

CEER-X-140

EVALUATION SURVEY OF CULEBRA PROJECT

Ramos-Bellido

- cartes 6

& tg

. é CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

5, é

---Page Break---

EVALUATION SURVEY OF CULEBRA PROJECT®

Carlos G. Ramos-Bellido

Febrero 1982

?Support for this project was obtained from NSF Grant,

---Page Break---

1. Introduction

Over a seven month period, the Center for Energy and Environment Research and the Culebra Energy Committee sponsored five workshops as part of the Culebra Project funded by the National Science Foundation. The purpose of these activities was to provide community residents, scientists, and policy makers with the opportunity of exchanging information about the energy crisis and alternate energy strategies.

During November 1961, the evaluation phase of the Project was carried out. The objectives of the evaluation were to document the

?impac

Of the workshops on residents of Culebra and to provide basic

data to aid the Culebra Energy Committee in planning efforts to
?implement community based alternate energy projects. The following
report contains the results of the evaluation performed.

---Page Break---

Mn.

METHODOLOGY

The evaluation process consists of the following tasks: select-

fon of the sample to be interviewed, design and testing of the eva-
uation instrument recruitmen: and training of interviewers, and im-
plementation of the interview schedule.

The sample of residents to be interviewed was drawn from the 1980
Electoral Lists for the Municipality of Culebra. The Electoral Lists
were selected because they provided the most recent and accessible
data, listing of household units including nanes, age, and sex of the
voter. From these lists, 150 names were randonly selected with re-
Present approximately 13% of Culebra's total population. With the

?information on residence and kinship patterns, it was possible to screen each potential participant assuring that only one person per household was selected. The final sample represents 150 persons residing in 150 different households which constitute 50% of the total number of residential units on Culebra.

The evaluation questionnaire was designed to elicit data in the following areas:

1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the interviewees:
2. Information about and participation in the Project's workshops
3. Attitudes towards and perception of the energy problems of Culebra
4. Knowledge and use of energy conservation measures;
5. Descriptive features of the interviewees household pertinent to energy consumption.

The Project's staff met on two occasions to revise the question-

naire which was then submitted to the Culebra Energy Committee for

---Page Break---

un.

their comments and suggestions. The instrument was further revised and pre-tested during the training session of the interviewers.

The interviewers were recruited by means of an advertisement distributed at the following locations: the High School, the Multi Service Community Center, the Mayor's office, and local business. Although the advertisement specified that applicants should have previous interviewing experience, only eight out of eleven had this experience. On November 2, 1961, the eleven interviewers met for a training session which included the following objectives: a review of the questionnaire to acquaint the interviewers with the content and type of responses solicited; and a series of mock-interviews to prepare the interviewers for dealing with a variety of anticipated situations and responses.

The interview schedule consisted of two days (Nov. 14-15, 1961). Each interviewee was assigned 14-15 questionnaires and was provided with a list of names and addresses from the s:

ple selected. On-site

Supervision was provided throughout the interview process. Those that could not be completed in the two-day schedule (15 in total) were administered during the following week. The response rate of the survey

was 100% participation,

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE

The sample surveyed is of 150 persons distributed between 84 men

(56% of the sample) and 66 women (44%). The range in ages of the

sample is from 19 to 71 years of age. The age breakdown is as fol-

---Page Break---

19-29 years -- 30 persons, for 20% of the sample;

30-39 years -- 55 persons for 37% of the samples

40-49 years -- 26 persons for 16% of the samples

50-59 years -- 31 persons for 21% of the samples

60 and over = 10 persons for 6% of the sample.

The sample includes persons with a wide range of educations expe-

riences ranging between 4% of the sample having no formal education;

45% having from 1 to 8 years of schooling; 36% of the sample report-

ed from 9 to 12 years of schooling; and 16% of the sample university

?education.

In terms of the family structure of the sample households, the average number of persons per household is four with a range from one Person to both spouses and two children between the ages of one to ten years of age.

?The economic characteristics of the sample reveals a total of 119 employed persons (80%); 26 unemployed persons (17%); and § retired Persons (3£). The relatively high rate of reported unemployment may be explained by the fact that housewives (10 persons) identified themselves as unemployed. The employed sector of the sample works principally at the Travenol plant (60 persons); for the municipal government (17 persons); and for the state and federal governments (18 persons).

Reported income varies from \$120 to \$2,000 per month with the average family income at approximately \$500 per month. AS reported by the interviewees, 38 of the 150 persons (25x) receive some form of Government aid to supplement their income. The main types of government aid received are food stamps and social security benefits.

---Page Break---

Ww

In summary, the sample is predominately young (Less than 39 years of age) married, and in their most productive and reproductive period.

DESCRIPTION OF RESIDENCE UNIT

The information on the residential units includes the type of construction, size, possession of energy consuming and producing devices, and cost of energy consumption

The majority of the sample, 64 persons (42%) reside in concrete structures, 42 persons (28%) live in wooden structures, and 41 persons (27%) live in combined wooden and concrete structures. Three Persons in the sample live in trailers. The majority of the houses (58%) have either zinc or metal roofs; 41% of the houses have cement roofs; and 5% of the houses have wooden roofs. The size of the houses range from one to six rooms with the average dwelling having three

With the exception of one person, none of the residential units reported owning energy producing devices. Concerning energy consuming devices, the interviewees reported the following:

1) electric refrigerator = 145 persons (972)

2) television 18) persons (345)

3) gas stove ... : (372)

8) radio «2... Be: (ssh

5) tron 135" (805)

6) fans (average of one fan ?per house-

hotd). saves : " (ars)

7) washing machine .. * (798)

8) record player " (632)

9) toaster . " (46x)

10) water hea} : ? (9%)

1 air ?conditioners... ?aig

12) electric stove . ?Gx

13) clothes dryer « (2

---Page Break---

6

The appliances most often found in the sample's homes are electric refrigerators, gas stove, irons, televisions, radios, washing machines, and fans.

Car ownership in the sample is high with 92 persons (615) owning cars. The average number of cars is one per household.

The average monthly cost for electricity was estimated to be \$12; the cost of gasoline was calculated at \$50 per month; and \$43 Per month* for propane gas. Of the sample, 83 persons (55%) reported that they were receiving the government subsidy for Light, and 17 persons (11%) did not know if they did or did not receive said subsidy. The interviewees perceived the subsidy-granting institutions as follows:

67 persons (45%) did not know who was the granting institution;

39 persons (25%) informed that the Puerto Rican government was responsible;

27 persons (18%) informed that the federal government granted the subsidies;

12 persons (182) informed that it was the Electric Power

Author? tys

5 persons (3%) informed that it was the Municipality of Culebra

V. WORKSHOP PARTICIPATION

The first task in the evaluation of the Project's impact is the

determination of the effectiveness of the publicity used. Three strategies were devised to disseminate information about the Project and workshops: letters sent to box-holder at the Culebra Post Office, radio program and spots, and direct contact with residents in the community.

THIS Figure TS Suspect as an estimated of monthly costs since a tank of

25 costs about \$43 and for most persons a tank Should last from two to three months.

---Page Break---

7

that 109 of the 150 persons interviewed (73%) reported that they

knew of the alternate-energy workshops being celebrated in Culebra,
Those persons who were informed of the workshops indicated that their
information sources were as follows: 65 persons received a letter;
27 persons heard the announcement on the radio, and 27 persons were
Personally contacted,

As regards attendance at the workshops, it was found that 17
Persons attended an average of two workshops. The workshops attract=
© 165 of the informed sector of the sample. This proportion repre=
sent, however, only 11% of the total sample.

Among the informed portion of the sample, 56 persons (512) knew
Of other persons who had attended the workshops, while only 39% had
reported having received comments about the workshops from those that
attended. The comments reported were overwhelmingly positive with only
one negative response. The comments were directed towards the purpose
and content of the workshops. Of the informed sector, 27% reported
having personally urged other persons to attend the workshops and ap=
Proximately the same percentage (30%) spoke to other persons about
the workshops. The comments made to other persons dealt essentially
with information about the content of the workshops, the need for in=
creased participation and the benefits to the community from such

Projects. All of the comments were positive with the exception of one

son who perceived little hope for Puerto Rico's energy situation

Concerning the 17 persons that attended one or more workshops,

the questionnaire revealed that the first workshop stimulated 15 of

them to attend another workshop, and that these same 15 persons perceived

having obtained new or additional information about the energy

---Page Break---

crisis and alternate energy sources. The newly acquired information

was in the areas of:

1. the energy crisis in general

2. specific alternate energy sources and devices, such as

Windmills, solar heaters, and solar desalination process;

3. conservation measures to reduce energy consumption and costs.

When asked if this new information has in some way been used, only

one person answered that he had visited a plant that manufactures

Windmills and had solicited a wind-measuring device.

Those that attended the workshops reported that the most important topics discussed were: solar energy, windmills, cost and energy conservation. This same group expressed the concern that certain topics seen by them as important were not adequately dealt with in the workshops. These topics were the cost and financing of alternate energy devices and alternate energy sources most appropriate for Culebra. It should be noted that four of the 17 persons that attended the workshops understood that the sessions had included all of these topics and that all were important and relevant.

Those persons that did not attend any of the workshops gave the following reasons for not attending

1. work related situations, including conflicts between the workshop calendar and employment, and fatigue after work,
2. other commitments such as religious meetings, child care and undefined previous engagements;

lack of interests

ignorance; and

lack of information including not having received a letter of invitation or having received the information after the

date of the activity.

---Page Break---

Nonetheless, 132 persons (88%) of the total sample, expressed interest in attending the Project's next meeting with only 18 persons

(12%) expressing a lack of interest in attending.

VI. ENERGY AND CULEBRA

This section analyzes the interviewees perception of the energy Situation in Culebra; attitudes toward community-based action Programs and future experimental projects; and knowledge about alternate energy sources.

The general opinion of the sample is that Culebra has an energy Problems*. The three most important energy problems reported by island residents are: electricity was mentioned 69 times, gasoline received 55 mentions, and propane gas was mentioned 55 times. While not among the top three energy problems, it should be mentioned that water rated fourth being mentioned 32 times.

As regards electricity, it was found that 142 residents (95%) have electricity in their homes, while 8 persons do not. When asked

to rate the cost of electricity, 56 persons (372) perceive the price as very high; 29 persons feel that the price is high (191); 55 persons (364) rate the price as moderate; 5 persons (3%) indicate that the service is too priced. For the remaining 6 persons of the samples, the question did not apply since they do not have electricity in their homes.

When asked to list the problems electricity poses for them, 80 persons (53%) responded that electricity service causes them no real inconveniences or problems; 46 persons (31%) reported few problems caused by the electricity services; 13 persons (9%) reported exper-

imental problems; 6 persons (772) believe this to be the case while 23, aia

persons (23) do not agree.

---Page Break---

10

fencing many problems, and 11 persons did not express an opinion (73).

The problems faced by electricity users were stated as follows

1. problems of outages was mentioned 30 times;

2. damage to electrical appliances and food spoilage as a

Consequence of outages was mentioned 32 times;

3. fluctuating voltage was mentioned 10 times;

4, the cost was mentioned 6 times.

On the basis of cost of and problems with electricity, 59 persons (39%) evaluate electricity as a relatively minor problem; and 38 Persons (25%) do not consider electricity a problem. Of the sample 28 persons (198) consider electricity in Culebra as a serious problem with an additional 14 persons (10% rating it as a very serious problem. Eleven persons (7x) did not respond.

A similar evaluation was requested concerning gasoline and propane gas services. Gasoline is bought by 107 persons (712).

In terms of cost, 70 persons (47% of the total sample) feel that the price of gasoline is very high; 38 persons (15% evaluate the price as high and 21 persons (14%) found the price to be moderate. The remaining persons either had no opinion or did not know what the price of gasoline was like.

The obtaining or buying gasoline was not considered to be a Problem for 77 persons (38%); 45 persons (302) reported having experienced few inconveniences. For 15 persons (10%) buying gasoline poses

serious difficulties, while 33 persons (22%) had no opinion on the subject.

---Page Break---

The specific problems mentioned by the interviewees in obtaining gasoline are:

1. limited quantities and inferior quality of product (mentioned 33 times);
2. inefficient service and limited hours to buy (mentioned 27 times),
3. high cost (mentioned 3 times).

Based on the cost of and problems in buying gasoline, 25 persons (17%) rate gasoline as a very serious problem, 34 persons (23%) feel that gasoline is a serious problem; 46 persons (31%) receive it as a minor problem; and 32 persons do not perceive it as a problem (21). Thirteen persons interviewed expressed no opinion (9%)

As regards the consumption of propane gas, 124 persons (83%)

buy propane gas. The cost of this product is thought to be extremely

ly high by 118 persons (78%); 19 persons (12%) evaluate the price as high. Only 4 (3%) persons consider the price to be moderate and 11 Persons (79%) have no opinion. In like manner, 78 persons (52%) experience a great many problems in obtaining this product, while 33 Persons (22%) have few problems; 28 persons (19%) reported having no problems; and 11 persons (7%) did not express an opinion.

The 11st of problem areas mentioned by the interviewees as regards propane gas service are as follows

1. Inefficient service and erratic delivery was mentioned 58 times;
2. limited quantities available was mentioned 51 times;
3. high cost was mentioned 19 times:
4. one-man monopoly control of the product was mentioned 9 times.

Opinions regarding the costs and problems or inconveniences in

obtaining propane gas lead 55 persons (31%) to think that this product

---Page Break---

ra

constitutes a serious problem for the island and 12 persons (352) think that it is @ very serious one. Fourteen persons (143) think of the propane gas situation as not very serious while 9 persons (62) do not consider it to be a problem. Twelve persons (8) did not express an opinion.

To complete the findings as regards the sample's perception of Culebra's energy situation, the interviewees were asked to compare Culebra's energy needs and resources with those of Puerto Rico.

The responses reveal that 59 persons (39%) feel that Culebra's energy situation is 5 more serious than that of Puerto Rico; 34 persons (23%) evaluate the energy situation as comparable; and 37 persons (252) evaluate Culebra's situation to be less serious than that of Puerto Rico. Twelve persons did not respond.

Two relatively recent measures have been implemented to deal with Culebra's electricity problems: an underwater cable from Puerto Rico to Culebra and an experimental windmill. The interviewees were queried about their knowledge of these measures. It was found that 89 persons (555) understood the windmill to be an experimental project; 44 per-

sons (29%) stated that the device was installed to provide additional electricity for the island; 22 persons (152) did not know the windmill's purposes and one person informed that the windmill provides electrical energy to Puerto Rico. Consequently, 51 persons (61%) feel that the windmill has not helped the problem of electricity; and 26 persons (16%) do not know whether or not the windmill has been of any use. Another 19 persons (13%) feel that the windmill has had some utility and 14 persons (94) feel that it has helped to solve the energy problem.

---Page Break---

B

The opinion that the windmill

has not aided or has only partial-

ly resolved the electricity problem is based on the following reasons.

1. the project is experimental in nature and has yet to solve certain technical problems

2. the windmill is defective and simply does not function
3. the device does not generate sufficient electrical energy for the island's needs.

The sample's evaluation of the underwater cable is significantly different. In this case, 125 persons (83%) expressed the opinion that the cable has definitely helped resolve the island's electricity problems. Only 22 persons (15%) consider the cable as a partial solution. Of the total sample, 4 persons did not respond.

This evaluation is based on the following considerations:

1. the cable has increased the amount of electricity available to the island's residents;
2. the voltage is more stable and less erratic;
3. there has been a decrease in the number of outages; and
4. an increase in electricity has attracted new industries to the island

Given the fact that the Culebra Project is a community-based endeavor, it was deemed pertinent to obtain information about the interviewee's knowledge and evaluation of the Project and other similar endeavors. The results revealed that 84 interviewees (62%)

were familiar with the community action groups in Culebra. The remaining 63 persons (42%) were not aware of the existence of such groups. Of the portion of the sample that was not aware of community-action groups, the reasons given as to why they thought no groups were working on Culebra's problems were: resident's apathy, ignorance

---Page Break---

Of the community's problems; partisan divisions; and lack of support from outside the community.

Among those persons familiar with community action groups, the groups most frequently mentioned were:

Comité Pro Rescate was mentioned 26 times;

Comité Pro-Ferry was mentioned 14 times;

Comité Pro-Vivienda was mentioned 12 times;

4. and Culebrense. Unidos was mentioned 8 times.

The work of all groups was evaluated as positive.

Concerning the Culebra Energy Committee, it was found that 103

interviewees (69%) did not know of the Committee's existence. The informed sector of the sample understood that the Committee's principal goals are:

1. to stimulate citizen participation to find solution to the island's energy problems ;

{0 provide information about conservation to reduce energy costs,

It should be indicated, however, that of the 47 persons that had heard about the Committee, 25 were not familiar with its goals.

As a final note, the majority of the sample (81 persons for 54z) expressed the opinion that the government is the most appropriate agent to initiate action to alleviate Culebra's energy problems. To a lesser degree, 53 persons (35%) felt that the appropriate agent is the Culebra community, and seven persons (St) assign the responsibility to selected individuals. Sixteen persons (112) proposed a combination of the previously mentioned alternatives.

The interviewees were asked their opinion as to whether or not they favored experimental solar and wind energy projects for Culebra. The

majority (117 persons for 285) answered affirmatively. In addition

---Page Break---

6

14 persons (9) were indifferent to the proposal. The explanation behind these responses are summarized as follows:

1. experiments could reduce energy costs to the individual and communities

2, available and abundant resources (sun and wind)

Should be used to produce energy;

3. experiments would increase Culebra's energy supply:

4. wind¹¹ experiment is seen as a failure and; therefore more experimental projects are not needed.

Nonetheless, should these projects be in the offing, the residents make the following choices as to who should be responsible for initiating them:

+ Electric Power Authority was mentioned 4 times;

Puerto Rican government was mentioned 32 times:

Culebra Energy Committee was mentioned 28 times

Culebra municipal government was mentioned 16 times;

Puerto Rican legislature was mentioned 7 times

Combination of above alternatives was mentioned 11 times;

The alternative "do not know" was mentioned 19 times.

The sample's opinions as to the principal funding sources for these projects were as follows:

Federal government was mentioned 66 persons (48%);

Puerto Rican government was mentioned by 41 persons (27%);

Electric Power Authority was mentioned 17 times (11%);

Culebra municipal government was mentioned 5 times (3%);

Combination of above alternatives was mentioned 5 times (3%);

Did not know was mentioned 14 times (9%).

Turning our attention to information levels about specific energy

Sources to produce electricity, we find that the three most commonly known resources are oil, wind energy, and coal. It should be made clear that this information refers to knowing that such resources

exist but not how they can be used to produce electricity (See Table 1)

FALEVUT OUY fq POPTAOSE vOTAREIOJUT TRATUTE Uo Posey SeRNETISD BATI~IUO, axe
soxni;s

PTH OF PELOAUOD AIT cnomnoE APzaua VTsTORME nOY noUY 104 OP 40 MOI EI MOLE ve

?onpord oF porn seainos ARxeu9 9TJTOMIE UoTIN PAPON You eAKY ZO CAME NYY CUORLOS

5

6 a x9 me SenGLIVE HvIOS

06 ve 399 we AONE OTNVEDO-THMIETHS

Kb Ea 359 Ea svo-ore

6 * 159 Ea SsMi-OTe

56 Ea sn 5 DG. OTBIOeTE-OUGIH

06 Yor stm 6s some wveTonK

x06 vo 02 08 00

you ot wt 68 XONENE GxIH

yor oa sot 206 10

pare Riad ssounos Abasu

GRKHOANT-KONF ? gwOSREA COUNT

wet # maMt09

camuoanT-now %

+1 # sun109

SHOWNOS XO INORY STEANT NOLLVAYOSNT ¢1 STEVE

SHOswE CAMUOSNT

---Page Break---

uv

This same limitation is applicable to knowledge as regards solar heaters. In this case, 127 interviewees (858) have heard the term but only 50 persons (39%) could explain how the solar heaters function

The most cited energy sources thought as viable to resolve Cuba's energy problems are as follows

wind energy was mentioned 105 times;

Oil was mentioned 75 times;

coal was mentioned 41 times;

solar energy was mentioned 30 times;

thermal oceanic energy was mentioned 25 times;

solar batteries were mentioned 24 times;

and bio-gas was mentioned 9 times.

It is interesting to note, that 133 persons (892) expressed

interest

?in additional information about energy sources. More specifically,
the most cited energy sources about which additional information was
solicited are:

1, wind energy mentioned 68 times;

thermal oceanic energy mentioned 41 times;

solar energy mentioned 39 times;

solar batteries mentioned 28 times;

coal mentioned 25 times;

biomass mentioned 20 times

of] mentioned 19 times;

nuclear energy mentioned 10 times.

VI. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY

This section of the questionnaire dealt with energy conservation

?in terms of whether or not the interviewees felt that energy should

be saved; what specific measures if any the sample was implementing;

---Page Break---

and the estimated economic benefits derived from such measures.

Furthermore, data was obtained regarding energy saving measures known to the interviewees and the sample's interest in acquiring Additional information as regards energy conservation measures.

OF the 150 persons interviewed, 129 reported (86%) the opinion that a need existed to foment conservation of energy in Culebra.

Only 14 persons (9%) do not perceive the need to save energy: and 7 persons (5%) did not have an opinion on the matter. Furthermore, 121 persons (81%) have adopted energy-saving measures; 24 persons (16%) did not report having taken any measures; and 8 persons (5%) do not know if they have or have not implemented such measures.

The conservation measures taken by Culebrans to save electricity are:

1. Eliminate or reduce wattage of light bulbs;
2. reduce use of certain electrical appliances such as air conditioner, freezers, water heater, and ice-makers;

3. the practice of disconnecting or substituting certain appliances.

These measures are felt to represent an average reduction of \$10.00

In the monthly light bill,

AS regards the conservation of gasoline, the interviewees reported using their cars less, walking, use of small vehicles such as Japanese cars, motorcycles and bicycles. These measures represent an average saving of \$10.00 a month. In addition, residents reported limiting their cooking to one meal 2 days, using wood or charcoal instead of a stove, restricting the use of the oven as the principal techniques used to save propane gas. These measures represent a \$10.00

per month cost reduction. It should be noted that between 3 and St

---Page Break---

vin

19

Of the persons using energy saving measures have no idea how much they save or fee? that they do not reduce their energy bills.

Approximately, 30 persons (202) know of other energy-saving measures, but do not use them. These include: use of public transportation, windmills, solar heater, use of charcoal and wood. Such measures are not being used because the residents report that the service does not exist, inconveniences, lack of information; and cost of these measures.

It is important to note that 137 persons (91%) of the interviewees would be interested in additional information about energy-

Saving measures, and 13 persons (9%) are not interested

PROFILE OF THE WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS

As indicated previously, 17 persons (11%) of the total sample attended the five alternate energy workshops. On the whole this Group exhibits the same characteristics as the total sample. However, it is important to indicate those characteristics that significantly differentiate the workshop participants from the sample interviewed who do not attend the workshops.

While age distribution, marital status, and family size are

Similar in both groups, it is found that the workshop participants were more frequently male (12 participants) with a higher level of education and income than those who did not attend the workshops.

The average educational level of the total sample is from one to

four years of high school trainings whereas among the workshop participants, eight persons received a high school diploma, seven per-

---Page Break---

20

sons have three or more years of university educations and two Persons have completed elementary school.

AS regards the average income, the workshop participants reported an average of \$850 per month as compared to \$600 reported income for the total sample. All of the workshop participants are Presently employed with the exception of the persons who are retired. Among the workshop participants only two persons informed that they are receiving food stamps.

In terms of the residential unit, the majority of workshop participants live in concrete and wooden structures with zinc or metal roofs. More specifically, six persons live in concrete structures: eight persons reside in wooden and concrete structures; and two persons described their residence as of wooden construction. One of the workshop participants live in a trailer. In addition, ten of the workshop participant's houses has @ zinc or metal roof, six houses have concrete roofs and only one house has a wooden roof.

The workshop participant's residences have the same number and types of energy-consuming appliances as those of the total sample and Giffen only in that most of the participants household reported two fans instead of one fan. (One fan was the average number of fan reported for the total sample interviewed).

The workshop participants estimate the monthly cost for electricity to be \$40 in comparison with \$12 for the total sample. There was evident a \$10 per month difference between the workshop participant's monthly gasoline bill (\$60) and that of the total sample (\$50). Ten of the 17 workshop participants, five persons identified the subsidy-granting institution to be the federal government and four persons understood that the insular government finances the subsidy.

---Page Break---

a

Comparing the general perception of the workshop participants with that of the total sample concerning Culebra's energy crisis, no significant discrepancies were noted. Both groups agree that an energy problem exists. The two groups did, however, disagree in the ranking of the dimensions of the energy problem. The total sample establishes that electricity, gasoline and propane are in

that order, are the most important energy problems. The workshop participants; however, established the following ranking of problems: propane gas, gasoline and electricity. The difference in ranking orders may be explained in that the workshop participants were of the opinion that the cost of propane gas was very high and caused them innumerable inconveniences while the cost and inconveniences of the island's electrical service for the workshop participants were evaluated as minimal

One other area of discrepancy between the workshop participants and the total interviewed sample deals with the priorities each group establishes as regards viable energy sources to solve Culebra's

energy

problems. While both groups agree that wind energy and petroleum are their first and second choices, the workshop participants indicate thermal oceanic energy and solar batteries as their third and fourth options. The total sample, however, selected coal and solar energy as their third and fourth choices.

Both the workshop participants as well as the total sample interviewed responded positively to the proposal that Culebra be used as the site for wind and solar energy experimental projects and both

sroups manifested a need for additional information, concerning energy

resources.

---Page Break---

2

TK. concLusrons

1

The publicity used was highly effective in reaching 73% of the survey's sample. In other words, three out of four households knew that alternative-energy workshops were offered in Culebra. However, only 11% of the total sample attended an average of two workshops. All but one of these that attended positively evaluated the workshop's contents.

While 68% of the total population is interested in attending the Project's last workshop, previous attendance advises caution concerning these expectations. If future activities are to be planned that desire widespread citizen participation, they should be organized mindful of the main reason for non-

attendance: work obligations. It is therefore suggested that future activities be held in different work-settings

The great majority of interviewees feel that Culebra has energy-related problems having to do with electricity, gasoline and Propane gas. However, when asked to evaluate the magnitude of these specific problems in terms of cost and inconveniences, gasoline is rated as 2 very serious problem, propane gas as 2 serious one and electricity as not too serious. This change in ranking may be due to two factors. First, large numbers of residents receive subsidies that offset having to pay the full cost of electricity. Second, the installation of the underwater cable has greatly minimized past inconveniences and problems related to electricity.

The interviewed population is of the opinion that wind energy,

Oil, coal and solar energy are the most viable resources to

---Page Break---

a

Solve Culebra's energy problems. Nonetheless, as indicated

in Table #1, the sample lack basic

formation about alternate

energy resources, but the same population is very interested

in obtaining additional information particularly regarding

wind, thermal oceanic and solar energy.

The interviewees responded very positively to having exper-

imented alternate energy projects located in Culebra. They

are of the opinion that these projects should be initiated

by government agencies and financed principally by the Federal

Government.

Given the relatively low-income levels of the Culebran communi-

ty and the high cost of alternate energy technology, any attempt

to introduce such technology would require that economic sub-

sidies be provided in addition to existing tax incentives

The sample reported having information about a variety of com-

munity-action groups, but few were informed about the Culebra

Energy Committee. The Committee will have to correct this si-

tuation and in addition deal with a population that posits

financial and planning responsibilities for such endeavor in

agents or agencies outside the community.

Finally, the majority of Culebrans believe that energy should be conserved, and indicated that they personally have taken steps in this direction. Nonetheless, the overwhelming majority of the sample is interested in additional information about

energy-conservation measures

---Page Break---

---Page Break---