester

Como redeé desprenderse de esto correspondencia, podem rotor eve  
el :r. Ackerman no tien ecaccinientos ni experiencia aljuna tabre glentas ter=  
moeldetrice: y mons solee plantas nucleorss tiendo complciarente un lop en  
esta motcrie. Selo loventa uno serie de gensraticaden. En la pégina le de  
los minutos det Concrero que 40 incluyen, oxrén obtverar lar cenclusioncs a que  
Moya el "Advisory Cemaittee of Reactor Saicsuords" que so resumen: (1)  
to furdemento para sstenar ninguna de los elezociones del Se. Acixemian. (2) Se  
opunta la gran ignorcacia del Se. fckerman.

El tipo de pienta que lo Avtoriésd plone inctoler en el éroa de Tor=  
tuguero es un tizo col cual su xcguridad esti completamente probada, que ecanpren=  
den uno do dos tijcs: esntrol con reactor de azua hirvients @ central con reactor  
do agua © presién. Esto lo demuestra el gran aGmero de Grdenes por centrales nu=  
cleores que se han puesto en Etodos Unidos Cliimamente las voles han revorade  
todo tipo de previcciones hosta Hear al punto de que la casacidad totsl on mon  
?gavotion en plantas nucleores ordenaia durante el aio 1765 excedié o la caracivad  
?ordenada en plantos convenctonales.



Esta Autoridad está dispuesta a ilustrar a esta Honorable Junta de la definición y los aspectos técnicos científicos de la seguridad involucrada en este tipo de centrales. Ge erererlo le Junta conveniente.

Todos los proyectos para la construcción de una central de energía nuclear tienen que ser aprobados por la Comisión de Licenciamiento de Reactores de la Comisión de Energía Atómica. En consulta directa con el Comité Consultor sobre Seguridad de Reactores (Advisory Committee on Reactor Safety) (ACRS) se emite un juicio sobre el diseño de la instalación y celebran vistas públicas donde los ciudadanos pueden expresar sus puntos de vista en cuanto al aspecto de seguridad involucrado. Luego se emite un informe «estudio y análisis» por parte de la Comisión, ésta

recomienda al reactor el proyecto si cumple con los requisitos de seguridad establecidos por la Comisión.

---Page Break---

a

Lurcets el pmcese de ta constuscl6n la Livisi6n de Conationce\* do to  
Comision de tronfa At6atca exariva y asa juicio sobre la constuceida y las  
vevabus @ que hoitd G2 seructorte todo el egvie. Ca detertinorse cue todo se  
ia comtiuve y prokecs ce ceverde a les normas establecidas, la Comisicn septa  
como vena lo fase de con.teueci6n y pruchas.

Luege lo Divisi6n

Exámenes de Reactores de la Comisión procede a exo=

incor toxicos los evaporadores

leyendo ingenieros y Kenlees operadores que bobrán

?& haverse e-r,0 de la opticeiea es te central. | ists exámenes por lo genre du=

an ?t Casividiéndose en ua efe el examen escrito y of uta ef exanien oral.

4 tos concientes que ayruona el exnen s@ les otorya una licencia de cp

Tan punto la orgsnización corresponuicnte tenca los operadores lieenetadce soque~

Hidor 7 Cenvovtre estar preperada para operar la cartrol, fa Comisión le otorye la

Ucoucia de epcruicieaa le ergonización, on este coro @ la Autoridad.

Duronts to overocicn de fa planta te Divisién de "Compliones" continua

cluditania, @ exis de visitas perieies, ta opracién de la planta pore

se de quo Gsta se esti ozerando de acsen?o a los

cle

Esto nos permite operar siempre con personal idóneo y debidamente entrenado y licenciado, cosa que no podemos hacer con las centrales convencionales debido a que existen muchos tipos de los convenios colectivos existentes bajo los cuales operamos las centrales convencionales.

Sobre el aspecto de desarrollo del área, debemos enfatizar que el establecimiento de esta central termo nuclear no interfiere con el desarrollo técnico del Grae sostenido por el SUTe oncsitor, y más aún preferimos este tipo de somallas debido a la baja cantidad potencial. Esta Autoridad está en disposición de aclarar o evaluar otra vez o someter cualquier información adicional que sea

to

Ono

Tratado V. Urrutia

Director Ejecutivo

Condistnente,

ect Central File

ReodincFile

Drs Modesto triorte =~

---Page Break---

SC2YELA USICACION DEUNA CENTRAL

TEMMONICLEAR EM 31 A210

245 NUSVAS SALT 173 DE AVRMAAT

!sarars a dia 27 2 ferato do 1057, @

las 700. #8. an ol So'dn de Acar de la Urboniaee

lin Piblica Eniqve Zonta.

RAMON GARCIA SANTIAGO

Presidents

HARRY MALDOHADO

Secreto

---Page Break---

8 de mer

» de 17

Re: Central Termonuclear Tortuguero.

Corts de: 17 de weatembre de 1763

14 de junio de 1965

A de junio de 1765,

7 de marzo da 1958

I de cenive de 1966

fo Noche:

Exe Autoridad sematié @ fo Juata de Flonificaciéa cen fecha 19  
de ssztiente de 1963 une consulta de ubicociéa fora la Centre! Terncavcleor  
c! onte d2 fe Levune Tortuguero en le munictpolided de Nonatl. En nuestra  
inicocifn de [4 de [unto de 1965 dime más Información o lo Junto scbre  
el proyecto terroruelece ?2 Tortuguero @ Irulstines sobre lo necesddod de utili  
?estos terremos pero este: propiitos, En lo ecmunleccisa de 21 de junio de  
1925 tcrenitines © e12 Henorcble Junta Infoemeciéa relotiva @ estudior del wb-  
sco. Con fecha del 7 ¢2 morzo de 1945 sometimes @ e1a Honcroble Junta  
los plenos de mencura de lex Aincar conecrnides y solicitanos oprobocién de to  
Junts para la ecquisictén de estos terrencs. En nuestra conunlcoeién del II de  
?cct-bre de 1965 volvimet @ notificar @ le Junta que lo Autoridad continua con  
Mc rando terfonente lor terranot de Tortuguero pore ef extcbleciniento de uno  
centol ternonveleor.

El 21 de noviembre de 1966 se celebró un vistado público en el Municipio de Nonotz en la presentación de este proyecto. La Autoridad describió y expuso al proyecto, contestó preguntas, y dio énfasis sobre lo seguro de este tipo de contaminación. En dicho vistado público no hubo oposición, pero el Alcalde, Hon. Joaquín Roxa, pidió que se diera oportunidad

de un segundo vistado público. Este segundo vistado público se hizo por el 27 de febrero de 1967 a las 7:00 de la mañana. En dicho vistado público un grupo opositor al proyecto presentó un tal Adolfo J. Ackerman de Mochis, Sinaloa como un sombrero en estos asuntos nucleares. Cae que es el representante de los Irvidios que se ocupan del establecimiento de las centrales nucleares en el



---Page Break---

vine de! Ge, Acuerren. Se

E, Ginng ce to Recvester

(No exis

eran. (2) Se

EL tgo de planta que le Autor'ce: piensa

EN cual ww seguro e896 corplerorent

Yer en el éreo do Ter

probevey que eccaprene

hleviests @ central ex recetor

Ext to dervests al gros acoars ?2 fedenes coe centrates ne=

ss ea Ecos lente tor cuales hen rere

toe jo ee ae. '2eianee he: da que lo eajccided tele! a ma~

govties en planks meeleeoe el ato 1755 excedts 0 l> e=ecl:

onda Za en ple

Exto Avtrided ext6 dissuoets @ Husher © eto Honrable Juste da Ha=

nifizestfa yo

ssiles de fo xs guricad eaves en este

ing ee

Toc Het praycetss pora la conshuecitr de une central do ensesfa nu  
clecr tienen que sor eprcbedss per ls Civisin de 20 0 Roactcrer de  
le Cenisién de Enerats Annies quien:s en consulta eizects ea el Coni:é Consul  
tor sobre Seguridad Ge Recetores (Advisory Committe on Reactor Sefe.verss) (ACES)  
poten juletu sabre of disete de lo lrewtecin y celetzan vistos 90  
Eludecones pueden expeser ws punts ?e wists en evante af  
enwall's, Lusso de un eulcadim erhu'o y onélisis per per  
jemda @ reciazo el proyech HI este no se  
+ por te Conisn.

de fo Couisn, dste

jutta los requisitos de segueléod

---Page Break---

weet Revers, de te proceds © exc!

+ safest « ereores que heb

a ale

nol ienes y debidenuaty

no sedonca?herse con fea cenvcter convanetovales

allo do° Gray descrire enfati

cuelece 90

Pal grape oe

fe deste pette:

© que el ectotlen

Fore can et deverrallo Wi

ole

Hnorable Jue

erunto.

A area ccavenisa?e scieltor con respecto @ este

Rofeet Ve Urata

Director Ejecutivo

ReceingFile

Dr. Medesto Iriorte =~

---Page Break---

ifs pleuris dol aides

---Page Break---

mare

an transportactfs de trial eameicari: pron Tee sinter ats fe ae

Aintcrs terrencs Que oCeceem An Fetish in estos 52% aea9 rors tue tostelae

elonea persanentie 0-9 ea Compe

---Page Break---



BODDHer a. ty petenrs en Se Oye) Seats Se Bante BY de aM

bless gue 65 Rovio Bern tSenan fagocnele o9 Af

ven J. Suse oben

porte de des corny etutiotes pura ceur eyectey

fevedton posteits que NeNfia Gerona on sntene eapselad or

Ps cetse audtenstne pinasene

(ger exerite, semsnas o aerate que Alan porte Litcresada do-usrs meer on

seite ecte perleda le rte pooee "hw eeme

conte y Nenando om coneSdersetin toto lo entertemseste

enta Janka Ae Paoitieneita

lerte ico RICCITEDA PARADLESTE Ye ehseae

lin ce

1 plano eaguste que forva porte doers ensuite, la futorsdnd dc tex Peenben

Mivristes doterk coplir con lee elovintes roquerinient

2 Rewnir s respencobihita por 3a relccabiauctég de Las Copsterae

65 9 G86 en ee rena que etna ater

abtactinaeterh tesa ienfess nS eenente Je Corse Retcas,

provio « 26 constfceién de a4 rigs A)

2, Para tn erates et ea) civecinf depte ek mar ete Lesion

farmers ter wt ie eile een y ote

a) .

tora eect pn per See enn et eu Ge

tn vervte Noten, Dstt a ten eee ee

?ertos y con el Seretarso do} Ritrette Ge Loe Estes

---Page Break---

RCS e ti y te Dn ees conte eb ets

roclenl eZ ate y scant roves acon rogers por eane esercies

rite

1. Atel ta ettpert

ogoded eb entses et Testtscte ve Most Baran es thoes

1 Pe

coy es le qe encieree m Te tempersture Ge! ss

st ce elinfet oe te Centeal denvemelese Mela #1 sie, 06 2

01 que dens eft

rene wa Lerperstare qoe no acu dct

ental eseete 2a

sie Seb atored.

Deter eur lis cov tetas Joe resuerintendentor Que hn da Bledeils



Lents e reasteres de 14 Contin de Raergte

oe (lovisors Carittee on Reactor Cafecuela)y pave ealvecies t=

1 elutodanta y de Le vide anivat y

vrcrtal de tale roe

Dispenitnton, Hanis, que este recenerdeeiin fevorable no Seplics 26

preteeit 0c Le dgoiatesCa de os terrcnos, ni ded proyecto de constivceitn,

ow cuties ceri cvaterse en au opurtuniad 4 Le eeucderaci3n de esta Junta

fe Pranistcsesin, Ze aeuerdo cen tas Asposicicnes de

wpe, rechmentes y

rnormas de Fuenificnctin vicentes,

---Page Break---

SOUS

®

rexestry for the covstre thon

APPLICATIONS ANDi

Toru and Communssalth of Puerto Riso ce

s

6

?

8

OWALS

Tis oF a. thor

The fois ing i

Agency

sning Board

Pustte Rico Mannie

Puce: Rice Mating B

ving Board

Puctto Rico Manning Board

Pucrto Rice honing Board

Pucrto Rico Planning Roard

Puerto Riko Planning Board

ind opsraiton of 136

Apotieation

ete) 35-P

STG

ef hind andr

«tthition

of way for

op

ion fur Asa

1 eaves Wads for

ouelean pant i Aguire,

Estonian

26-P - Second Fstcasion

ny extension for Jun 17

07-1 225-7 = Third Extension

Aut

location of access roads and  
transmission lines

ration for

70-023-P

Authorization for land moving

locations and access roads

suction of

east for sor

feowsibfired pa

22-7 - First Paterson

Authorization or construction

of two fossil units, substations

warehouses, fuel storage tanks.

Drtinort, teassission

aecesry

10-623-P - Second Extension

Authotitation for acquisitions

lof lans necessary for the  
construction of the cooling  
wate

10-623-P - Third Faremsion

Authorization for const  
fof nuctear plant, substations

charge canal

the

Upien. New shore ate

su

Approved with no

con May 24. 1907

Approves! ith Public

Works wceptance

cantition in rnceting on

fan. 17.1968

Approved ith no

?onditions iu meeting

of Sept 12, 1969.

Approved with 90

?conditions i mes

?on Now. 28, 1970.



ing

Approved with no  
?corstitutions in meeting  
of Jun. 9, 1970,

Approved subject t0  
authorizations of the  
Puerto Rico Environs  
rental Quality Board  
(FB) sad the U.S.

Any Corps of Prginvets  
in meeting of April 29, 1971

Approved subject 10  
autrization of FOR in  
meting Dokl on Sept. 3,  
1971

Approved subject 10

aullirization fen the

U.S, Atomic Enetey

---Page Break---

June 25, 1973

From

The preliminary study for intend nuclear plant siting along the coast of  
ro Rico ?ine been practically fiatched, The etudy includes all the coaat

of Puerto Rico excert the area included between Dorado city east to Lae  
Csbezias in Fajardo and the area betwwen Aguirre and Ponce. These two  
areas were excluded because in the first area tourism and population concen-  
trations prohibit the location of power plante and the second area wae excluded  
because enough information '# available from previous studies.

From the preliminary information developed the north central coast of Puerto Rico (Arecibo to just south of Tortuguero) has the highest potential for hydrocarbon accumulation. Other areas such as Cobo Mato, Ponce, Punta Venada, Meridional de Ciego de Azúcar, Rincón, Aguadilla up to Teabala have been found to be with offshore sedimentary layers severely tilted, folded and faulted. Although the preliminary study does not rule out completely such areas, it is expected that they will face more difficult problems in the licensing process,

?The area east of Leabela and especially east of Arecibo shows markedly miles and miles of sedimentary Miocene rock (20 million years old) undisturbed. There are however some minor faults buried at an estimated depth of 2500 below the sea floor and overlain by undisturbed Miocene rocks.

One of the faults has been found from the offshore seismic data to have an east-west strike with southern inclination a few miles east of Punta Chivato, i.e. the fault enters the shelf east of Punta Chivato. However since apparently the fault is deeply buried (indicating it is an old fault) the geologists have not been able

---Page Break---

Eng. Jotio Ne

dove 28, 1973

to find any trace or geological feature indicating the presence of

inland. The fault just happens in the part

Tortuguero site about 1

s salt

rest to the west at the FRWEA

ee).

recommendation of the Geologists and

tat lovet two of these rites should be  
wed 10 drop at this time the Punta  
? (il is the closest one to the minor

AL Gestogical

Make ground trenches east of Penta Chivato where the fault  
tere land to determine ifit\*present and any characteristics,

Haak pick samples in th  
Sec ouite respected to be  
oxet,

Areas where quaternary eolian  
tw-cen 100, 090 to 1, 000, 000 yeare

Thее oi  
ay Red

ting will be performed by various techniques sneluding :  
etrie 5) Patearsagnetists ϕ) Amino-acid.

3. Make drill hotes in the arca to determine etatigraphy and  
foundation mechanics,

At Tortuguero probably one or two holes  
previous drill holes made in the area.

will be required.

will be enough to calibrate

At Tslote a new series of drill holes

---Page Break---

Pag. Jeo Negront

June #5, 1973

3. Seismological

Perform detailed and close high resolution seismic profiles offshore  
in front of the two sites and at critical stations such as east of Punta Chivaio  
here the supposed fault strike is toward, the will try to prove without doubt  
of undisturbed Miocene rocks above offshore faults for



fault ee. Pe fcltsle lines inland required

ts determined irom proviova Weston data at Tortugzero.

The preliminary work already performed will be wrapped up in a comprehensive report which will be ready by end of August, After the Authority

i ©. The A.E.C. might

celves it, it should be submitted fers ally to the A.

the top of three months in processing and giving us their opinion.

It is my recommendation

continue to do

that we should not wait for an ALE.C. ruling

Ned Ruddle previously described. At least six months or

more will be experienced. Cost to the Authority in interest during

litigation for the court in competence with the

total cost is in the range of many many millions of dollars.

convention" session, a4

there is a good reasonable probability that Tortuguero as well as Isote

of Patwan Alas site can be cleared within 18.21 months if we act promptly

and correctly.

I therefore request your approval to continue the geo- seismic studies

for Tortuguero and the Islote area and simultaneously start the preparation of  
a PEAR and EIS for Tslote (with Tortugvero as an alternate site) with a target

Date of March 31, 1974 for filing with the A, E.C. such TSAR,

1p. COUNCIL 0? APPROVED:

COG GEE

WY SEY PENS

FOIS, CHEW JULIO NEGROAT

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

GENERAL CONSIDERATION  
AND. PRE LIMINARY INPUTS  
TO AGRO-INDUSTRIAL  
NUCLEAR ENERGY CENTER  
1968-69

---Page Break---

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Committee for the Energy Center Study was

appointed by the Directors of the participating Commonwealth Agencies and Federal Government Divisions on September 18, 1968. Its functions are to coordinate all the activities related to this study and provide, through its Manager, an effective assistance to the organization or firm contracted to carry on the work.

The effort and dedication of the members of the Committee made possible the recopilation of the data and information included in this brochure, entitled "General

Consideration and Preliminary Inputs to the Agro-Industrial

Nuclear Energy Cente:

PRWRA - January 22, 1969

---Page Break---

## FOR THE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

### 1 RICO

#### A. Introduction

During the last quarter of a ce:

Since Puerto Rico has experienced

a rapid and impressive development. The gross product of Puerto Rican

domoniy surpassed 3.3 billion dollars in 1966-67, more than eleven times

the 1940 figures, Similarly, per capita income was \$1,037, compared to \$121 in 1940. In the fields of education, public health, transportation and public utilities, unprecedented progress has been made. However,

this same progress have introduced many complex problems, such as

pollution, traffic congestion and shortages in the public facility services

To solve these and other problems it is the policy of the Government

of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to encourage development in certain

growth poles, promising centers, like Ponce and Mayaguez. (See Map

93 in appendix on growth centers.) The effectuation of this policy is

necessary, among other reasons, in order to create in them favorable

environments for the development of Industry and also for the develop

ment of attractive residential environments for the professional, man

agerial and technical achievers of the growth pursued. This will also

reduce the flow of persons to Metropolitan San Juan while reducing also

the regional disparities in employm:

opportunities and income levels

Plans have been already implemented to promote growth in

other areas, as for example in the Southwest, in order to counter-

balance the tendency of the incomes in the Southern part of Puerto Rico

to rise very slowly in relation of the incomes in the Northern part,

---Page Break---

The

Southwest Region ~ comprised of the metropolitan area of

Ponce and Mayaguez and adjoining municipalities - offers a special

opportunity for carrying out a joint program of development which

could have a significant influence in achieving



the objective of reducing

income disparities among the three regions of Puerto Rico. In fact

considerable efforts are being made to broaden the Region's economic

base by the promotion of manufacturing establishments, the use of

industrial incentives of various types and the improvement of the urban

and regional infrastructure

About 21,000 manufacturing jobs have been created in the Region

under this program

Future plans for industry and agriculture will heavily depend on

the development of water resources due to the critical status of said

resources in the Region. High priority has been given to the development

of the Southwest in the Commonwealth's capital improvement program.

To this effect, the Planning Board is now engaged in the formulation of

a comprehensive development plan for this Region. Emphasis is being

Placed on the formulation of functional plans for achieving the desired

goals on indate

I developaient, tourism, education, agriculture, trans-

Portation, public utilities and complementary community facilities and

23. (See part V of this report for Long Range Goals and Projee

tions, as prepared by the Puerto Rico Planning Board.)

---Page Break---

UNE AND st PPLY OF WATER

REGION OF PUERI

AL Intooduction

?The shortage of water in the southern region of Puerto Rico

is the cause of concern to the Commonwealth Government

The problem

is becoming a serious

issue that many industrial and agricultural jobs, and

new jobs, may be put in abeyance. The

policy of the Commonwealth Government is to urgently seek solutions

to these problems

to design programs and projects meeting the

high water consumption needs of heavy industrial complexes

in the southern region:

B.

ion and its Development

The Southern Region of Puerto Rico has the following municipalities

1

municipalities:

1 Ponce 8. Santa Isabel

2. Ponce 9. Coamo

Guayanilla 10. Salinas

4. Yauco 11. Guayama

5. Gusnica 1, Arroyo

6. duane Diaz 13. Patitlas

7. Villatba 14. Maunabo

?W) See Map #1 with va

1 contours,

---Page Break---

oe

will be approximately \$24.3 MGD distributed as follows:

Industry Bed

Agriculture

®

seal 5.9

Commercial 15.0

t

net impound Ble surface water availability is actually approx  
imately 202 MoD,

The ground water availability is approximately 217 MGD. As-

Sun ing all this water could be used, and further, assuring that the net

impourable surface water availability would reivain constant, for the

year 1980 there will be a net deficit of 105.3 MGD in the Southceast region,

(525,3-292.217)

This clearly indicates that other sources of water have to be found to be able to cope with the expected development of the area.

One of these alternative

sources could be the diversion of a

amount of water from the Northern part of the Island to the Southern

part.

no other source (approximately 24,500 acre feet) will be obtained from the spill-over of the Guayaquil Dam which will be collected in another Dam downstream,

But another promis

5 source Which must not be overlooked and

?sPlansing Board M.

norandam #410, Water Resources Needs

---Page Break---

The urgent a

for water in the re



wil available sites for dans have ate

the high oust of divesting uster fram the other side of

the central divide, reuresoat a few of the reissons that justify a careful

sooly of nucless

costert that, tn addi theis e genoa.

tia, will produce large aniuusts of pwable water

The teshnut acy in UL af deaalinvton is developing ata rapid

cars, while the cost of supplying water to the Southeast increases. The

water now's far the Southgate represent an extraordinary

and regional coordinated effort, if the development of

water area is to be a reality,

---Page Break---

33

VI ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

AL General Considerations

In regard to the industrial sector of the overall complex, the

Economic Development Administration considers very important the following general factors:

1. The area in which the energy center complex is to be located?

Should have an existing or potential harbor sufficiently ample to provide

port facilities for several heavy industries. The harbor should be at least

50 feet deep and should be in the immediate vicinity of the nuclear power plant,

At least

1000 acres of reasonably level land should be available

on the waterfront for industrial plant sites,

3. Plant capacity for each product analyzed should be of the latest

equipment size and incorporate proven technology.

Products utilizing one of more principal raw materials from foreign sources should be given priority attention since these raw materials

alr

y are flowing toward the U, S, mainland and Puerto Rico is merely intercepting the flow,

s.

Products chosen for analysis should be those with good prospects for market growth in the U, S., since the mainland is our major market

outlet,

Products chosen for analysis should require significant amounts of electrical power per ton of output in their production process.

7. A comparative analysis of production costs in Puerto Rico vis-a-

Vis the optimum mainland location should be made for each product analyzed.

---Page Break---

cts that could be considered for arslysis are the following:

1. Alumina (

000-3, 000 KWHi/ton = a possibility a

teend in the indastey is to penduce alusiny at dhe brusite site,

2. Aluminum (14, 090-15, 000 KWH/ton) = We already ¥

suck a project is feasible in Puerto Rico at 4.25 mills for power, Proportion of 24 eventual 300,000 ton/year facility to be located in Tortagier

a Was

ts well advan

id plant is promoted, the Government favors

Yabucoa as the site, Accordingly, the southwest area appears to be a

r

te for a third facility and a project of this nature is accordingly

nace bike!

in the late 70" of early 80's, It is not felt that there is a  
need to study aluminum since we are well-informed about manufacturing

costs and trends for this product,

Chlorine/caustic soda (3, 400-4, 000 KWH/ton) = A \$00 ton/day

Lorine plant is scheduled to go on stream in 1971 of 1972 in Guayanilla,

The demazd for chlorine is expected to double over the next ten years

© belinve prospects for a second project of this nature are god. However,

le is more likely to be located near one of the existing petrochemical cor

plexes at Guayama or Yabucoa. Again no analysis is believed required since we are well informed about manufacturing costs,

4. Ferro alloys (4,000-3, 000 KWH/ton) = a plant with aa initial

demand of 45 MW is scheduled to go on siream in Ponce. Accordingly,

---Page Break---

could oe geod candidate for the ©

cading on market growth

Graphite (3,009-3, 500 KWH/ton - a plant with an initial



and of

Mis is being built in Yabusoa.

Such @ project could be a

wood candidate for the energy center complex, depending on markets on

5: Ox, gen and other industrial gases - Two items are proposed

to build an industrial gas complex in Pefuelas to serve the South Cos at

by pipe lines. There's unlikely another plant as required

T. Other products with high energy requirements

that should be

Final. Priority among the products to be analyzed in detail are

Comment and

Current Use, Market

A. calcium oxide: 3,000 KWH /ton

b. chromium: 2, 600-3, 400 KWH/ton 1.2 million tons

?. cobalt: 2, 400-3, 200 KWH/ton 5,000 tons

by product of copper mining

4. fluorine: 5,600-6, 900 KWH/ton

fe. Lithium: 3

1200-36, 000 KWei/ton

f manganese: 10,000 KWH/ton

Bs magnesium: 22,400 KWH/ton 150, 000 T, increasing

nickel: 2,200 KWH/ton

8. phosphorus: 8, 000-11, 000 KWii/ton

---Page Break---

ee

Je sodiers: 10,400 KSHV tun 125,090 tons

k. ailicon: 10, 000-12, 000 KWHi/ton 700, 000 cons

1. silicon carbide: 7, 000-8, 200 KWH/ton

e, thtaniusa/zeconium: 30, 000-40, 000 KWH/ton 10, 000-12, 000 tons

market small but growing

B. An analysis of proc

ing 620,000 to 1,000,000 tons of salt

shui also be included. The cost of import

1c salt is approximately

55, 32/tns, delivered on the dock. Any reduction below this cost would

vs to acid based industries such as chlorine. Perhaps desali~

be considered a by-product with sufficient absorption of

production costs by the salt production which could be sold for \$4-\$5/ton

Bring the cost of water down

2 reasons!

level from the present

80¢ / 1,000 gallons.

No processing plants for agricultural products have been

included since these would depend

on the type of products found feasible

for the agvo 5:

stor of the corp!

Likewise, petrochemical complexes

have not been included

nce these are primarily dependent on obtaining

import quotas for feedstock.

---Page Break---

Anejo 29

IV FACTORS AGAINST A NUGLEAR DECISION

Prototype units -

None of the commercial units in operation today is an exact

duplicate of the proposed nuclear unit, It has been a standing policy

of the Authority that the commercial unit proposed for operation in

1976, if nuclear, must be a completely well-proven concept, and if

possible, a duplicate of an existing unit, so that the parameters used

in the evaluation can be readily ascertained. A duplicate of the unit

quoted on by Westinghouse will not be in service before 1972. Connecticut

Yankee, which has been advertised as a prototype of the unit,

differs in a very vital aspect: nuclear fuel conditions

1) The specific power, that is, the full load thermal

kilowatts obtained from each kilogram of uranium in

the core is 27.7 at Connecticut Yankee, while the Puerto

Rico unit would have a value of 36.5. This reduces core

size. Connecticut Yankee thus operates under more

conservative conditions.

---Page Break---

-2-

2) ?The fuel burn up, that is, the kilowatt-days obtained from each kilogram of uranium at Connecticut Yankee is 24,000, while the proposed unit would have a fuel

burn up of 31,500. While this increased burn up has been obtained in other reactors, it has never been proven under operating conditions in the type of reactor

that has been proposed. Connecticut Yankee, therefore,

is operating more conservatively in thi

respect.

3) Fuel cladding - Connecticut Yankee uses stainless steel cladding, while the proposed unit will use zircaloy. Although technically zircaloy should be satisfactory the fact is that this type of cladding has



not been used in commercial PWR reactors of the type and size which have been proposed.

These very important differences pose the probability of technical problems and the probable requirement of further debugging. Even

more important, however, is the fact that the fuel costs which

have

been predicated under these accelerated conditions have not yet been

---Page Break---

-3-

Realized, It should be noted that fuel costs have a very i

portant

bearing on the economical evaluation of the nuclear unit.

?The accuracy of nuclear estimates is in question today. None

of the nuclear plants built so far have met the estimated construction costs, The additional costs have ranged from 10% to 50% above the estimate. These have been caused by delays in the delivery of materials,

labor problems, the difficulty of obtaini

the highly specialized work-

ers and technicians which are required for a nuclear installation and very important, the additional cost of back fitting requirements imposed by the Commission.

It should be noted that even though the evaluated cost of nuclear

unit in our studies is of the order of \$200 per kilowatt for a \$70 Mwe Plant, higher cost figures have been estimated by some very well known advocates of nuclear power.

1. From APPA Nuclear Power Newsletter. April 1969 -

(Ex. = 3-9)

"In some plants under construction or in operation, problems

where experience is available to have avoided

tioner, James T. Ramey said. Ramey noted

---Page Break---

-4-

that construction costs have risen for nuclear units (a recent survey by Yankee Atomic Electric indicated that the average 825, 000 kilowatt light water reactor plant being built in the U. S. for mid or late

1973 start up will cost about 200 dollars per kilowatt). (Ex. 4-2)

From the Special Report "Nuclear Power in a Billion

Dollar Stalemate, "Electric Light and Power, April 1969 (Ex. 3-4)

"Nuclear power's soaring costs are being watched closely.

Every utility executive contacted had facts and figures at his fingertips ... facts that showed original estimates and the effect of time delays on these estimates. Typical of the cost problem is Omaha Public Power announcement that their 1966 cost estimate of \$93,

cost had jumped \$30 million by the end of 1968. New York State Electric and Gas estimated their costs will be \$35 million higher than the 1966 figures. Other utilities not so eager to share their escalation costs openly, have indicated that as much as \$20 million have been added to capital costs before ground was broken

3. From the paper Nuclear Power - "Past, Present, Future" by Kenneth A. Roe, President, of Burns & Roe Inc., presented at ASME

Power Division, January 1969. (Ex. 4-1)

"The other major disappointment felt by the utility industry in

---Page Break---

their nuclear pro;

ris has been the tremendous increase

capital

costs associated with nuclear plants. Many factors have contributed to those higher costs

One factor is attributable to the extended construction schedule and decreased construction labor efficiency. Some of the cost increase is due to inaccurate estimating since limited experience was available to form the basis of poor estimates. Part of it is due to escalation of materials and labor rates. Another increment is due to the increased number and cost of safety features that must be incorporated in these

tants as the AEC digs deeper into the design features and examines

? Ps

their potential hazards with ever increasing care.

In our present studies and designs for utility clients we are

estimating nuclear plants that will be operating in 1974 are in sizes

of 800 to 1000 MW. The costs of these plants appear to be in the

range of \$180 to \$200 per KW."

In the light of the above statements it seems that the estimate

for the construction of nuclear units is in the low side.

### 3. Uncertainty of nuclear fuel

The main economic advantage of nuclear power is the reduced cost of nuclear fuel. In the economic study and in the benefit evaluation

the low figure of 1.338 mills per kilowatt-hour has been used. This

---Page Break---

has been calculated on a price of \$8.50 per pound of uranium, ?This figure seems to be low, especially when the Edison Electric Institute has prepared a chart indicating that "all the uranium that might be found and produced at \$15 a pound will be consumed by 1990." (1)

Mr. Rangel, Vice President and General Manager of the Atomic Power Division, Westinghouse Electric Corporation in the article "Only High Gain Breeder Reactors can Stabilize Uranium Fuel Requirements", Westinghouse Engineering, January 1968 states: (Ex. -3-14)

?Even though presently known d

domestic supplies of low cost uranium are continuously enlarged by massive exploration efforts, fuel cost of water reactors will always be subject to the uncertainties of uranium

fuel costs if water reactors are the only type built, Thus, the really

significant potential benefit of the breeder reactor to the electric

utility industry will come from its ability to ensure continuing low

nuclear fuel cost." Mr. Rangel has calculated that for each \$5 per  
pound increase in the cost of uranium, PWR fuel cycle costs will

increase about 0.3 mills per kilowatt-hour, and concludes that breeder

reactors are required in order to stabilize nuclear fuel costs, Unfortunately,

(1) Water Control News No. 18 - September 34, 1968 - Page 2

(Ex. 3-8)

---Page Break---

+7.

It is a very well known fact that the state of development of breeder  
reactors is very slow, and the outlook is rather pessimistic at this  
moment,



Nuclear fuel costs as used in the economic evaluations seem optimistic. For example: Mr. W. W. Bradford of Sargent & Lundy

is reported in Electrical World

], October 14, 1968 (Ex. 3-10) as

stating that "taking a 2100 megawatt pressurized water reactor as an example and projecting the total capital requirements for nuclear fuel at any one time as varying between 28 million and 33 million dollars, using an 85% capacity factor over the first seven to ten years of operation, he got a levelized fuel cost of about 2 mills per kilowatt-hour", The cost of 2 mills per kilowatt-hour as developed by

Mr. Bradford would definitely rule out nuclear plants in our case.

These conclusions came out in the Atomic Industrial Forum's Conference on Finance Fuel in New Jersey, October 1968,

4. Schedules and deliveries -

The situation on schedules and deliveries in the nuclear industry is very pessimistic today. The delays are a constant source of worry

to power systems that have committed nuclear units with the result

---Page Break---

- ee

That the practical val

of the nuclear plants under construction has

not been proved. As such, the plants are licenced under the R & D

stipulation of the Atomic Energy Act and Antitrust hearings are not permitted during the licensing proceedings."

6

Energy Commission in plant operations -

This is considered a very important factor. Due to the

intrinsic nature of nuclear plants, the Atomic Energy Commission not

only closely scrutinizes the construction of the unit) but also supervises very closely its operation throughout its life, Specially after maintenance or equipment trouble, the Commission makes an intensive

study of the leakage and will not allow the restart of the unit until a

very thorough

evaluation of the condition:

has been made and assured that all

safety precautions have been taken. However, although this is necessary

from a safety standpoint, it seriously impedes the ability of the utility

to supply continuous and uninterrupted power to its customers

Normally, if a boiler fan fails in a conventional unit, the

utility will immediately take steps to repair it and the unit is back in

operation in the shortest possible time. In nuclear plants, however,

in case of a small reactor leakage or a pump failure in the coolant

---Page Break---

2.

system, the Commission will intervene and will make a very thorough inspection and evaluation of the incident and will not permit the restarting of the unit until all assurances have been made that the failure has been corrected, and that all necessary precautions have been met to prevent

recurrence. This action delays the restoration of the unit back

in the line, seriously impairing the utility's ability to serve power to

its consumers. For systems within the Continental U.S. this may

not be a very important consideration, since the interconnections will allow a certain nature of protection between the systems. However, in Puerto Rico, being an isolated system, a delayed outage of this sort may become very critical.

Furthermore, in the U. S., due to the proximity to the supply

sources and the availabi

ity of trained personnel, the repairs will be

Pe

accelerated permitting the res!

wrt of the unit in the ?shortest possible

time. In Puerto Rico, an additional difficulty arises due to the fact that

neither the personnel nor the parts are available on short notice

ting

?One of the most important factors which have led utilities in

the U, S. to seriously consider backing out of nuclear reactors is

---Page Break---

16.

system is a moving target. Changes in design can come at any time and on any system. ?This can affect both plant costs and schedules. Costs also go up because of redundancy that often goes beyond engineering necessity. it does not seem reasonable to develop a safeguard for a hypothetical event that has already been precluded by another safeguard?,

8. Need for specialized personnel -

Nuclear plants require highly specialized personnel in all phases of construction and operation. ?The Authority acquired substantial experience from Bonus, but even the highly trained operati

personnel developed by the Authority would have to undergo specialized

training in order to operate the large scale nuclear reactors that are

planned for the future. But even more important are the requirements  
for specialized personnel during the construction process, If some-

"

As has been learned out of past experience, it is that nuclear plants  
require much more specialization in all phases of the construction

process, including erection, welding and electrical

connections. Due

to the large number of nuclear orders during the years 1966 and 1967,

kind of specialized personnel is in short order today. Furthermore,

---Page Break---

-e

of personnel is not available in Puerto Rico. We will have

this kind

to develop specialized personnel by the slow and painful process of training people in the United States, or else we will have to import

These skilled workers, craftsmen, or technicians at a great cost

The shortage of highly specialized personnel and the competition within the industry for the available services is a somber problem facing the industry.

9. Financial market conditions -

hi



'# a very important consideration. The nuclear units

will regu

¢ at least a forty-million dollar expenditure per unit over  
and above the cost of an equivalent fossil-fired unit. Although the

Authority's financial position is such that it can obtain these funds if

necessary, the present shortage of money in the financis? market  
will not make this an easy task, The nuclear altérnetive requires a

larger debt to equity ratio, anda wise financial strategy indicates that

vader the present money market conditions the Authority ehovl4 Nmit

its expansion pattern to the lowest possible figures, and restrict its

future expenditures to the bare essential needs.

To go into the market for a larger amount not only lowers the

---Page Break---

<6.

coverage of the Authority as defined by the Trust Indenture, but also may-increase the interest rates and could probably affect the rating

of

RWRA bonds in the financial circles.

has been determined that the Authority has the earning

ceaprivity, and te financial backboae fo withstand these conditions

However, it is wise to be cautious in the light of the present money market conditions, unless a very compulsive economic incentive is

bein

the decision to go for larger amounts of money.

It is for this reason that the return on investment method of

fixed charges evaluation as proposed by Mr. Walton Seymour, seems

to be a prudent course. It also appears advisable that the return on

investment should not be below the actual cost of money, that is, at least

5. 5% 0 that it can bear the test of a prudent investment, Undoubtedly, any decision based on cash flow, which might endanger the formation

of equity, based on long range predictions which cannot be ascertained

under present conditions, is very risky in the light of the present financial situation.

It should be noted that the Authority's coverage, which at

present is in the order of 2.3 and which has been steadily increasing

during the past years, is expected to dip to 1.2 with the nuclear units

---Page Break---

ig.

expansion program. This will not enhance

position of the Authority

in the financial market in view of its large indebtedness, the present conditions of scarcity of money and a market of soaring interest rates,

10. Gyelig pattern of nuclear sales -

It appears that the Authority's bid came out at a very unfavorable

point of the nuclear sales cycle. The years 1966 and 1967 saw a great boom in the ordering and announcements of new nuclear units (1)

While in 1965 nuclear sales amounted to only 27% of all the power plant additions during the year; during 1966, 63% of the total capacity announced during the year was in nuclear units. That is, a total of 22,477 megawatt electric out of an industry total of 42,573 megawatt

electric. This trend slowed down during 1967, but still showed a

healthy 45% of total sales and the total amount of nuclear capacity

announced during the year~ increased to 26,460 m

gawatts electric.

During 1968 the announcements on nuclear units declined only 35% of

the total and the amount decreased to 15,168 Mw? Dust

the last

part of the year 1968, delays, increased costs and operating problems,

especially in the nuclear units under construction shocked the industry

(1) Central Station Nuclear Plants - (Ex. 3-15) AEC Division

Industrial Participation - January 7, 1969

0 of

---Page Break---

experience, Considerable pressure was brought at Manati by a large community group with political overtones against the establishment of a nuclear unit in the vicinity. The Authority was finally able to convince everybody of the safety aspects of nuclear units. However, these groups have a tendency to regroup and may in the future hamper or impede the establishment of nuclear units. This has happened in the United States quite a number of times.

The latest scare book, which appeared on the market in

February 1969, is called "The Careless Atom?"

by Sheldon Novick

The book is just a recount of several well known nuclear incidents

which do not in the least reflect on the excellent safety record of nuclear power reactors. However, it has had the effect of strengthening the campaigns of enemies of nuclear reactors and it will take some time

before

8 effects are completely eliminated,

15, Decommissioning of nuclear plants -

Despite

© Proper maintenance program, a time will be reached, |

30 to 50 years, after plant initial operation in which the operation of  
4 plant is not economically feasible. This may be so when the end of

the useful plant life is reached because of obsolescence. When

---Page Break---

- 30.

this happens in fossil plants, dismantling of the various systems can

be scheduled as if it were a construction job in reverse. The site  
can be cleared at relatively low cost, and even @ new modern fossil~

Plant built without too much problems. In the particular case of a



nuclear plant the dismantling job should be preceded by an AEC decommissioning program which clearly specifies the end conditions of the site, ALL equipment which came in contact with the primary water will be decontaminated. A decontamination program must be followed and arrangements for removal and burial must be worked out.

Although the occurrence of closing down of plants is not common, it

?will cost much more to decommission a nuclear pl

---Page Break---

Aivege 1965

STATEMENT OF MODIFIED CONCURRENT OPINION

By Rafael R. Ramfrer Just?

Member, Evaluation Come sie

: fale

In its final recommendations in connection with the proposed installation of a \$85,000 KW nuclear generating unit at a much higher cost than an equivalent conventional fossil-fired unit, the Evaluation Committee concluded that it is not in the best interests of the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority at this time to undertake such a venture,

I fully agree with this conclusion. Furthermore, I would like to point out that in my opinion the documents submitted in support of the nuclear unit did not cover all the factors that should have been taken into consideration in analyzing this type of unit

#### CAPITAL COST FACTORS

The estimated cost of the nuclear unit does not include the differential in regard to the land acreage that must be purchased for a nuclear unit, as compared with that needed for a conventional unit. It is a well known fact that the nuclear unit requires a radius of half a mile or more for a safety zone within which no one will be allowed to live. The investment differential on this score could conceivably amount to as much as \$1,000,900,

Another item no. included in the cost of the nuclear unit was the differential relating to the cost of educating or training the personnel that would operate one of the other type of plant. In my judgment, this run into at least \$2990, 000,

differential would

It seems to me that the differential in investment cost between the nuclear and the conventional unit is too low in comparison with figures which

---Page Break---

costs are set out in technical 3

Comparative cost

es ssomiited te

fear period. This wocid 9 more in

vist Feaily prevails in the Authority's power system

ral avarae oF of &3% would

with the 99.2 Load

atthe present ime. Aga g

base load nuclear ones to restac

ed woulé 2:43 2

woul? 30 eow

33 years, a load &

?wien the idea cor

2 consideration should have been given to

Cars in providing service, equitably distributed

ied, namely generation, :ranwniesion and els-

20 of taxes" shoetd algo have been dlatrituted among those

1e gercrai administrator expensed. At the same

age of the seal inves ch the paymeats "tin les of taxes?

prescnt should have been deternirud. Ai a matter of fact, it is two per cents

Sis In Pueeto Rico for property taxes. Tae

she move coxily alte tucles? generation.

If all these items of expense had been added on to the other:  
mistake evaluation favoring a nuclear unit: would have decreased

the a frequently expressed in ©

subject, that nuclear units are compared,  
of \$00, 000%" and

» the most opti-

eriously, 0

ent industrial and technical literature:

on the

A conventional unit only in capacities

No through study was made to show,

5» the feasibility of a conversion

Harvesting to be expected from

market with a view towards the:

rough design

2 computer program-

in our system, and the hour by

"Cyclic units? have been placed on

sent and operational costs. In our

1¢ power market and rise the demand

sufficient load growth is attained to justify a base load unit that will not "cam

balance' the other night



construction. With a

then decrease

The coverage ratio is an i

direct operating expenses, for capitalization,

"deed of taxes", insurance, etc.

ation of the margin left over

interests, depreciation, contribution

---Page Break---

As far as installed capacity is concerned, our position at the present time is precarious. Practically all of our major generating units are of the "reheat" type, intended for 24 hour service and offering very little flexibility for daily shut down,

If we continue adding large basic generating units, especially nuclear ones, the problem of shutting down at light load will become more serious. In terms of capital costs, we would be simply replacing conventional basic generation with nuclear basic generation, at greater original cost, just to obtain slightly lower fuel costs. We would be increasing the system's total operational cost, thus reducing the coverage margin available after the "net operating cost." This is what we call "cannibalism."

---Page Break---

ane 26, 7565

## Vv SUMMARY AND RECOMMED

This {s one of the most thorough economic evaluation over done by PAWRA and perhaps any power utility towards the decision between nuclear or fossil-fuel generating units. Three independent studios were made. The reputable engineering consulting firms Burns & Roe and Jackson and Moreland Division of United Engineers prepared separate and independent reports. A completely independent production run cost study was performed by the Authority's Planning Division. .The final overall evaluation was prepared by a Special

Commit

1ec appointed by the Executive Director composed of the Vice

Executive Director, the Assistant Executive Director for Power,  
Electrical Planning, Finance and Personnel, the Chief Engineer, the  
General Manager for Administration and services and the Power  
Consultant

?The Burns & Roe studies consist of a bid evaluation, (1)

construction estimates for nuclear and fossil-fired equivalent units (2)

(2) Evaluation Report on Two-500 Mwe Electric Generating Units

B&R EW, O, 2580-03- June 21, 1968

@) Report on 500 Mwe Power Plant - B& R#W.O. 2616-01

April 15, 1968

---Page Break---

2.

and nuclear fuel studies. An economic evaluation based on given parameters was made. An investigation was also made of three nuclear units under construction and three units in operation. (3)

?The Jackson and Moreland reports consist of a bid evaluation, (4) construction cost estimates and an investigation of operating cost parameters of similar plants. (5) Jackson and Moreland made a positive recommendation in favor of the fossil-fuel alternate. (6)

(3) Investigation and Report on Three Nuclear Stations Under Const-uction an Three units in Operation - B & REW.O.  
2706-02 - March 28, 1969

(4) Bid Compa -ison Nuclear Fueled Plants - J & M  
June 19, 1968

(5) Review of Factors Applying to Nuclear Unit Addition  
Octobe: 1968

(6) Recommendation on Nuclear Generating Capacity for Puerto

Rico Water Resources Authority - J & M - April 11, 1968

---Page Break---

-3-

The Authority conducted a number of generation expansion runs following the established planning criteria. The long range evaluations based on the parameters which were initially chosen favored the

nuclear alternate, :

The Committee investigated the reasonableness of the parameters used in these studies. The fixed annual charges used by the Authority's Planning Division and by Jackson and Moreland were discussed in detail. Mr. Walton Seymour, of the Resources and Development Corporation was invited to present his views on this point. It was agreed that the Committee would look into the economical evaluation under all valid conditions and that a recommendation for a decision would only be made after the whole range of situations were thoroughly examined.

The results of the economic studies were found to be marginal

Certain projections favored the nuclear decision, while a more

fired we

conservative approach favored the decision. No really significant factor could be obtained which pointed with absolute certainty to any one of the criteria used in the studies.

Since no definite economic advantage could be found in favor

---Page Break---

Of any one of the two alternatives over the other, a very close scrutiny was made of the overall situation of nuclear units. The commercial Power reactor situation at present is very confusing. A large number Of utilities are backing out of their nuclear commitments because of the general tendency toward increased costs, long delays in deliveries

and ad

tional backfitting demands on the part of the Atomic Energy Commission,

A number of utilities in the 60s and 70s were committed to nuclear units in what might be termed "distress sales" by all manufacturers. For example, stations such as Oyster Creek, the TVA and Turkey Point were contracted at construction costs of around \$100 per kilowatt,

Nuclear power plant construction costs today are t

as much. The

terms then offered were such that utilities could not refuse them and in consequence a nuclear boom followed. The aftermath of this rush of orders was an increase in costs causing the slow down on nuclear orders that we are now experiencing.

The Planning Division of the Authority has determined that the 1975 unit is no longer required as a result of the postponement of the

ALCOA project. Furthermore, it is the recommendation of the Special



---Page Break---

?5.

Committee that the 1976 base load unit be @ fossil-fired unit. This recommendation is based on the following reasons

1) ?The economic advantages, if any, that are foreseen on the nuclear units may be offset by circumstances in the future. The optimistic nuclear forecasts have not yet materialized

2) In the absence of clear economic advantages favoring the nuclear units, the Authority should follow the conservative attitude of continuing its present trend of fossil-fuel units expansion. The Authority and the entire power utility industry have a very solid and abundant

experience: on this line,

e nuclear

3) Since commercial experience on the large s

reactors will not be available until some

ime late in 1972, it is recom-

mended that no further nuclear bids be issued until this experience is available and nuclear costs can be reliably ascertained.

4) The Authority should continue including nuclear units in its future expansion studies. The planning process should consider nuclear units in the expansion runs using the cost parameters obtained from past nuclear bids and information that may be obtained by closely

---Page Break---

-6

following the experiences of others. Nuclear developments should be watched very closely, since it is believed that nuclear units will eventually overcome their present difficulties and will compete in economic terms with the present fossil-fired units used by PRWRA.

Although some of the parameters used by the Committee are  
different from those used in the Jackson & Moreland reports, the  
Committee is in agreement with the recommendations of the Consulting

Engineers that a nuclear decision should not be made at this time.

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

~ EL NUEVO DiA--Jueves 11 de Sx

to buano fecloral

fe piana fucle sa |

coan:

Pe # YORK TAME

: a Newt ¥

} E ?

YOTSIONS ee angaridad de ty prgoesta

wie tsieacigis det dae

' fee gen Bhs pebsidat pus The

. (Ratios on Puce? Re

nla gue ese infor

?pera ia AFL na ha 2 Sige

erect Hgunca

Tinbergen

YMRS para la peonta,eonctuctiba y ter

~ tn ett proyecto esta In fats de fone

a primas do seguron abarcadorss a las  
as de plantas nucleates,  
iia se habia estimado

tyre she", primes de seguros  
CUP Ree de pitnens nucleates a  
sDiemenfee! cost iota! dal pe

?in did ?unas nuevas provecoares

oa

---Page Break---

Peiica euergaticg pot

fidetra plasterer

SEF8e em pusieisn ee

Beer en set ta

srersttice, fut 9 Re

biciriente oe une ed

Pore chudar a settee

Bstno eles préxt

¥8cr%0

Fates be

Se ogaeye

ores a -

ia seer

?eh

Pensa ee

---Page Break---

> SAN GANS 2g

) . \* : cn abe ph

OR PR FORE fe yue no

% ¥ grituecin de seh

esta ya



} oo. |

|

|

v9 de Preto Alco %2 2,32" 50 cts

sa lata sadkar ge 9 gs sir

pote lagore

Peds

ea eq: ded,

9 hay Gras ollgos relvetona ts een te

ss ntl sta 0 49 ?iecem wae

fer cca la cen Feelin cea

38 Ge un eto de terreno que toa

koa 0 vur'as ce eltss om treme dus 1

?Bova mnchog ta eaeg'a nach

pS BSG a ice

Vo traqsteo ?

inlacateotae Posy estes perso Ba then,

PETS Pecuse Pct sacs chtras at ue aa lo cdi cab

toad aeuseumn ng necesuttae pestle oa? frend. ata teonutocin,

2 fas eng Avr a Frere sao'es linoste i

ate enai en a ese. sala Macrenidid ste

salbicria, Sle la tts

! arias plantas niches tecnologia? Wa Soluciin es ya

eg. sia ?centroversia glea principalmente sneer tecnologia. Una techolecte que erm  
ote Gos asyrcles, a seguricad general Gel te: ?estat plantes nies ares mss sera ear

Sick Se tos residuos nuci ares. Fie residon

sta 5, en realidad, an

9 aNernativa vate ova fa

No hay dudw de ie el hunt ventem

nte'un Fein. La enceyia nustrar joede tineas

Ser bila panacea que re muchor de fos face

sea Sr eles de fa Desteva por un pe! Geile Letnunidea Pero hay. que dott nae

fhe jdna sete caras noes athe tee de ite pater serntemos de lla: Be

SECS ENG Pian Se nage intense, es pilates oh

ia y a ravin. Et forbs

volts para etre ntarce

Wien, Ca eoergln nuioae og wins de

feetote

---Page Break---

ue tye tn

Geo ans woe row 1

uel oat

5, 99 muepuer faved ean) Dae

ex) a0 ah tansg 32 ton \$8

ras et 3

sn een topo eh ome

aon pneumoniae pop wy

?aaa 9 pou svg wonecisatpe 204

? Da EE

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

gies

A ? :

? ?

? ?

---Page Break---

pseeey ftaieb96 oy

osu of up He Se"

Heo ue

?hg ana

< set tas a eo tench uo Tans aie ws pola Shey mn -

= z Cem

&

?5 voquisieus tepung,

---Page Break---

ww



---Page Break---

i ea] Gotamrator os que a P

" Riso #2 etediaren.

o..

1

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

aR

cca Gray: rea

we we i Se

?pate -

g dune Aentanes - EVES NVAE NYS CHL

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

Te ut Cinesets igo. ate Sih Does at

Cpter's Sian to serie wt,

Fufosiud poser stoat on Se Coch River y

dencest ation of a fest

fod'tan iter "nae. Se

nied je ?Cuscestie

2

Post dep towed Sitting do

seme Tater ate,

fad of the Ueed Sees io the

fim 275:r0j cation for he CI

tion of a ree \$2

vig caf asoaceall

Sapam ate co"

an

fa he chet at at ura |

Oe Ueited Sates

St Veaying up. Fer Don 6g

ties pas en tae

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

Avie

THESAN JUAN STAR J

DAU DE LAS FURNES FAUVIALES BE PULIEU aw

ee

EL MUNDO Dis

EL VOCERO DE Pk CPAGING

?\_ VO DIA Du

EL NUEVO poeta HeTes

og

18

PONCE (AP) ? El ex gobernador Luis A. Ferré dijo

que al construir se termine como Presidente del Senado

Si valiera a postularse para cargo electivo alguno, dan

do para la juventud

"Forse hizo el comentario en Ponce al participar en un

programa radial, y comentó en tono jocoso: "He cumplido

80 años en Puerto Rico por ochenta años y hay que darle

¿cuáles responsabilidades a la Juventud?

El Presidente Fundador del PNP cumplirá próximamente

16 años, y aseguro que cumplirá el resto de los tres

que le quedan como Presidente del Senado.

?Sy no rehago responsabilidades y siempre cumplo

stadia

?con las obligaciones que se me encomienda"

"Un grupo de dirigentes del PNP, sobre todo en Ponce, han estado presionando Ferré para que se postule en el 2010 para un nuevo término como Senador, pero el ex gobernador fue claro al comentar: "No creo que voy a ser candidato más nunca

?Sin embargo, calificó que "siguiré en disposición" de ayudar al pueblo de Puerto Rico en funciones de consejero y añadió: "Lo único que quiero seguir logrando es que siga sea un pueblo feliz viva en paz

"Ferré anunció también que se opondrá a la ley de singularización de empleos públicos que permita el derecho

nosles

"EL SERVICIO público no debe paralizarse por na-

4a", dijo Ferré. "Le ley que permita la sindicalización

4. En empleos públicos debe contener una cláusula de

sufragio obligatorio?

"Añadí que la sindicalización quiere decir que los em-

pleados tienen oportunidad de sindicarse colectivamente

\ sites en

Ni



Ihoelga no oe

¿Ferré se retira en el 80

necesaria para la sindicalización?

¿Debemos tener mucho cuidado en evitar que se  
puedan llevar a cabo huelgas en perjuicio del servicio  
Diblico estarán contra de cualquier ley que no garant-  
Beltane interrupción de los servicios públicos? afirm@

necesario 1 arbitraje en los países de

¿to quito sobre si se deben establecer en la l

fades tribunales aborales.

as experiencias sobre gos tribuna

Barrio Islote, de Arecibo

?La plants de Islot e

?como ngenlero creo en ia idea de usar

?en Puerto Rico", dijo Ferré

"EL GOBERNADOR Romero Barcelo prometis duran:

te In pasada camnpata politica que nose construiria en el

Barrio Islote, de Arecibo, una planta nuclear, luego de

?eunlrae all'con un grupo de Vecinos, a quienes el ex

?Fepresentante Roberto Rexach Bealte leg sievio de por

"Segin eumenta el precio del peti y del cartin

lamas nuclearesy ness

7 debemnos empezar a Dus

?ar la manera de lostalarla?, sostuvo el Presidente Fun-

?Stdor del Partido Nuevo Progresista.

Ferré record que en Varios pases del mundo se han  
Inetsindo plantas nucleares energéticas?y restb it~  
portancta al argumento de supvesias alias geoloaicas en  
Ercortea terrestre que podria poner en peligro a segur-  
dad del pas.

?Alegs que ?ha ?estudiado culdadosamente? este as.

?pecta'y coments ?os rieyges son compatibles con

?Ceridad de que fe instalen?

"Yo recomendaria que seins

tay que hacerlo pronto, poraue e

combustible, porque el mundo va

\* aad.

ievelo que el gobierno eita pagando cinco millones de  
elares anuales en intereses por el préstamo de cen  
millones que se proyecto invertic para este proyecto.  
Indicé que el pals ?no puede vivir sin el funcionamien  
?podeuna vigorosa industria?. ?\* |

---Page Break---

sae hs 973

NUCLEAR, ENV. @ OAS

sruyo ura

?Uh presttrelon de energia cléLica

sen Post»

Sugiid a estos efectos gre £2

cha considerar (1 proyee") de

Jeonstroir tra 0 e228 [

2 ds Proiko, De

ret 39 yor

el Paick?o

we el bay sober

nucdl6. prot

toa Cesta ly 7

caa@ae bio.

clr exfuticinco Ta

eet wae

?pian inngortinda que tiene para

ietkes el que Pacrto Rico e3-

Crsde abio?atroleo y del

?a para la predve- recesala 2 1c\$

?abate cacti hclesr.

fy Gan parte dg Fosopa y mis y+" Est 3 cow ?ores 2 sue

fev Li re acyptiva 1 oie

ray Ba rss Chel s

mas en Hue el ye!

: [Men co rede past

tintorsciites, Cons de Tc, 3

Tosteusics UI pia 63 fecitealcnto su nate 3



fuera e3Nigarin Uj ropeeto Ge Un tage sad!

y otis ote ial al bic

utuas gene

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

Puerto Rico Energy Study

With eecalating pettoloum prices and allaged shortages imminent

Wis essantial forall world communities fo assess inir energy resources

?oan active island community like Puerto Rico, which has no known

fossil fuels of its own, recognizing the need for long range planning in the development of alternate sources of energy is vital to continuing economic stability

For this reason, the Governor of Puerto Rico established an Energy Office in 1972. About the same time the President of the University of Puerto Rico took another important step to secure the future by asking the Director of CEER to initiate an analysis of alternate energy systems and to identify the most promising, economically viable sources that might meet Puerto Rico's growing commercial needs. CEER responded quickly to the challenge with an extensive investigation

To provide a meaningful scenario for practical development, the study's principal investigators, Modesto Arteaga and Rafael Sardina projected the island's needs up to the year 2020. Potential costs were charted for the period under consideration, and as a result of the need for alternate sources of energy, the crescendo of oil prices was also

The conclusions of the comprehensive examination of Puerto Rico's options included a highly favorable endorsement of biomass as a short term solution. OTEC development was encouraged for the next decade. In the San Juan area, though the cost is currently too high, photovoltaics might evolve as a significant factor by the 1990's. Wind energy is already being successfully harnessed, but of all the electrical generation systems looked at, this concept presently appears to be the least favorable in terms of initial capital investment. Wind turbines might replace oil

?cost, But not coal costs

in summary, the socio-economic implications for Puerto Rico for the development of local alternative energy sources indicate benefits in the range of Billion of dollars of annual increases in productivity. and reductions in unemployment by over seven percent

## Fossil Fuels

What are the right physical, chemical and biological characteristics for Enhanced Heavy Oil Recovery By microbial means? That what this project has been attempting to find out. It we find organisms capable of releasing crude oil attached to sand or rock matrices from marginal wells or "spent wells" and we improve our knowledge of the conditions required for such release, then we can possibly design a process applicable to field conditions. To this end during the past year we have continued exploring micro-organisms. selected. From benzothio: low or high sulfur. high aromatic crude oils containing media that are effective in displacing adsorbed oil. Once good activity on natural oil is found, the active organisms. will be studied for laboratory growth under reservoir conditions insofar as known) for conditions favoring optimum growth and/or gas or detergent production, Of the mixed aerobic and facultative bacterial systems studied in the release of oil adsorbed to limestone, four were shown active, During their period of exposure to the organic substrate there was any acid was

produced ruling out oil displacement by dissolution of the matrix. Since  
it is obvious that limestone could not duplicate the complexity of an  
oil-sand matrix, including natural ones, are currently being  
explored. The simplified models, however, are explored to gain some  
insight into the mechanism of oil displacement. Oil displacement  
studies were conducted by determining the released oil chemically via

## Anejo 32

Mixed culture of aerobic petroleum  
degrading microorganisms isolated  
from a Venezuelan site,

---Page Break---

dichromate oxidation. Some oils have been found to give inconsistent  
colors because of charring. In those cases analytical methods such as  
GC or HPLC are used. Of those found active, two of them (V-2047 and  
V20u8) isolated from a Venezuelan underground oil reservoir were  
separated as pure cultures, then identified, and are being  
characterized for growth under conditions of different pH, salt concen-  
trations, temperature and nutrient limitations. They were also found to  
reduce the surface tension of the growth media indicating the presence  
of biopolymers or biosurfactants. The aqueous phase obtained from  
the culture of these organisms in the presence of Moroccan crude oil 16  
being analyzed for mutagenicity utilizing the Ames Salmonella Test.

Some of the isolated microorganisms changed the composition of  
the oil as evidenced by the change in the aromatic/polar ratio and  
changes in the profile of paraffinic compounds before and after bio-  
treatment. Results indicate that organisms selected for their action on  
Dibenzothiophene can grow well on crude oil while others do very poorly  
and are diverse in their nature and properties. We would expect

the ame ?diversty among. stganisms soloed Tor the pyoperty of  
feleasing ol lrom mineral sources

?During the past year informal agreements were reached to jointly

fxolore with Venezuelan selentste and engineere. under DOE-Venezuela

?Suppor, this area of research. Detalles work plans Tor further development

Inte comple held are curently being formulated withthe participation 3

the Venezuelan Institute of Peroleum Teemnology

## Community Awareness

### CCEER's Efforts In Community Awaraness and PubllePerticpstion

?During Fiscal Year 1980, CEER was actively involved In. community

awareness programs and public information related activities in the fields of energy and the environment. CEER scientists participated in seminars, workshops and lectures and in an advisory capacity with 200 private and public organizations which have an interest in education, civic work and consumers

Important activities in these areas include.

+ Technical and financial assistance to the Puerto Rico Department of Education in preparing the program "Energy. Today and Tomorrow". This program is a special exhibition on the energy crisis, with emphasis on the Puerto Rican scenario. It is being presented at high schools throughout the island on a daily schedule

+ Technical advice and lectures were given by CEER scientists as part of the energy and environmental projects of COTACO - Worker's Committee to Aid the Consumer, a local non-profit consumer organization. One of the principal contributions was with project "Energy" which consisted of lectures on energy conservation and stop by stop instructions for the construction of domestic solar Water Meters. Another activity involved the "Community Environmental Awareness Project", comprised of a series of lectures and seminars to 200 community leaders representing communities with specific environmental problems throughout the island

+ Lectures on energy alternatives and conservation were delivered 10

high school! students,

+ AvGna day seminar was given for the League of Woman Voters on  
fatteratives. for Ramessing solar energy? such a8. photovoltaics,  
biomass, OTEC and energy conservation,



---Page Break---

inOUSTRE

The U. S. nuclear Power industry cries for help?

?The U § nuclear power plant manufac

turing industry may be in its death

throws So says noes a passionate pe

sed proceger of Gea

Din in San Joa, Cal

?bout 2 ears ago, domestic orders

for nuclear power plants were ering,

Sharply because of falling ener de

mand, severe regulatory problems, and

the growing dependence of tar

energy utilities (BW-?Dec 25, 1978,

But now the export market is rising

and because foreign power plant buyers

are getting together Paneling

rms fot atholog,

?otede te tS

?rll hue 45, mudear

Frruction, including 12

Use! Bott hae not

trae nthe pe Sie ears

ed ules gets coir

Shi new Busnes tn the

rest couple of Jes, man

Tevin © app Te, as

ciate profesor at Har

?ards Graduste School ef

Busine thinks the U.S

vee? pere are wot gong

tor be any. more. mueat

lpower plant} orders in the

U5 tis century? he pre

from foreige ?buyers Bat

toon ?ail four remaining

EPS. sendors "have to. Seite whether

thes al contine to eommit rescues

tobe indowery with a growth. pro

pect? This clades GE and" Werting

rouse Bietric Corp, aswel as Combos

on Engineering and the Babcock

Wilson Div of Westinghouse Inc

Perceive pessimism Dropouts could

Some summer rather than later The Ea

for Electric Institute believes that even

With U.S. energy demand, growing at

Season 2% annual then a nation's west

Still available in 1950

Some new power plant construction an

touch of this presumably will be unclear

But others think that there is too much

optimism: Harvard's Bupp for ex

ample, argues that even without nuclear

Dowry additions beyond those on line is

1970 the electric service margin for

US sales will still be about 3

82 BUSHES WEEK Aap 31,1061

a

ven th

oh

wi

there eval te & vest

or ee

Re

ry

Mie Tea power writs

ened U.S. che emer compara

mired in deep) franca

ace 1915, the ha eal

?ater of

they weet stay? in Sunre

brn's "Starr "its Weir ony

ght won

?market for US vendors in aso failing

market

ore thaw 88 han pos

ve maria plants fel and

Serco have been anathe! from U9

toufaurere nr tot otal

eC ENS areal the WS share af

1 pce nth period

2 30. OF

ters der at yea, Ga

laetorers got none, This ritalin

rant ix the absence of new orders

var the US. aapn Theolore Shen,

Constr vhespendent of Nuclear Bo

ip Stctems (or Westinghouse, bree

rsintumite the tchnologea eater

skip we sajoved ean ent come fom

eva four times se many mulear

ie thr Aum Industrial Forum

Ke pernapc inmialy, ost overeas

ts ae tingblt foreenNenarer

?desis, Frances Brame

me for amples in 4 Westinghouse

er agreements that are beng awed

Ino eer plant orders by Japa a

{hand Toshiba The later to compa

me with Tokyo Electric Porer

?ve wther Japanese ution

furre working of GF design of an

fvanen! tg water arte at wl



iter ?ur operat tan tarrem model

Rod thy ave making such progress tht

shyt ae imo eal ote ah

wt Too an et

A tneing hurda. Moreover, fre

feveraments olen snderere nosear

Tactrers ave atx exyort ita on ord

or under constesetion, In Framatome's

Sate of two 00-Mw reartors to South

ores last November, for example, Bast

a jv du Commerce Extreer

fered to france 9 of their cost an

Irtres rate of ony 10%. In the U.S,

yeast ?the Exqor-mgort Bank

froin sale and would darge 108%

scar units tn which

2 are now ding in

?avant sstretrateeiferential be

tween the U8 and French tra om 8

16 billion loan could eas top \$500

ilion over the feof the projec Sas

Stare ?Ail manafecsorers etn om

tuclear powerplants that wore, nthe

---Page Break---

?hong we ofa

nny daring ? 8 ple! ad

?omic inte nx only rs

Bont as wel Tawar the ns

Isler Weettatbouse wen suet tit

France may ste an opportu?

Joc out mach of lobe! emit

Ae nominate? the "power past)

Theses where 8 par poe

sie may be part ols pha of oer

?sports Franee non prepare foe

?ode up to 005 fancy to ws

buyers And teapite Seat Prclent

Frangjie Mert wow ttn i

Frances smbities temestie matic

Drosram, Preach forsyn trae oils

faye that Sr wil Pate nrc te

SR hatte? for espore ake oe,

Mitverrand has lee! te drble French

{84 te developing ceunsis from 0ST

10 07K ef hs wovernments rece

?gal pet 8

ils. US. ower plant

sendore wait De simitar ture

frum the (eS Bspurtmport Rank

the form of aatnart hate fy ?he

fre tery unites te get Aw! Sh

?rans thatthe sivdl of 8. mass

ppser plant makers may depend Bly

Fram somewhere oie peraye frm an

Imvrnattona! agreement suth a the we

?rd worked ot avenly letweve she

B'S, France. Hetain ana We Germ

2 that Tots intern ale on sia

expat loans to 12%

Geamaing roar. Bs 5 will no ve

te domertie Taser fundamental

long-term problems at home. And th

fd itis obvi hard m Washing

fet changes in prow eens rales

Baricelarly comand uncesray, i

latiewe, ie a provi of the Asaie

?The last four bullders

?may have to quit a business

{that ls getting no orders

Baer Ac at reaies detaed

how many ies desig Pas

teen Used plus 2 oworsep review for

?onstruction permit and an opersting

Ficanse This time-consuming process

means it takes about 12 years fora new

?clear plant ta be pt inte omnia

?peratin in the US dole the i

{Wok a decade go and doable the Um

?ow takes abroad

oe industry as Jong argued hat

ened lenge Rea

however, Morne Uaal (D:Are}}

chairman of the Hoase Intron Commit

tee tad he bow Biever a high doprae

feo

Tamms

Ligaing

is ti wine

De hs

?Sere each

lion ee 9

feeds of ealowe

Tass, isis

aun maces

no be 1 ng in

ovstory Com

eon, ha

stim of Teenaing

vant, oe

+ fexial

regres i Met

Sede by

ter abe plac,

Sa tere ol Be

v1 \$d lant

ets ane any creme

Was tom oes

cousiraetion and

rders onl sme

?oxasing on

ovate veel or

?ovone ar chat

veld em calle

crn a muse

: Tonia pro

ven) baaess

. nt to Sena

2A Maite



tht hei more

I apyen when

hak ot waned

1: susp demand

produces and be

. ?estnghucas wl event

crear plant business as

2 ?or nner bee

a ny parts sap

sot dene he

vs hat happen,

oe on may Be

: tee Sappar any

c verde ae fading

See toni

? So thezert two years,

guid naverteaty

Hes Age 3h et a

---Page Break---

esorero informa

Por ating

---Page Break---

---Page Break---

8 tt

---Page Break---

fo. ¥iLLian Mieanda Muctn

Direetoc Ejucutive MISSA, En OO. Ae

Aweoridad Je las Fuentes

Eluviates de Puerte Rico

G2 PLO. Box 1267

Juan, Puceta Rico 00936

stinado licenciado Miranda Narn:

Fa su pasada Asamblea Ordinaria,

el Colegio de Ingenieros, Arquitectos

de Puerto Rico y el Colegio de

Estudios de Ingeniería y Arquitectura

de Puerto Rico, en su sesión del día

de agosto de 1975, aprobó la

resolución de la siguiente tenor:

en agosto de 1975,

los señores

Comisión Especial para

Esta Comisión ha determinado que necesita obtener

cierta información y datos que le permitan evaluar

este difícil problema. Es, por lo tanto, que

Respetuosamente solicitamos de su agencia que

@feetGe una presentación sobre estos aspectos a

esta Comisión sistematizada a las 10 horas

Como el tiempo otorgado por la Asesoría

para rendir un informe sobre este procedimiento es limitado

documentamos que fue lo más pronto posible

Sugerimos cualquier lunes, martes o miércoles de

la noche durante el mes de noviembre. Entre la

Un Turno que nos necesitaríamos de su Agencia en

entonces la presentación estaría en las siguientes

Capacidad generatriz a estar en operacisa  
para el año 1985.

Tipo y capacidad de cada central generates  
a instalarse durante esos años.

---Page Break---

Fado. MATL ioe

10.

uw

waste tive de ty 75.

Etowentes

icos que indicaron que ta

central generatriz a ponerse en operseion  
en el aio 1985 v ser una central  
nuclear de upreciasteschte \$50 Hite en pre  
ferencia a una coutral f6sil de Ta miss

eapacl:

Resunen de costos de opertei3n por  
en central nuclear y en central {osil.

Tupacto en tarifus de energia eléctrica  
cuando iniciéc operaciones la primera central  
nuclear; segunda; tercera, cuarta, etc.

Organ

gramas actualizados de personal cuya  
© base de operacidn radicarad o radica  
en la central generatriz (nuclear y 0si}).

Costo de entrenasiento de personal para  
central nuctear y para central sil.

Lugares que estan sicndo considerados pera  
centrates yeneratrices adicionales, tanto  
nucleares cono {siles (desde 1976?en adelante).



Cantidad de terreno a utilizarse en cada caso

(estimada sino hay actual). Cantidad

estimada de agua a utilizarse en cada caso

Confiabilidad del sistema eléctrico de Puerto

Rico cuando se instale 1a planta central

nuclear; 1a segunda; 1a tercera; 12 cuarta

1a quinta. Comparación si estas unidades

fueran fósiles en vez de nucleares.

Transferencia hecha por 1a Autoridad de las Fuentes

Fluviales en la Central Nuclear BONUS

Puerto Rico; en la desmantelada Central Nuclear

de Aguirre, Puerto Rico.

én

Pérdidas económicas reflejadas en Libros  
atribuibles a inversiones y gastos relacio-  
nados con la Central Nuclear BONUS; con 1a  
parte de los gastos de la Central Nuclear  
de Río Rico.

proyectada y  
de Aguirre, Pui

---Page Break---

1975

Got gavin che

Viva Sirsade turin

encidn que sabecos

ug anticipadas por 1a at  
rte asunto.

Grac

te darle a este inport

hebr

Aten

nev

ce: Dr. Juan A. Bonnet

---Page Break---

ster FAA Bh yg tte

De tedein in Sets

SOM ere

2 de julio de 1976

A 1 Ing. José Francisco Quifones, Presidente

Colegio de tnjonteros, Arauiter tos y Age lowscoes

sy

De Ing, Rafael cruz Péver¥ Peesicunte

Comisión Investigadora: Problemas de Plantas y animales.

Asunto : Comisión Problemas de Plantas y animales

as fectores

En base a la Resolución Nim. A-004 de Ta Assubtea Arwel de nuestra Colegio de 1975, nevbrd usted una Comisicn Inv-stig-dora corpuesta de Tos siguientes miccbros:

Ing. Rafael Cruz Pérez, Presidente

Agrim, Juan Arvelo Diaz

Arq. Fernindo Irizarry Rodriguez

+ Ing. José E, Antowatte? Cruz

Ing. Alfredo Herez Gonz itez

Ing. Juan G. Muriel Figueras

Ing. José & Deliz Alvaree

Ing. Nanuet Farquez Rivers

Ing. Guillermo Pérez Martínez

: 10. Ing: Carlos Rad Guerra

Esta Comisión celebró un sinnúmero de reuniones con el

propósito de cumplir con el requerimiento de la Resolución inclu

° yenda, una vista pública para los miembros de este Colegio y varias

reuniones con agencias y personas instruidas en este campo.

g La vista pública, fue celebrada el sábado 18 de octubre de

5-76 1375) en el Colegio de Ingenieros, Arquitectos y Agrónomos donde

depusieron las siguientes personas

Ing. Juan José Sánchez

Arq: Gonzalo Fernés

Dr. Donald Sesser

Or. Juan A. Bonnet

Luego de encontrarnos en etapa avanzada, 1a Autoridad de

Jes Fuentes Fluviales determiné @ hizo saber pibicemente 1a sus- 1

sign de gus actividades en el cerpo de 12 anargia nuclear por

tiespo indefinido. La futorided de las Fuentes Fluviales indicé W

como razón básica para esta proposición, que Puerto Rico no necesita

---Page Break---

\_ Frovciisee Quifenas

a2 2 te julio ?a 1976

una planta nuclear por los próximos diez años (1985); y que el alto costo del proyecto requeriría unos financiamientos demasiado altos para las circunstancias del momento actual y de Puerto Rico.

Se indicó además, que la Autoridad continuará explorando costos alternos que permitan proporcionar energía eléctrica que reduzca los costos y bajos en las tarifas de energía. Dada esta situación nuestra Comisión determinó el no continuar con los trabajos sobre plantas nucleares.

Aun así y ya que a través de las reuniones, vistas y entrevistas continuamos con algunos datos sobre la problemática energética

si se considera pertinente el investigar la situación energética en Puerto Rico más a fondo y el impacto que esta situación pudiera tener en nuestro Colegio.

De nuestras investigaciones surgen varios hechos sumamente



reniticos, los cuales pueden tener un impacto decisivo sobre el futuro económico, político y social de nuestra Isla. Puerto Rico depende casi en un 100% de energía producida por combustible fósil para satisfacer sus necesidades. Este combustible fósil proviene en su totalidad de fuera de Puerto Rico, de unos países que cuentan con la mayor parte de las reservas mundiales de este producto. Se conocen unas reservas de combustibles fósiles en el unio que de continuarse la utilización de la razón actual consumirían todo este petróleo en el plazo de una generación.

a

Al presente no existe una alternativa tecnológica que por sí sola pueda sustituir ni siquiera una parte significativa del

Petróleo que es utilizado como combustible. La energía nuclear  
aún tiene un vacío en tecnología lo cual no ha permitido que  
sea el sustituto del petróleo. Sin embargo, creemos que es  
totalmente factible, el que se pueda lograr en un futuro no lejano  
el desarrollo de energía nuclear limpia, segura y eficiente, y por  
tanto debemos fomentar un programa de planificación energética  
tendiente hacia el desarrollo futuro de esta fuente de energía.

Luego de considerar las principales alternativas para uso  
de energía, podemos concluir que en base a la tecnología presente,  
la producción de energía eléctrica en cantidades significativas  
económicamente factibles por medio de fuentes tales como la energía  
solar y la fusión nuclear se encuentran de veinte a treinta años en  
el futuro.

Si consideramos que debido al valor finito de las reservas  
de petróleo en el mundo, el precio de este continuará incrementán-  
dose, y ante los conocimientos y desarrollos tecnológicos actuales,

yde'no haber un hallazgo inesperado nos queda cono Enica alterna.

tiva realista y conercialmente viable de aqui al 1995 el utilizar 1

Ja cnergfa nuclear, 0 de otro modo el reducir considerablenente a

q

je

---Page Break---

22 Ate julio vo 1976

tro standard de vida prossnte. £1 conjunto de estos prusle :s

12 encr ci jaa en dorde se cuenta con nay

os hibrar y escribir cientos de pgiras

aquel

Sin exlargo, enten-

?85 que uno de Tos factores principales para la crisis gic afron-

sas e8 que se ha dicho mucho y se ha hecho poco para resolver  
wstros problemas.

Rocossontavas que el Colegio de Ingunivros, Arquitectos y  
ares ade? fs de fovcntar los investigae fones on Aisarroy  
tora una participectiin activa en la persccusicn ge solucicnss y la  
acci3n positiva de nuestros colesiadss en esta direeci3n. Lar  
Aaci3n da esta Conisi3n es 1a siguiente

Que el Colegio de Ingevieros, Arquitectos y Agr ixcasores  
ree una Conisign pervanente y ascgure Tos fondas necesarios que

porwitan Tas sigutentes tarsis:

1. Que se inicien las actividades indicadas en los

?Por Tentos" Ly 3 de 1a Resolucidn A-004 del 1975, que Teen cow

(1) "Que et Colegio de Ingenieros, Ariuitectos y

Agrinenseres de Pucrto Rico, establezca un

prograra de orienteci6n al pueblo sobre 1a

alternativa del uso de la energia nuclear

como Ta fuente de energfa

(3) Que el C.1.A.A. comparezca a través de su

Presidente ode los representantes autor izados

por el misno, a tedes las Vistes Pablicas rela~

Cionedas con?estos proyectos de Contrales

lucleares para Pucrto Rico a presentar a

nobre det Colegio el contenido de esta

Resolución.\*

2. La revisión de los códigos de construcción, para que reflejen medidas de conservación de energía en las obras que se construyan en Puerto Rico.

3. El fomento a través de instituciones privadas y públicas o de ser necesario el propio Colegio de la Investigación de fuentes alternas de energía, tales como sol, áreas, viento, etc

4. El ofrecimiento de cursos cortos y consecutivos a colegiados en la práctica de la profesión sobre las medidas de conservación de energía, tecnología desarrollada y posibilidades de investigación.

---Page Break---

arse

e

?

aus 1

as no ©

T yi la?participación ¢

?1

---Page Break---

10.

a.

1.



1B.

Brno.

Ay

ic Posse, An economic and social analysis; a study fn industrial  
tien and'regional ecoraaic develo; ?ont; W. Isard, U. whitney,  
N.Y., Bulliston, 1952

Bosiets O. J. The EF  
witey, 1973)

nents of Nuclear Power, N.Y.

Conference on tuclear Energy, Public Policy and the Law, flew York  
Unterrstty, 1963. Editor ?. a)

1. Atomic Power- Law &  
essays, lectures,

station - U.S. Address

Ss

2. Atomic Power Industry = U.S. - Addressis, essays,  
Lectures.

Dugger, Gordon L., editor; Proceedings Third workshop on Ocean.  
Thorsai Energy Conversion, Heunston, Texas, May 1975,

Quyger, Gordon L.; U. S. Congress Vol. 21.-w0.38 (9/22/75)

A hearing on the drouw's Ferry Nuclear Plant Fire by the Joint

Committee on Atomic Energy.

Book of the atomic energy industry, Editor S. Jefferson,

N.Y. Pitman Pub. Cor. 1958

Hogerton, John. The Atomic Energy Handbook. N. Y.

Reinhold Pub. Corp. 1963

International Conference on the Peaceful Use of Atomic Energy

1st Geneva Congress, 1955.

International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic

Energy, 3rd. Geneva, 1964

International Symposium on the Peaceful Applications of Nuclear Energy.

Sth. Valparaiso, Chile, 1964

Washington, Pan American Union, 1965

Jaro fsyda. Energfa Kuclear y derecho: Simposio Inter-americo

U.P. R. 1966

Journal of Nuclear Energy ~ Revista - Pergamon Press

August 1959 - June 1959

Fayne A. The prospects for nuclear Energy in P. R.,

Washington National Planning Association, 1958 ot

---Page Break---

6.

6

wv

1B.

19.

2.

23.

25,

26.

a.

28.

bgeat ta) (2)

Ruclew Enceay Centars, U, S, taticnal Lateratory,

Rak Ridce, Tenn. fuclear Encrsy Centers: Irdusteial

at agroindustrial couplexes; Ork Riage Tet fonal Laborator

gewabot by Union Carbide for tha U. 8. Ate ie Energy Ce

tea,

ar in Industry, 1995, N.Y, Pitsan Pub, Corp.

clsar Safety ~ Sept, 59 Mash! U.S. fo.crnnet Pri

Gfrice, Intexes: ?Yo 1-3, 1999"= duno 1952

ng

1. Muctesr e:

2) Radioactivity ~ surety»

ensures = ported

ares ~ period

ert, B.C. Atomic Energy Application, with reference to  
developed countries = a preliminary survey. Baltimore,  
John Hopkins Press, 1987

Directorate for Economic, Cooperation and Development. European

Atomic Energy Agency. Nuclear Legislation:

An analytical study, Organization and general regime  
governing nuclear activities (Paris) O.E.C.D. 1959

Reactor Fuel Processing 1968 - Atomic Energy Co: attention

Roczen, A. Introduction to Nuclear Power Costs  
N. Y., Sisson ~ Brardcan, Publisher Cor,

1959

Shilling, C. WM. (editor) Atomic Energy Encyclopaedia in the  
Life Sciences. Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders, Co., 1964.

Shutey S. H. (editor) (Traducción al español) Plan Economics  
of Atomic Power, an exploratory study under direction of S. H.  
Sciurr & J. Herschak Cowles Commission for Research in  
Economics. Princeton University Press, 1950

Teitelium, P. O. Nuclear energy and the U.S. fuel economy,  
1955 - 1960. Washington National Planning Association, 1958.

1. Products and Uses of Nuclear Energy



U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Atomic energy facts; a summary,  
1957. U. S. Government Printing Office

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Report 23rd. - 1958. U. S.  
Government Printing Office

U.S. P. R. Nuclear Center, Haysquez - Sinopsis sobre Energia  
nuclear y el Desarrollo de L. A, 30-31- de octubre de 1967.  
Editorial U.P. R. 1969.

---Page Break---

2 (Biteg ffa) (3)

Costas y Disjuntividad de la Energia

Cory Penny 19

Location Approval, Draft Review: ental

15, June 25, 1973

Taosee Statenant,

BA

wa, oç Shy Atowic Power Dims Tolay; Business

+ Spy 9S Nov TT 97S,

. ee <i AIChe, Position pacer on the need for,

ait Gafety Of thictain Pause, Dos bor 19/5

clan, flectricity Coreration for

19/5, Wuclisnies Yook, 1978

Reactor Safety Study. An assessment of Accident Risks in U. S. Commercial Nuclear Power Plants, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, August 1974

A Report

on

the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Fire by the Joint Committee

on Energy. U.S. Congress, Vol. 21 - to. 38, (9/22/75).

---Page Break---

ay", i ily ffi |

Si

ie ial

gid a 1

---Page Break---

---Page Break---