

# CEER-X-31

cEER-x31

& D PROGRAM NEEDS FOR ENERGY ALTERNATIVES.

1

PUERTO RICO

(June 1, 1979)

PRELIMINARY REPORT

& % CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

& UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO MICO ? US. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

%

---Page Break---

CEER-x31

R & D PROGRAM NEEDS FOR ENERGY ALTERNATIVES,  
W

PUERTO RICO  
(une 1, 1979)

PRELIMINARY REPORT

---Page Break---

Nusber

3B

LUST OF TABLES

Description (Abbreviated)

Reserved

Estimates of Puerto Rico's Energy  
Requirements

Schedule of Proposed Scenarios Program  
Objectives

Possible Million Barrels O{1 saved with

scenarios

Potential ?Energy and Cost Reduction:

Possible CEER Revenues from Fuels Tax  
and D Law

Total CEER Funds Requirements

uu

a

12

---Page Break---

contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Introduction and Background

IL, The Present Situation at the Center

UIT, Future Projections

EER Mission

Competitive Funding Prospects

Energy and Environment Alternatives Under  
Considerations

IV. Funding Alternatives - The Basic Problem  
Legislative Appropriation

V. Conclusions

VE. Recommendations

APPENDICES.

A. Office of Energy Energy Alternative Priorities

B. Proposed Legislation

©. CHER Transition Funding Level

Page

16

29

21

26

D. Examples of Possible Scenarios in Energy and Environment

F. Long Range Forecast of Energy Needs in Puerto Rico

27

96

---Page Break---

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Center outlines its proposal solution for the ominous problems of energy and environment which threaten the well being of the Puerto Rico community. In a national and international context selected alternative energy sources and concomitant environmental problems are elaborated. Necessary funding and possible sources are analyzed. The unique position of CEER in ability to exploit the advantages inherent

in the Puerto Rico site are included.

The possibilities of exporting technology are presented. Relationships with U. S. Department of Energy, the Commonwealth Energy Office

and the University of Puerto Rico are discussed.

Two conclusions are (1) Puerto Rico's energy crisis demands an expanded role by CHER in R & D which previous levels of funding and institutional relationships cannot sustain. (2) With adequate funding CHER can convert the University of Puerto Rico into a technology export-

ing organization with special relevance to the Caribbean

Basin, Latin America

and other areas in the fields of OTEC, Biomass, Photovoltaics, ethanol

and solar steam, (3) the scale of operations and funding level of CEER

is not adequate for performing the research and development role in

Puerto Rico's energy crisis. (4) No alternative institution of equal

capacity for such role is perceived to exist in Puerto Rico. (5) without

adequate support for R & D the energy crisis will reach disastrous proportions.

The main recommendation is that appropriately redefined role in

R&D be assigned to the Center and that necessary funds be provided

forward the goal of reaching energy independence or partial energy in

dependence for Puerto Rico.

---Page Break---

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Reorganization in the Federal Government since the founding of

Puerto Rico Nuclear Center (PRNC) under the Atomic Energy Commission (ABC) in 1956 has resulted in the establishment of the Center for Energy and Environment Research (CEER) with a new mission and found~

ing structure. The move in 1975 to start the process

of making the

Center self sustaining and competitive has necessitated the adoption of new strategies for conducting research and finding new funding

sources. In these efforts CHER has

been quite successful. An exami-

nation of progress toward self-sufficiency has revealed important implications for the long term success of the Center. In planning

now for the future programs and funding for the Center, considerations

must be given not only to assuring continuity and development of



the Center, but more importantly to its ability in solving the pressing problems of energy and environment with which Puerto Rico and

the whole nation are confronted. The problems in Puerto Rico are great and will require investment of resources which may have not

been considered possible five years ago.

The energy policy established in the public document of the Office of Energy dated May 1979 indicates in Graphic TII-1, page 46 (Appendix A this document) the priorities given to alternatives

of energy sources.

---Page Break---

of this document are

In Line with this policy the objectives

(Q) To present an assessment for energy independence or partial energy independence for Puerto Rico based on an economical

?and state-of-the act and on the research and development  
ongoing prograns at the Center.

(2) To present the necessary budget estimates during the next

decade on a year by year basis of the funds requirenents  
for a vigorous research and development program to ward  
partial energy independence.

(3) To recomend a strategy for secking funding which are most  
appropriate for achieving partial energy independence as  
soon as practicable,

(@) To bring the attention on the necessity of providing self  
sustaining and continuous funding to the Center for Energy  
and Environment Research (CHER) to address the massive

wrch and development programs required,

TL, THE\_PRESENT SITUATION AT THE CENTER

?The Center counts as its principal resources forty three scientists

?With an established reputation for productivity and responsiveness to

?the Department of Energy (DOE) needs especially in the areas of tropical ecology, nuclear research, education and more recently in alternative

?energy source development. The research facilities valued at \$12 millions

are the best in the Caribbean and the FY 1979 budget amounts to approxi~

mately 3.5 million dollars of which about 2.2 millions represent base

---Page Break---

In Line with this policy the objectives of this document are:

@Q) To present an

energy independence for Puerto Rico based

on the development of energy independence or partial

for an economical

and state-of-the art and on the research and development

ongoing programs at the Center.

(2) To present the necessary budget estimates during the next decade on a year by year basis of the funds requirements for a vigorous research and development program toward partial energy independence.

(2) To recommend a strategy for seeking funding which are most appropriate for achieving partial energy independence as soon as practicable.

(@) To bring the attention on the necessity of providing self

sustaining and continuous funding to the Center for Energy and Environment Research (CHER) to address the massive

Research and development programs required.

ii, THE PRESENT SITUATION AT THE CENTER

The Center counts as its principal resources forty three scientists

with an established reputation for productivity and responsiveness to the Department of Energy (008) needs especially in the areas of tropical ecology, nuclear research, education and more recently in alternative energy source development. The research facilities valued at \$12 millions are the best in the Caribbean and the FY 1979 budget amounts to approximately 3.5 million dollars of which about 2.2 millions represent base

---Page Break---

funding. Appendix C indicates the transition funding level of the Center. The Center has been more successful than expected in securing funding from competitive sources during the first three years of the transition period (having secured \$900,000, compared to a predicted \$150,000 in FY 1978).

The Center is presently involved in energy and environmental research projects within the total level of funding indicated. Such efforts have provided the Center scientists and engineers with a deep insight and knowledge in the frontiers of energy alternative development. The research projects include (1) Biomass Programs, (2) Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion (GTEC), (3) Direct conversion of solar energy into

electricity through the use of photovoltaics, (4) production of steam for industrial uses, (5) fuels synthesis through fermentation processes ?methane and ethanol.

The funding and the level of effort is still too small for a meaningful address at the scale required for Puerto Rico energy needs. The main bulk of the funding, approximately 2.5 million dollars, are DOE assigned funds under a present contract which will expire October 1, 1981. Probabilities of contract extension beyond this date are uncertain at Present. Even if the present contract is extended the level of funding will never be adequate for a meaningful address to the solution of Puerto Rico energy needs.

---Page Break---

mr.

## FUTURE PROJECTIONS

### GEER Mission

The mission of CBER is to address energy and environment questions that arise for the industrialized, tropical island

of Puerto Rico and to do so in a way which has maximum applicability to other areas.

Puerto Rico needs expert information to guide planners in the orderly development of the island. Orderly development requires the objective assessment of energy alternatives in the context of their environmental and economic costs. CEER

is the only institution on the Island with the appropriate

orientation, tradition, independence, reputation and exper-

tise to perform this necessary task.

### Competitive Funding Prospects

While DOE funding of relevant research is expected to conti-

nue it will become a smaller fraction of the total program

needs. However, it is unrealistic to expect that the observed

rate of increase of competitive funding can be sustained.

There is need for research in other areas for which CEER is

Logically the candidate but the dollars available on the

Island are finite and consequently the Center will more and

more have to enter into competition with other established

Tesearch units for money from the United States and other

---Page Break---

sources. This will require an increasing expenditure of effort on the part of CEEK staff. This is a coutiagency for Which Little provision has been made in CEER struccure to date. Using the national average for the rate of rejection of re search proposals it nay be conservatively estimated that 1.3

rman years per year aust be spent in grant proposal preparation

to yield 1 million dollars of competitive funds.

Research to Secure Environmentally Acceptable Energy Alternatives

Vigorous efforts will be required to solve the special energy ?and environmental problens for Puerto Rico. CEER is already involved in programs having the appropriate orientation, but ?much vork will be needed to solve the probles. Several cases

may be cited

examples of the relevance and cost effective



which have

ness of CEER's present and planned R & D progr:  
relevance for the Comonwealth.

oT8C, photovoltaic, biomass, ethanol and solar steam are under  
consideration ag alternative energy sources for Puerto Rico.

The Office of Energy in the Energy Policy public document dated  
May 1979 assigns priorities to these alternatives. See  
Appendix A.

Considering OTEC as an illustration, plans call for a

40 Mi plant generating about 1% of Puerto Rico's energy needs

---Page Break---

by 1985; @ 250 MW Demonstration Plant providing about 4% of

energy requirements by 1990; and a possible 500 MW addition to

the electrical generating capacity bringing the OTEC total contribution to about 12% by the start of the 21st. century.

For each of the energy alternatives assumptions, costs and environmental & D considerations are discussed in more detail in the Appendix D. The main points to be stressed here are that the technology in question is cost effective but needs to be adapted and expanded for Puerto Rico to make any sort of reasoned approach toward energy independence.

As an example, Figure 1 illustrates the production cost of

electricity from a 450 MW coal fired power plant with Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) located at a site similar to Rinefa, Puerto Rico under various assumptions, several of which are indicated

in the graph. (Figure 1 was obtained from ongoing economic studies of energy alternatives being performed at CEER and to be published).

The production cost indicated in mills/kwh is a levelized value

for the Life of the plant which has been taken us 35 years. ?The

abscis

indicates. the year in which the plant begin. operations.

For comparative purposes Figure 2 illustrates the sane curve for the production cost of electricity from a coal plant. The leve.

Used (during plant Lifetime of 35 years) production cost of elec~ tricity are indicated for one 40 MW OTEC plant staiting up in 1985; one 450 MH direct fired with biomass pover plant starting

---Page Break---

up in 1987, ono 250 MW OTEC plant starting up i 1993; and one

250 Mil photovoltaic power plant with full energy storage to run

at full pover during nights and 25% extra storage allowance for

rainy or cloudy days, The details of the calculations of these

?single points are given in Appendix D.

The summary of the examples scenarios considered, under crash type R & D Program heavily involving CER, is given in Tables 2 to 6.

Table 2 includes an

estimate of the energy requirements in

Puerto Rico for the period 1976 through 2000. It is assumed that the present socio-economic structure persists and that a R&D Program in search of energy alternatives is functioning. The fuel bill for Puerto Rico during the FY 1979 exceeds one billion dollars and the total bill for the rest of the century is estimated

at approximately 156 billion dollars. (2)

a

2) Column 6, Table 1.

---Page Break---

TABLE 2

ESTIMATES OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY REQUIREMENTS TO THE YEAR 2000

[UNDER PRESENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC STRUCTURES AND ABSENCE OF

?STRONG R&D PROGRAM ON ALTERNATE: ENERGY SOURCES





pene eo o © @)

DEORE FOU ?ESTIMATED

wean | EECHIOD | casourne | ?ENDOSTRY | tora | wir pice | TOTAL cost

BENERGY (1) | 6 BIESEL(2)| \_& OMHER(3) a)" s/ait, | (¢ wintions)

soe| a7 | we | 36.3 | a7

3977 | 93.082 ae 2.7

1978} 245 16. a8 65.0

"1979 26:0. 1.0 25.11 6.1 | 170 Toor

3900 27.5 rE 2.3] 77 | 46.78 203

3361] 29.01 18-5 a7 959-17 Taaz

982 29.7 3.1 77-8 P7130) 1706

(Fear t 30.5. 2.2 | 25.00 l 2055

saat 336 32.0) 36.11 26.551 2458

(Tiges 35.3 356 9.9 [32.70 | 7939.

ies see" 353 36.29 3380.

(ssrt?ars sett 49:28 3903

188 | ane 3891836 | 40 2 4633



3989 | 45.8. [40:9 108-6 T 49-60) 5396.

39801 aa 42-9 1113.9 | 35.00 e266.

1981 | 30,81 [51 19:9 | \$8.75 744

ise 5308 ars 6275 756.

1993 | 36.0 t 49-7 130-6] 67, 09 3285,

3996 [ 3924 32.2) 137.01 71.50 3796.

i998 | 62 6 3a 142.8 [ 76:50 jose

i996 | 65.0. 57-5 Bite 12078.

1997 | 68.1 ?60-8 155.2 | 06-00 13347,

1996-| ?71-5 63.4] 162-3 | 91.15 14793

1999 7a 66.6 168.6. | 96.62 16290.

2900] 77-6. 63.9 1175.61 102.6 718016

TOT 3155,829,

(1) Statistical correlations between population and GXP and between GNP and Electrical energy Consumption. Correlation 99%. ?see Appendix

(2) Gasoline Consumption growth projected conservatively between 2 1/2 - 3% per year vs. 6.6% actual. More accurate predictions to be included in Cuan? Baerley Studies.

(3) Industrial needs projected at \$t per year growth. More accurate predictions to be included in CEER Energy Studies.

(4) Fuel oil price escalation indicated is approximately 1980-85: 14.3%/years  
1985-90: 11% year; 1990-95: 6.82%/year and 1995-2000: 6% year,

---Page Break---

Table 3A presents an illustrative program of energy alternative objectives under a very tight schedule which will only be achieved by a concentrated and coordinated effort between the various government energy planning related organizations and in which

GUER is the main R & D researcher, The contents of the table

are the amounts of power in electricity, steam, etc. which could be achieved in the period indicated.

?Table 3B indicates the amount of oil saved by the proposed

h program by the indicated scenarios.

Table 4 illustrates the potential contribution of the

proposed energy alternatives scenarios to the total fuel oil consumption of Puerto Rico. A reduction of nearly 52 billion dollars equivalent to 36% of the total dollar expenditures up to the year 2000 is indicated. This large amount is probably the maximum saving which could be achieved since it is, predicated upon a very tight schedule and R & D crash programs requiring interagency coordination and cooperation,

Table 5 illustrates a possible source of revenues to finance the R & D program. A fuel tax for energy and environmental research and development is proposed on all non-renewable

fuels consumption in Puerto Rico. The tax proposed is based on BTU consumption and it fluctuates between 1.5¢ to 2.5¢ per million BTU. A gallon of gasoline contains some 140,000 BTU, therefore, this would hardly add 0.2-0.35 ¢ to a gallon of gasoline.

A draft of such proposed legislation is included as Appendix B.

---Page Break---

asLE 3A

SCHEDULE cr prOFOSed SCENARIOS rroceAN onsecTIVES



a Pg a

= a 0 2.0

f ??

Ste = ?

6.0. eo.

=

h=s00n | ?? 60. 3.0

ese toad mite wilt be ruguized in the period considered

ARLE 38

POSSTALE MILLIONS BARRELS OUD, SAVED WITH PROPOSED SCENAR\OS

we 2 Oe eo ?

ERT. aR

one. ga | STEN Gasonoi{ eieceste(a] smemw | sora

1980

1585

094

7956

2006)





(2) rutinavea 60 kwhe per ton of (S18 notature) bagy.

eae

---Page Break---

?WEE EXAWLE SCHNANTOS

TAME &

POFRNTTAL, "EMERGY AND cost aEDUCTIONS?



a on ) @.

EDC ELLIO TFaRcTTGN

TEELION BARRE 0° nai. Doutars OF SCENARIOS

Iyer [wo ?SAVINGS ?savGS SAVINGS OF

scxanzos erm scenantos| ern scmantos | torar-wow SCmlARIOS|

20s | 09.9 9.53 17.33

1986 [93.4 364, Boas

987] 96:9 10:94 a0 ie

1988} 703-6 16.07 208 1s

{3888?[ i088 23.40 7.160 2

9019.8 33:77 11857

cE Sar 133 258

fea 7a5.2 33.77 2s ey

i993] 0.8 20:50. Beni Be

eee 137-0 a7 3158 a

figas [142.8 32.84 aoa 378

1980148. 60.01 ?4968 08

fers 66.38 5703. a

1998 162-3 73:38 6.886 a

aos] 6-6 0.02 7.132 a

000] 175.6 0:02 3.210 a8

Isoracs| 2072.0 1415.62 657.19 31,909.0 268

jooss

{e108 145,966

nize

---Page Break---

TABLE 5

POSSIBLE CUER REVENUES PRON FUELS AX RED LAK

© ® Oo © © © @ oy

(RRR Tero RT TTT ay TO

muse | he mL A

van eaiSoRETIOT 7

wien | sel a | ewe] sro |

SCENARIOS [

00 | 71.70 | 6.45 [o.ss

eat} yest eta

ia ?\_ t

weer} ?ase

954 ?| 6-19 Ft





3.

---Page Break---

Table 6 illustrates the total CHER funds requirements for the

Illustrative scenarios. The last two columns of Table 6 indicate

the suggested source of funding.

Column 13, labeled "Base Funding Requirements" in Table 6 i

the minimum projected funding requirements for CEER. If the proposed

example scenarios or any other similar type program is not undertaken,

CEER still needs to be funded to the level shown in the indicated

dic

colum, This i jed more fully in the section below.

?An adequate attempt to solve the energy problens of Puerto Rico will require that during the period 1980 to 1990 a total of approximately \$199 million(3) be made available.

This represents an average investuent in R & D for energy and enviroment in the vicinity of \$18 million annually.

CEER is the only agent on the Island capable of an already involved in such work for Puerto Rico. CEER will not be able without assurances of base funding to continue this leadership role.

-14-

---Page Break---





-15-

---Page Break---

wv.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVE ~ THE BASIC PROBLEMS

Legislative Appropriation

Various alternatives of CEER funding were investigated and discussed by the staff. They included:

(a) Extension of the DOE contract.

Good prospects exist for negotiating a new contract with DOE but it is the general consensus of the staff that the level of funding will not be close to that desired to adequate basic funding.

(b) The probability of increasing the UPR budget to the levels of \$5-18 million dollars annually.

A very low probability of success was given to this alternative.

(c) Request to the Legislature to allocate to CEER part of Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority (PRWRA) contribution in lieu of taxes. Law 83 of May 2, 1941 requires PRWRA to contribute with revenues to the St

SE of the General Fund. However,

recent amendments has committed fully this contribution in

relation with the fuel adjustment clause

subsidy given to

consumers with less than 400 kWh monthly. The alternative

was discarded,

(2) Request to the Legislature for fixed yearly allocations in the

level of \$5-18 million (The Rum Pilot Plant legislative fund

allocations history was reviewed). Due to the present tight

government budgetary conditions a low probability of success

was assigned to this alternative.

-16-

---Page Break---

(c) The enactment of a new bill imposing a tax of 1.5-2.5 cents per



million BTU on all imported fuels consumed or sold in Puerto

Rico to finance CEER programs. Appendix B describes the proposed

legislation. This is considered the most logical alternative.

Vv, Concuusross

Puerto Rico's energy crisis demands an expanded role by CEER in

R GD which previous levels of funding and institutional relationships cannot sustain.

2. With adequate funding CHER can convert the University of Puerto Rico into a technology exporting organization with special relevance to the Caribbean, Latin America, and other areas in the fields of OTHC, Bionass, Photovoltaics, Ethanol and Solar Steam.

3. The scale of operations and funding level until now were adequate for transition from the Puerto Rico Nuclear Center to the found-

ing of CEER. They are not adequate for performing the research and development role in Puerto Rico's energy crisis.

4, No alternative institution of equal capacity for such a role is

perceived to exist in Puerto Rico,

5. Without adequate support for R & D the energy eriais wilt  
Feach disastrous proportions.

#### VE. RECOMENDATIONS:

1, Te is recomendado (1) that the appropriately redefined role in  
RGD be assigned to the Center and that necessary funds be  
Provided, (2) that proposed legislation on funding receive  
adequate endorsement.

-17-

17

---Page Break---

(A) an-4avis 40 Mvad

S10 om 90 coor 06 so oe ss

094 HLIM LNYTd 1¥09 SSOu9 MW Ost

T-BIs

WHA7 RS BLY NOLLYTENI ANONODI +

(H£90'6 449) KE LY LNYLSNOD SLNIMAYE OND ONINNIS ONY 153U2IM

Me uy 4nvisno> NoluanatsHo> oMluno 4s2uz1M! »

Ys \$0 NOULWIVDSI ?STUY A2NYMIVINION ONY salaVIVS ALT IYOIMLOI19 ©

WAR GL 2832184 NOLLYTIYISI T¥0D +

Yd Ko OLDS TAM! ?HOY HOIN ONY HL3IN9

eee 008 exes atest eet Bes 088 sos

Gor ore oe EFS We

Derr ores tay eer bear ee Sng eae any

ace Sesh aor wee Fes) kB Et

oor

coZ

8

3

wan ie S10M

3

pezjno7

8g

oor

coz!

0081

-18-

---Page Break---

FIG.

ALTERNATIVE

ENERGY SOURCES

?PRODUCTION COSTS

7

450 ww cont

wire Fo"

S21mLTOA0LONE MN O8e ~+

2340 WM ose ~£ s

Ssvmola HM ose -z

3uo anor) Ob de

panos Tae

aR

START-UP YEAR

peers i |

P\$Peher rer ere

~ Sy ways sun

Pez2na7

-19-

---Page Break---

SL6T Aen ~ oon oaz0ng ap wor3z829u3 woFazTOR, woxs

?arerasnaoo

UTTOses & youoote

9p upyoeuTquay ?

ouabgzpyH- soind satoyoory - eeeuora -

oxre vp sexetos upTows9ua6eg ~

sozopeuoyoypucoy ~ gabe 9p

?seoFe3T0A0303 soxetos sozopequateD-

ugteng ~ soxetos sepreg ~ ovarorasoats

. cetes equoyA 9p exSz0ur =

?s09133620u0 septa -

sSz0ua 20d aoden ?

: nt "\*" sopttgs

soietes ugrexaucoora - soyorpzodseg ?

sezopezzuacucy ~

eet pan -

(o00z oye Top syndsop) (sous oz © 5 9°) (oces @ 8 ? 90) ooveng

ozerd 062 ozerd ove? zed oaz02

td o82e7 nd overpon 14 09309 cooszea

Seursyty sequang seasny op sapeprzorsa serarsog

HwUpiro3oq ered sooyboTOUOSD BOUFUIIE

v xrangaay

-20-

---Page Break---

[BNERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

APPENDIX

PROPOSED LEGISLATION

CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

-21-

---Page Break---

## APPENDIX 2

### ?A BILL FOR APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

#### STATEMENT OF MOTIVES

The Center for Energy and Environment Research of the University of Puerto Rico is an institution dedicated to the study and development of new energy resources such as the sun, wind, and sea while also exploring the potentials inherent in recycling, conversion, or elimination of the waste products and pollutants of modern society.

?Among its current projects are the development of solar photovoltaic:

?ocean thermal energy conversion, use of sugar cane hybrids as biomass fuel, bilharzia control, effects of industrial developments and population growth on land masses, etc.

The Center's principal objectives



1 o serve as the focal point for energy research in Puerto

Rico, in order to achieve energy independence.

2+ To help Puerto Rico develop the scientific engineering and

other trained personnel needed for the future in the energy environ

mental and related fields.

To continue research and training prograne in environmental

and technologies.

?The Center for Bnergy and Environment Research of the University

of Puerto Rico, evolved from the Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, established

by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission in 1957. The Nuclear Center was

-22-

---Page Break---

ion until the

operated by the University of Puerto Rico for the Coan

?agency was superseded by the U.S. Energy Research and Deve lopment

?Administration (BRDA) in 1975. The Nuclear Center trained sore than

2,000 students in nuclear sciences, engineering and medicine. Now the

Departnent of Energy is funding CEER through a contract with the

University of Puerto Rico. This evolvment has given CEER the required

expertise and modern available facilities. At present the CEER has

under study or development nore than forty (40) principal projects

related to energy conversion and or conservation.

?The current energy crisis which is caused by a world energy

shortage is expected to get worse through the rensinder of this century.

Puerto Rico, with its total dependence for energy on imported fossil

fuel, is perticularly vulnerable to dislocations in the global energy

market. This is an anonalous situation as there are few places in the

world so generously endowed with natural energy: solar radiation, ocean

temperature differential, wind, waves, and currents, all potential non

Polluting power sources. CHER has been doing some projects in this respect using the funds allocated first by the ERDA and now by the Department of Energy using the present available facilities which are capitalized at approximately twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000).

These facilities are being transferred to the University of Puerto Rico by the Department of Energy (DOE).

CER has been operated by the U.P.R. under contract with DOE in which the latter funds all the operational costs while also allocating additional money grants for individual projects on a competitive basis.

These projects are for the development of energy from natural resources and also for the protection of the environment.

-23-

---Page Break---

In September 30, 1981 the contract expires and thereafter DOE will not cover the operational costs of the CEER and although the funds

obtained from grants on a competitive basis will continue they will not

It is therefore, necessary:

to be enough to cover all the expenses that  
the Legislature appropriate the necessary funds to cover the CHER?  
operational needs in order to continue the development of new energy  
resources which will fulfill an urgent need for the people of Puerto  
Rico.

For said purpose,

Be it enacted by the Legislature of Puerto Rico

That it is hereby found and declared that the purposes of the  
Center for Energy and Environment Research (CEER) of the University  
of Puerto Rico are for the development of environmentally acceptable  
energy alternatives through research on new fuels to substitute for  
those made from petroleum and research to understand and protect the  
ecology and natural resources of the Island and that said

objectives are public purposes in all respects for the benefit of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

2+ The programs already started should continue, and new projects and grants sought to perform research and development is already established, due to which it is necessary that the Legislature

appropriate the required funds to continue the same.

3+ The sum to be appropriated every year are to be obtained

by levying taxes on all types of fuels, crude, refined or combination

of both, that shall enter into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico as herein specified.

-24-

---Page Break---

4- Taxes to be levied shall be equal to one and a half cents

(30.015) per million BTU's (British Thermal Units) of calorific value

for (1980-81; 1981-82);

or its equivalent for the first two fiscal years  
two cents (50.020) for the next two fiscal years (1982-83; 1983-84);  
and two and a half cents (\$0.025) for each fiscal year thereafter.

5 The Secretary of the Treasury of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico is authorized and directed to collect the mentioned taxes and to Place the sum therein collected at the disposal of the Director of the GEER starting July 1, 1981.

6~ ALL laws or parts of laws in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

7 This Act shall take effect ninety (90) days after its approval.

-25-

---Page Break---

eee

SAAR AS

eeae

008 ° fz PPRMOTOH0G

ose ° fe ere,

o 8 ore'g ere

eae were Bae TT

w @ (1) want29

---Page Break---

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

suaRY

APPENDIX D

## EXAMPLES OF ALTERNATIVE SCENARIOS 18

### [ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

(CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

27.

---Page Break---

### ?THE ENERGY PROBLEM IN PUERTO RICO

Various efforts are being undertaken by a variety of organizations in the Puerto Rico Government in the pursuing of solutions to the energy and environment problems which are adversely affecting Puerto Rico and its general economic welfare. Every effort tends to provide some degree of assistance to the solution of the energy problem. Probably, as the Director of the Office of Energy has said, the final solution is not under one option, but on the sum of many options taken together. The efforts of energy conservation, for example, should not be underestimated as well as other programs now under consideration.

The seriousness of the energy crisis is now looming more closely and threatening the Puerto Rican livelihood, economics, health and every sector of the very life and blood of the present



civilization as we know in the western world. It is, therefore, felt that an outlook with an aggressive energy program with definite goals and objectives should be developed and pursued to bring forth solutions in the shortest time: possible but with known and calculated acceptable risks.

CER studies on the economy of Puerto Rico and the dynamics

of population growth predicts that in order to maintain nearly the

sane level of economic welfare the electrical energy generation for the year 2000 will be three times the electrical energy generation

at present. This does not include technological developments which

-28-

---Page Break---

Will tend to use more electrical energy such as the electric cars which are now being introduced in the world markets. Appendix

"Long Range Forecast of Energy Needs in Puerto Rico:

describes the

Model Used for the predictions. This Appendix is part of an energy study being performed by CEER.

The growth in electrical generation indicates that the Puerto Rico electrical system will need to add roughly twice the actual generation capacity before the year 2000 in order to keep just approximately the same level of economic welfare. This statement, under the present serious prediction of increasing fossil fuel costs and scarcity of fuel oils is rather alarming. An aggressive program

to address the massive amounts of electrical energy generation

requirements of Puerto Rico

is required as soon as possible.

CEER PROPOSED PROGRAM

In order to positively address the energy situation CEER

Proposes, as an example, a strong R & D program on the following

alternatives:

Ethanol (Motor Fuels)

5+ Solar Steam

Specific objectives are set for each of these alternatives with approximate start of operation dates and schedules of required R & D funds.

-29-

---Page Break---

Each alternative is evaluated economically in the Puerto Rico energy scenario, from the economic and technological potential and the present state of development and the interest of the federal Government, various approaches which might be acceptable by the

organizations concerned are developed.

The summaries of the scenarios considered, under a crash type R & D Program heavily involving CHER, are shown in Tables 2 & 3.

The following traces out the salient points of the overall proposal. Appropriate detail is presented later in this Appendix.

Table 2 indicates an approximate prediction of the energy requirements in Puerto Rico up to the year 2000. Under the present socio-economic structure and without a strong R & D program on alternate energy sources, the fuel bill for Puerto Rico during the present 1979 year exceeds one billion dollars and the total bill for the rest of the century is estimated in 155.829 billion dollars.

Table 3A presents the mentioned example Program of energy alternative objectives under a very tight schedule, only achievable

by a concentrated and coordinated effort between the various govern-

ment energy planning related organizations and in which CEER is the main R & D researcher.

Table 3B indicates the barrels of oil saved by the proposed

cash program example scenarios.

Table 4 illustrates the effect of the example energy alterna

tives scenarios proposed in the total fuel oil consumption of Puerto

Rico, A reduction of nearly 52,000. million dollars equivalent to

~30-

---Page Break---

TABLE 2

ESTIMATES OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY REQUIREMENTS To THE Year 2000

UNDER PRESENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC STRUCTURES AND ABSENCE OF  
STIMULATING POLICY ON ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES.









a ? ? © Oy

?ones #01 TernateD

vean [HEGHEGE | cosoumne | GWGGEEAT?| ronan [ESTE ATED | as coor

Enenay (0) |e oresexiay| foment) | aT Ebr |W niSone)

sore] an.7 | 7.8 26.3 68.7

ier psa as i

ware} ae 1 33 [ es

57s [28-0 70 3 ee] ae | 00

sea} 37° 173 peg ete ta

?ser a0 as a7} asia | tee

(sex ?aser 75.0 are] sae ae

(Rose Stsp shag aa

arpa wos [aro ae ass

ise [35:38 S361 ap a] a7

sas [36.7 ae 35:3 one) 36c3

tsetse 36:9} 40-48 [set

908[ Waza [ais aes toc | as a

i969 ase at ao.s 1 toate | a9s69 ?} Sse

sso] are ane az. \$500] eee

Tost] 30:8 58 airy [ses fsa

382] ssc aes ary ase es] ase

i353 36-0 ai \$327 9028 | ?er. 99 9295

issn] ssc 37 S27 [ar | sgt si8e

995-1 ec 76.0 Seg 1 yane | 7-59] 58

1567 ae Sys aes) eras} ate

oer] ea 367 soit] ae 80 J

saa] 9S Ta 3a [ven | otis P9788

353] act a3 e626 | teste | secs [reso |

3000 [7 wet \$5.9 [yysce lyons | hare

som \$155,929

(9) statistical correlations between population and XP and between GRP and Electrical Energy Generation? Correlation 998. See fopendin

(2) Gasotine Consuaption srowth projected conservatively between 2 1/2 3% per year ve. 6.68 actual, Hore accurate predictions to be included in EEN Enezpy Seastes.

(9) Tndusessad needs projected at Si yer year growth. Hore accurate predic~ tions to be included in CEER Enerty Stuciess

(® Fuet of1 proces escalation indicated ie approximately 1980-85: 14.3%/years

1985-90: ?LIE year; 1990-95: 6.82/year and 1995-2000: 6% year.

oe

---Page Break---





ROO ao aT TTT

?perenreuo porzed wa up pestabes ears me Ne TE

aa i

> ??-|? os

a at

ET

a Tb mo

ea F

Baar

war a} a at

TIO Tae NOTTTW sy ?237d, |

Lays 110) wvaus weios 198% somvus "| sseNore sano | van

© © © @ ®

SEREDENGG WROTE SORT GSOIEE TO FRTOS

ve mievt

32

---Page Break---

?esrb6eq (ommasyou 41s) 50 uoy rod 2yRy og paaBUTISE (2)

OFT

00,

2007

200°C

eer

2661

r





SOTEVKIDS G3S0d0Ns KLIN G2AVS IO siaMVE SSOTTTIN aTEISs0a

ae steve

es7 {cast

es" [9961

esr

ery

oO

-33-

---Page Break---

TABLE &

POTENTIAL, ?ENERGY AND CoS? REDUCHYONE\*

WERT EXANPLE- SCENARIOS



v oo. ? s 6).

RSTO. REDUCTION TaLLTOR FACT OF AT

TEELLION BARRELS OFZ 30° pas Dour [OP SCENARIOS

ivean [ro WITH ExwLE | ? SAVINOS ?SAVINGS ?SAVINGS OF

Scewanzos | scenarros | wim scewagros| wrmi SCENARIOS | TORAL-NON seswaRtOs|

1905 | 99.9. 29.37 o.s9 7.33 0.8.

1386 93.4 87.76 7oa-67 e

war [96:3 35.96 a0 ae

988 [703.6 ?|?ass3?] 208

1989 ?Tose 35:40} Tea.

1990113.) 20-13 7857

esr 9:5 26.15 1,984

fiso2 [48.2 ca 33.7 Bes

3993 | 130-8 | ??9 20 3050, Beri

3994 [137-0 [sa ast 3.156 ee

1995] 147-8 39.96. 32.68 aoa 378

3996] ?148-9 88-89 0:07 4,068. a0

1997155. aba 66.36, 3: 703 a3

1998|-t62-3 "es 95 73.35) 6 886 a7

3993] "1ea-6 | 86 3002 Ar a7

2000 | 175-6 95.58 180.02 3.210 a6

Jrorars| 2072.6 1415.62 57.38 5,909.0 368

lcosr

ts10® 145,066 |

---Page Break---

36x of the total dollar expenditures up to the year 2000 is accomplished by the example scenarios. This high figure is probably the

maximum saving which could be achieved since it i

predicated

under a very tight schedule and R&D crash program requiring inter-agency coordination and cooperation,

Table 5 illustrates a possible source of revenues to finance the R and D program. A fuel tax for energy and environmental

research and development is proposed on all non-renewable fuels

consumption in Puerto Rico. The tax proposed is based on BTU consumption and it fluctuates between 1.5¢ to 2.5¢ per million BTU.

A gallon of gasoline contains some 140,000 BTU, therefore, this would hardly add 0.2 ~ 0.35 cents to a gallon of gasoline.

Table 6 illustrates the total CEER funds requirements for the

example scenarios. The last two columns of Table 6 indicate the

?suggested source of funding.

-35-

---Page Break---

TABLES

POSSIBLE CHER REVENUES PROM FUELS TAX RED LAW





o @ 8 © © © @

WELTON [156/70 Bre eT wae TSO amy

BARRELS aK. ?ax a

year | CoSUNPTTOT

with swe} 9 sr08] 4 si} 9

SCENARIOS

se0\_| 71.70 6.45 | 0.53

1981 ?| 75:20. 6.77 [0-47

1962] 77:80 33a]

4983 | e270 26]

1964 | 66.10. Tae [Se

3985 | 69-57 13.40 [ae

7386 | 87.76 73:18 [ar

1967 | 65.96, 12-09 [37

7388 [85.53 1283

989 25-40 12:81 [30

1590 42:02 [27

1991 12-93 [236

1392. iar [ae

795, 33:35 [22

1994 73.92 [2

1995 13:48 [20

1996. 13.32 [16

3997 33.32 [7

1396. 1334 [16

7999) 1329 6

72000 1a [15

=36-

---Page Break---





---Page Break---

The logic in selecting and setting the example scenarios has been based in the information, experience, and knowledge generated from R and D programs being undertaken by CEER since 1976. The level of effort has been very low, at the Level of 2-3 million dollars Per year, 100% funded by Federal Department of Energy. This low

level of effort needs to be incremented considerably as has been indicated in order to produce meaningful results. Economic

considerations and evaluations, potential capacity of the alternatives



to meet the local energy needs and actual technical status and

Projections of the alternatives were taken into considerations.

These can be summarized as follows:

OTEC (Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion) makes use

of the temperature differential between deep sea waters (3000 ft)

and surface water to generate electricity.

This concept has the potential of generating all the energy

needed of Puerto Rico at some future date. Ocean based

Off Floating

type of plants in the southern Caribbean sea will have practically

no impact on land utilization resources.

It is estimated that an OTEC-10 (40 MW plant) concept could

be operational within 4 years. Preliminary economic calculations

under certain assumption indicate PRVRA could afford \$26.2 million

dollars toward investment and the energy obtainable will be comparable

in cost to one 450 MW coal plant located at Rincon with Flue Gas

Desulfurization. It is suggested that the Puerto Rico Government

contribute with the same funds for research and development. The

38.

---Page Break---

Project is estimated in \$300 million including escalation and interest during construction. The Federal Government appropriation requirement is \$247.6 million. A risk analysis consideration indicates an acceptable calculated risk for » public corporation.

Cost calculations were performed for 250 MY OTEC concept operational by the year 1990-1 and shown to be 612 of the 450 \*! coal plant cost of electricity. From this it is assumed that PRURA can then finance completely such concepts from there on.

Such an aggressive approach will definitely win the OT8-10 concept for Puerto Rico over the Gulf States and Hawaii competition

CER requested R & D funding are indicated.

PHOTOVOLTAICS - Photovoltaics systems produce electricity by converting direct solar radiation into electricity using photoelectric cells. A large fraction of the energy is stored for use during non-daylight time. It is a complete static system with no known adverse environmental effects. The concept has enough potential to generate all the electric energy needs of Puerto Rico required by

the year 2000 but it will require 90,000 - 100,000 acres of land ~  
enormous farms of solar collectors cells and electronics.

?The objectives for photovoltaics systems are defined in the

Program, its economics in the Puerto Rico scenario assessed and the

RGD funds requirements are scheduled.

?The most ambitious objective in the program is to have an  
industrial park with cogeneration (steam for industries plus

electricity) of 250,000 kw capacity for early 1990's. CEER experience

=39-

---Page Break---

for a small similar project being planned at present is of paramount  
importance for the undertaking of this major task.

?The economics of the project indicate that the energy costs will  
be 48% of the cost of a 450 Mw coal plant, without the steam cogene-

the economic attrac~

ration portion. When the steam portion is add

tiveness is even higher, These costs were determined for the P.R.

scenario by using higher costs than the most recent basic data cost information.

RED funds need to be secured by CEER from the Puerto Rico Government for this project in the level of \$40 million excluding advance concept developments. It is assumed that the Federal Government will match these funds for a total of \$80 million requirements in RED. A consortium of private enterprises, PRWRA and Fomento is suggested for the capital investment.

qe

BIOWASS - Biomass is practically a1 agricultural enterpris  
consists of planning selected optimized species for mass production,  
harvesting, solar drying storage, transportation and burning the bio-  
ass in a suitably designed boiler co produce stean to run the turbo  
generators that produce the electricity. As such, an electric plant

fueled with bionass is not very different from a conventional fossil

fuel fired pover plant. Biontss alone can supply all the energy needs  
fof Puerto Rico by the year 2000, but it will require 700,000-800,000  
acres of land. One single 450 MH plant in operation by the year 1987,  
operating at 752 capacity factor could supply 132 of the electrical  
?energy needs. Approximately \$5,000-60,000 acres of Land will be re-  
quired to feed the plant.

Uy Solar Electricity and Wconoae Approach to Solar Enrgy-Wolfgang

Alene ENC" RRRERIGUSERE "FOREN, Commission of European Communities

---Page Break---

The principal and immediate objective in a biomass program will be to convert an existing sugar mill to handle 1000 tons of biomass per day and determine the logistics:

Electricity production, burning efficiency, transportation, etc. The size is equivalent to a 62,500 kw electrical

boiler and is large enough for extrapolation to 400-500 Mw boiler

The economic analysis indicates that biomass is the costlier of the three alternatives, but still has a good economical advantage

over the coal alternative. The preliminary calculation indicated

that the cost of electricity from biomass is 86% of the cost of electricity from a 450 Mw coal plant. In its favor, is the fact that this alternative will require the least expenditure of funds in R&D. , Techno-

logically it is the 1

risky of all three considered but is, of course:

the most costly.

?The principal objective is to develop the necessary:

ry data 50

that PRWRA can within 1-2 years incorporate, in its steam boiler bids specifications, enough data for specifying boilers to burn any of three fuels-oil, coal or biomass, and have all the logistics developed to burn biomass by the year 1986-87,

ETHANOL (MOTOR FUELS) ~ Ethanol can substitute gasoline or can be blended with gasoline to form a mixture as gasohol. Gasoline with 10% ethanol can be burned in motor vehicles without carburetor

modifications. For mixtures greater than 10% ethanol carburetor

modifications are required.

The consumption of gasoline in Puerto Rico during last fiscal

year was 658 million gallons. Consumption has been increasing at the

aaa

---Page Break---

rate of 6.62% per year during the last 12 years. The gasoline require-

ments of Puerto Rico for the year 1990 (assuming the growth rate is

halved) is estimated conservatively in one billion gallons of gasoline

(equivalent to 1.67 billion gallons of ethanol). This could be

produced with a program requiring 1,000,000 acres of sugarcane planta-

tion which is approximately 89 % of the agricultural land in Puerto

Rico. Costs are estimated to be competitive.

The R & D program objectives include the modification of a sugar

mill to process 4000 tons of green sugar cane per day to produce

approximately 6000 gals per day of ethanol and the extrapolation of

the experience to larger industrial scale to produce 11% of the gaso-



Line requirements by the year 1990. the indicated objectives are based on approval this year of planned pilot plant operations at the UPR-RIM Experimental Station and existing programs of development of saccharum hybrid species for increased yields. Total R & D Funds requirements are estimated at 12-13 millions excluding advanced concepts developments.

SOLAR STEAM ~ CEER has developed a highly efficient and inexpensive solar concentrator for producing industrial steam. A project is underway with Bacardi Distillers to produce solar steam at the Bacardi Run Plant in Toa Baja (Palo Seco).

The production of ethanol as well as many other industrial processes, requires large amounts of steam. The production of 100,000 gallons of gasoline requirements for the year 1990 in ethanol will require approximately 1 million pounds of steam per day.

-4a-

---Page Break---

The program objective is to reduce the cost of ethanol (and the energy requirements) by supplying at least 40% of the steam Requirements of the ethanol project previously described with solar energy.

This will further enhance additional industrial uses of the technology.

It is estimated that the K 6 D funding requirements for this

project is \$25 million excluding the development of advance concepts  
and related material development.

Total Budget

The total R & D budget which will be required by CEER from the  
Puerto Rico Government to aggressively attack all alternatives  
is indicated in Table 6 entitled " Summary Table of Total CEER  
Funding Requirements for Example Scenarios".

The details and rationale of the proposed program are contained

in the technical analysis which follows.

-43-

---Page Break---

APPENDIX D

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SCENARIOS

CONTENTS

A. Program Objectives

B. OTEC Economics in P. R. Scenarios

©. Approximate Cash Flow of Funds for a  
Demonstration Project

D. Extrapolation to a larger OTEC Plant

E, Risk Analysis Considerations

F.? Advanced OTEC Concepts

©. OTEC Environmental Research Scenario

11. PHoTOvoLtatcs

?AL Program Objectives

3. Photovoltaics Economics in P. R. Scenarios

C. Cogeneration Photovoltaic Project

D. Advanced Photovoltaic Concept R & D

B, Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar  
Photovoltaics

MII. Bross

Program Objectives

B. Biomass Econonics in P. R. Scenarios

©. Bnergy Research Funds Requirenents for Biosase

D. Advanced Bion:

Prograns

E, Bavironnental Research Scenarios for Biouass

nhhe

Page No.

46

46

46

32

sz

53

54

35

37

37

37

63

66

67

68

68

69

?

ny

15

---Page Break---

contents

Ww,

vy.

vn.

vit.

ETHANOL, (MOTOR FUELS)

A, Potential and Economic Implications

B. Program Objectives

C. Rand D Funds Requirements

D. Advance Concepts for Ethanol

E. Environmental Research Scenarios for Ethanol

SOLAR STEAM

A, Potential and Economic Implications

B. Program Objectives

C. Rand D Funds Requirements

D. Advanced Concepts for Solar Steam

E. Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar Steam

SUGARY TABLE OF TOTAL CEER FUNDING REQUIREMENTS FOR

EXAMPLE SCENARIOS

SUMMARY TABLES

Table 1 ~ Reserved

Table 2 ~ Predictions of Puerto Rico Energy Requirenents  
to the year 2000 under the same present socio?  
economic structures and under the absence of  
strong R and D Program on Alternate Energy

Sources

Table WA- Schedule of Proposed Scenarios Program  
Objectives

?Table 58 Possible Million of Barrels Of1 Saved with

Scenarios

Table 4 - Potential "Energy and Cost Reductions? with  
Example Scenarios

?Table 5 - Possible CHER Revenues from Fuels tax R and  
D Law

Table 6 - Total CEER funds Requiements for OTEC,  
  
Photovoltaics, Ethanol and Solar Steam  
R and D Programs

-45-

Page No.

16

76

79

81

82

82

83

83

ry

85

86



86

87

89

90

90

on

92

93

---Page Break---

## AUALYSIS OF EXAMPLES OF POSSTBLE SCENARIOS IN ERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

1. ore

### A. Program Objectives

1, Denonstration Plant in Operation by the year 1984-85,

4 40 Mi plant should be planned so that extrapolation

to at least a 5-fold scale could be attempted in a

second generation plant. (10 MW Modules as per OTEC-10

POE Program). This plant could generate about 1.12  
of Puerto Rico energy needs by 1985.

2, Large Commercial Plant in Operation by the year 1990,  
?4-250 Mii plant can be planned ag an extrapolation  
of the Denonstration Plant.

The Demonstration Plant plus this plant can generate  
7% of Puerto Rico energy needs by the year 1990.

3. Electrical System Addition on competitive Basis,  
First 500 Mi OTEC Plant in operation by the year 1995  
and addition] 500 Mv OTEC unite in the years 1977, 78,  
and 79. ALL the OTEC units could be generating the  
equivalent of 17.5% of the electrical energy requirenents  
of the year 1999.

3, OTEC Economics in Puerto Rico Scenarios

?A 40 MW Deno Plant is estimated to cost about \$5,000 per  
?ee in 1978 dodtars,

The estimated cost of energy can be roughly figured as

follows:

---Page Break---

Investment charges

4, Project Investuent

(40,000) (5,000) ?) -. -\$200,000,000

b. Yearly Investment charges

at 10% cost of money ~- ?----- \$ 20,000,000

cc. Yearly energy production

298 x 108 kvbe

at 85% capacity factor

4, Investment charges in mills/

eve

~ 67-1 mille/kwhe

Operation and Maintenance (O&M)

The O&M cost of an OTEC Plant cannot be too far off the costs of an equivalent oil plant.

The marine portion, such as hull and exposed sea water parts will require more maintenance, but these parts could probably be taken care of in a larger time cycle than the routine yearly maintenance. This could probably be accomplished by moving the plant to special shipyard facilities.

?Assuming that the single OTEC plant will take the same

amount of manpower as the two (450 Mi each) of fuel

Aguirre Units this would amount to approximately a staff  
of 170 men. At an average salary of \$24,000 per man,

(PHBA average salary for power plants) the total staff

Deep Oil Technology, Inc. Subsidiary

Fluor Corporation. Unpublished. February 1979.

-47-

---Page Break---

Total Staff Salary

$170 \times 24,000 = ?$

\$4,080,000

The ratio for a coal plant (which is a more complex operation) between total staff operation cost including Flue Gas Desulfurization costs has been determined by

GEER Studies to be 2.33. Using the same ratio

Total 0 and ¥

$(2.33) (4,080,000) \approx 9,506,000$

06M costs in mills/

where ??? 31.9

### 3. Fuel Costs

?The fuel costs are estimated to be 0.0 \_

Total costs

Denonstration Project-99.0 mills/kwhr

1978 dollars

1985 Total levelized costs ?\*)

This cost can be estimated by including escalation  
and interest during construction and levelizing the O&M

jealation

cost during the plant 1ifetine. Assuming 7%

er year, one year period planning and contracting arran~

genents, 2 years design and 3 years construction, the  
interest during construction and escalation factors can  
be worked as follows: (Assuming a straight line cash flow

of construction funds)

?¥ For escalation and interest during construction considerations  
as well as levelizing considerations, cost of money, etc. see

ARERR TER REREE Sed MOBY HO SERSEL gy Sommer HALTY ava

~48- u

---Page Break---

PLANING DESIGN



79 1980 1982 1985

Escalation before construction =  $(1.07)$

Escalation during construction =  $(1.07)^{2.5}$

Interest during construction =  $(1.07)^{15}$

Investment Escalation and Interest during  
construction ~ Total Factor = 1s

Operation Escalation at 7% /yegr between

1979 and 1985 ~??-?-?-  $(1.07)^{\text{©}} = 1.5$

Levelizing factor for 35 years lifetime

at 10% cost of money in a 5% infla-

tionary econosy yield a levelizing

factor of 1.75 @)

Total levelized cost 1985

Investment charges:

= 100.65

(67.1) (2.5)

Operation and maintenance

(31.9) (1,5) «1.75

40 si orbe Plant total levels 104.3 mitts,

Higures 1 and 2 indicate the relative cos!

(#) For Escalation and interest during construction considerations

fas well as levelizing considerations, cost of money, etc. see

arate CEER studies (Base line costé of commercially available

energy alternatives in P. R, scenarios).

a 49=

---Page Break---

Comparative Co

The above cost can be compared with 92.5# mills/kwh for a single 450 MW coal plant at Rincón with flue gas Desulfurization, 35 years life and operating at 75% capacity factor (the lower capacity factor is justified in an economic dispatch competition). Figures 1 and 2 indicate the production cost

If the investment charge of the OTEC plant were 8.8

mills/kwh the coal plant and the OTEC plant will have the same energy production costs of 92.5 mills/kwh (total 1e~

velized cost during plant Life); at 8.8 mille/kwhr the

total yearly investaent charge will be \$2.62 millions (85%

Plant capacity factor) which justifies an investuent of §26.2

millions in terms of 1985 dollare for PRARA (or \$17.4 millions

in terms of 1978-79 dollars).

for the RSD and subtructure requirements for a total contri-

Dueion of \$52.5 millions dollare (1985 dollars) from Puerto

Rico, the Federal Governnent contribution to be sought is

267.5 million dollars (1985 dollars).

The fund distribution under this schene could be:

\* CBER Studies on Baseline Costs of Commercially Available Energy Alter

natives. The cost quoted needs revision for cooling water system

acceptable alternativ.

---Page Break---

ION IN TERMS OF 1985 DOLLARS

RRA \$26.2 millions ~ (plant investment)

PLR. Gov, 26.2 millions ~ (R&D)

Fed. Gov. 247.6 millions ~ (plant investment plus  
7a)

\$300.00 millions

Costs, mills pe

PRKRA OSM 83,7)

PRERA Investment.

Sub-total

P. R. Gov. Investment

Total P. R.

Federal Gov. 83.0

Total 184.3

The funds assigned by the Puerto Rico Government should be mainly for R&D, substructure Facilities, laboratories, and operational R&D.

?WD ?This should be the maximum fixed by contract.

(2) This cost is equal to the energy production for the 450 MW coal Plant discussed.

-51-

---Page Break---

©, Approximate Cash Flow of Funds for Deno Project

PLR. Gov. PRURA DOE

tear | year cunmitative | Year cumaulative| year Cumut

79 ~ ~ ? \_

180 2 2 102 16 sx} os

a1 3 2 10x 20 sx} 10

82 ast 4a 102 30 sx | as

83 16 38 oz 40 asz | 30

1 202 ? 20% 60 sox | 60

85, 22 300% 40% 100 4oz\_| 100

Puerto Rico Government should be:

Tn terms of dollars the contribution to OTEC from the

Year | 1980] 1981] 1982 | T9as | 98a Toes

Tas

\$ 3.1] 3.97) 3.93 | 4.09 | 5.24 | 5.86



## Extrapolation to Larger OTEC Plant -(Objective #2)

TE the results of the Deno Project are satisfactory an ex=  
trapolation to build @ 250 Mil plant can be sade with « high  
degree of accuracy. PRWRA can share a higher risk and the Govern  
rent also.

Te is expccted that auch plant would cost \$1500/kw in terns

of 1978 doliars.

=52-

---Page Break---

The cost, of such 2 plant would be:

Investment charges:

2300)" (21)

20.1 nits

8760) C5), Ook wid

and in term of 1990 dollars (with 4

= 39.5 mills \*

06M costs will be

douise. )

?s construction tine)

ssuned to be twice the staff cost (1978

(9,506,000) x 2

TSU, DOO FEY 8S

The levelized 1990 dolla:

(20.2) (2.25) (2,75) = 40.2 milis/ieine

Total cost is 80 mills/kwhr.

Tis i

is much lower than @ fossil plant. PRWRA can finance it completely.

B, Risk Analysis Considerations (of Demonstration Plant of Objective No. 1)

Since PRWRA is a public corporation, it has to operate under sound economic policies in order to market its investment bonds in the open bond market. It cannot invest in any venture without taking a calculated risk. The percentage of investment funds assigned to PRYRA in the preliminary economic analysis presents

here is 8.733% of the total funds.

+ Feasibility Design Studies ~ Deep Oil Technology Inc. Subsidiary Fluor Corp. Unpublished. February 1979.

---Page Break---

If we correlate as a zero order approximation the risks of 4 project success to the investment by the private sector on a one correlation between risk and investment, then we can assume that Gf the chances of success of OTEC are better than 8.733/100 ?the PRIRA is taking an acceptable calculated risk. We feel the risks of OTEC success can be conservatively figured on a 50/50% basis. The balance is to be provided by government. We also feel that the Puerto Rico government, in undertaking the sane risk as PRIRA, is taking an acceptable risk. It is promoting a needed energy alternative which will be multiplied by various orders in additional revenues. CEER studies under consideration WILL quantify this benefit for Puerto Rico Treasury and the general welfare,

Puerto Rico will be taking 17.46/100 combined risk and the Federal Government the balance.

We feel that more refined calculation in risk analysis and

Project co-sharing should be worked out with more time and funds availability to CEER.

#### F. Advanced OT8¢ Concepts

After the first OTEC plants become operational R&D funds need to be secured for improvement of the existing cubryonic technology and technical problems which might arise.

?The foam ORC concept under investigation by CEER should

sigoment

receive more detailed consideration then. A yearly

34.

---Page Break---

of \$1.0 million dollars (1979 ba:

) should be allocated for

these purposes from 1986 on. At 8% escalation beginning in

1979, the following escalated allocations are computed,

ADVANCE OTEC CONCEPT FUNDING (MILLIONS)\_

1986 1987 1988 1989 1990

©. OTEC Environmental Research Scenario

?The primary environmental issues

associated with OTEC appear

to be associated with:

1. heat exchanger design

intake design

discharge design

4, working fluid design

general unit configuration

ALL the above impact upon the process of site selection. A

schematic of the interrelation between the technology development,

the development of needed environmental information and economic/

aesthetic considerations is presented as Table 1.

It is assumed that the funds for environmental research are

included within the allocations already mentioned.

---Page Break---

## TABLE 1

### OTEC ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

CFEC ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMIC] ?AESTHETIC  
TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION ?CONSIDERATIONS  
DEVELOPMENT NEEDED

Heat Exchanger

Design

Biofouling Potential

of different configu-  
rations, materials

and modes of operation

Toxicity of control

treatment



Fouling influences

efficiency, control

methods cost

Potential reduction

in fisheries

2. Intake

Design

Empingement potential

Entrainment potential

Obstruction reduces

efficiency

Potential reduction

of biotic stocks

reduction of fisheries

### 3. Discharge

Design

Field effects of

different

Configurations and

operations

Influence on

currents,

Influence on ele-

mental distribution

Influence on tempe-

ture

Redistribution of

plankton reorientation

of fish

Alteration of primary

productivity-Food chain

Alterations leading to

alterations in fisheries

Bioaccumulation of heavy|

metals in food chains

leading to man

#### 4. Working Fluid

Design

Field effects of

leakage

Acute

Chronic

Direct human injury

Direct kill of organisms

Toxic or stimulatory

effects shifts of comau-

nities, losses of economic|

species, losses of

aesthetically important

FORMS - impact on tourism|

=56-

---Page Break---

TI, Photovoltaics

AL Program Objectives

Small scale demonstration (162 KW) project to be located

at CEER.

This small project will provide know-how to deal

with this new technology and will develop greatly needed

human resources to tackle larger projects.

Project operational by mid 1980. Data gathering thereafter.

2, Electric Power Installation in the higher insolation areas of Southwestern Puerto Rico to provide 250 MW Photovoltaic installation by the year 1993 and an addition of 250 MW photovoltaic plant capacity by the year 1993.

3. A cogeneration project to develop power and steam in an industrial park with the photovoltaic plants.

#### Photovoltaic Economics in P. R. Scenario

1, Storage Criteria for P. R.

It is assumed that  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the energy output of the

Photovoltaics during daylight time (8 hrs) will be deli-

vered directly to the load and  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the energy generated during the same daylight time period will be stored

?Note: The KW power value indicated are on a 24 HR continuous rating (storage included). Assuring an average of 8 hours insolation in the 24 hr. daily cycle, the solar plants will have a peak capacity of three times the average 24 HR rating.

-37-

---Page Break---

for delivery during night hours (16 hrs). This requires 1 KW plant peak capacity for 8 hours to deliver to the load 1/3 KW average capacity for 24 hrs. The charging rate ca-

capacity of the storage system will be, on an aver

basis, twice its delivery rate. This provides an emergency spinning reserve of three times the continuous rate capacity of the photovoltaic installation for the electric utility, since the storage system can be discharged at the same rate as its charging rate. Credit

for the extra

inning? reserve capacity can be credited

at the rate of capital cost of a conventional gas turbine.

To take care of absence of solar radiation during rainy days and overcast skies and storage system maintenance problems @ 25% additional energy storage will be provided.

At an efficiency of collection and production of 4.5%

and aver

average insolation power of 7 KW-hr. per square meter

Per day, the required area for producing 1 KW of continuous power is:

$$3x = 76.2 \text{ a}$$

-38-

---Page Break---

The

average insolation power per square meter is

1/24 or .292 bw per sq. m. per 24 hour day.

2, investment costs

?The cost of @ photovoltaic installation can be

approximated by the following relationship:

Plant cost  $\_S = \text{array cost} / a^2$

7. Power Conditioning Cost (\$)

+ Power Conditioning Cost (\$) + Storage Cost (\$)

cost



The following values are assumed from the present

technology and extrapolation of the same.

1977 dollars

(1) Total array efficiency = 4.5%

(2) Array cost

Solar cell cost? a)

1.0 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> or \$10.00/m<sup>2</sup>?

Wiring, structure,

installation cost/a? \$10.00/m<sup>2</sup>)

Total array cost: \$20.00/m<sup>2</sup>

(3) Storage cost

per kWh \$25,

(4) Power conditioning cost per kwh: \$50

Plant Cost:

$$204 (1.25)(25) (16) + 50$$

$$(045) (.292)$$

$$= 1522 + 500 + 50 = \$2072/\text{kw}$$

?A \$200/kw could be credited due to twice available

(2) Same as cost predicted by Unesco.

G) Costs of \$20.00 per kw-hr predicted by Unesco. Solar electricity and economic approach to solar energy-wolfgang paiz energy development. program  
Goan in "BP European Communities Brussels." UNESCO 1978

=59-

---Page Break---

3. Land and land rights charges:

?The area for the plant (at a rate of 76.2 a? per

KW is 4760 acres of land. An area of 5000 acres will

bbe assumed at \$2000 per acre the land cost is \$10,000, 000

Total Plant Co:

Plant: (250,000) (2072)=  $9518 \times 10^8$

Land: 5,000 acres a 2000 \_  $10 \times 10^8$

$328 \times 10^7$

Investment charges in wills/kw-hr,

The scheduled and forced outage rate for photovol-  
tales must be lower than for an OTEC plant, for which  
an 85% capacity factor has been assumed. We feel that  
three weeks outage per year for photovoltaics is more  
than adequate, for forced and scheduled maintenance.  
This yields 94% capacity factor.

The investment charges at 10% cost of money and 94%

capacity factor will be, in terms of 1977 dolla

Investments charges in wille/lew bh.

= 28) G08

+ .06

(8760) (250,000) (.94)

26 mille per lw-hr.

5. 08M Costs

OGM costs will be figured on the basis of an

-60-

---Page Break---

Plant staff. The area per XW of plant power is 76.2 22,  
therefore for a 250 MY module an area 4760 acres ie re~

quired. Such large farm electronics, wiring, etc. will undoubtedly require some personnel. The following is, assumed:

1 Superintendent

2 Asse.

Superintendent

2 Secretaries

5 shift Supervisors

10 Shift operators

2 Electrical Engineers

4 Electricians

2 Electronic Engineers

4 Electronic Technicians

1 Instrument Engineer

4 Instrument Technicians

1 Mechanical Engineer

3 Mechanics

2 Clerks

2 Janitors

5 Gardeners and general Landscapers

20 Security men (4 guards/shift)

5 Shift chauffeurs

61-

---Page Break---

1. Chauffeur (regular hours)

3 Utility men (general)

2 Chemical Engineers (storage system)

8 Assistant Chemist (storage system)

1. Warehouse (spare parts) supervisor

2 warehouse clerks

1 Accountant

1 Purchaser, estimator

1 clerk

93 Total

Ave. salary per man \$24,000

Total salar:

$(24,000) (93) = 2,232,000$

Assuning a factor of 1.0 for material replacement,

\* ete., (and we believe thi

to be a very highly conser

vative assumption since photovoltaics is a static system).

Year Total OM \$4, 464,000

mills/kw = 4,464,000 = 2.1 witis/kwh

(250,000) (8760) (.94)

Total costs:

Tavestment 25.00

0 and 2210

Total (1978 dollars) 27.1 mills/kwh

62-

---Page Break---

1993 Dollars Cost (5 years construction time)

Total escalation for lavestment (1979-1993) = 2.33

?Total Escalation Factor Salaries (19791993) = 2.76

Levelizing factor for Plant Life for Escelation of



Ogu = 1,75

Investment: ( 26) (2.33) 60.6

Operation (2.1) (2.76) (1.75) 0.2

70-7

The cost of an equivalent coal plant is 148 afite/keh  
(450 "61 coal plant). The photovoltaic concept cost of  
energy ie 48% of the cost of a 450 ty coal plant.

The project should be suitable for comercial financing.

?Te cost of the plant itself, eatinated at \$2072/kw can be  
?rice or higher in cost and still the plant will be compe  
titive with coal. Figures 1 and 2 indicate production cost:

Gogeneration Photovoltaic Project

1, The economics of photovoltaics looks very promising in

the P. R, Scenario, Since a photovoltaic installation takes

4 very large area a power plant site needs special consider-

ation, An industrial park can very well be developed adjacent to the photovoltaic plant where process steam is produced during the daylight hours from waste heat of the solar collectors and backed up with oil fired boilers or biomass fired boilers during the night hours. Such a system will offer

~63-

---Page Break---

great economical incentives to industry. The magnitude of this project will require detailed research which is being Performed at CEER on photovoltaics and waste heat collection.

2. Photovoltaic Cogeneration project cost

estimate,

4. 250 MW Power Plant Cost \$467 millions

b. Cogeneration Cost Estimate (for evaluating level

of RSD funds requirement only).

about 4 KW thermal power is produced for every 1.00 KE

Produced in the CEER 150 KWS cogeneration project under

consideration. A steam flow of 2,122 lbs/hr. at 220°F with

an enthalpy of 765 Btu/lb is predicted together with

an output of 151 kw, There is no condensate return in the

CEER project. For a large co-generation project, condensate

Will have to be returned,

Assuming 100°F condensate (obtainable with sea water

once thru condenser) the amount of heat that can be extracted

is approximately 900 Btu/lb of steam. This is equivalent

to 12,600 Btu/hr, of thermal heat delivered per kw-hr. of

electrical power generation.

The total amount of heat that can be delivered in @

large co-generation project of 250,000 KW will be  $3.15 \times 10^9$

Btu/hr, (Note that the 250,000 KW is the ave. 24 hr. daily

Generation. The plant peak power capacity is three times

~64~

---Page Break---

higher and it stores all the 24 hr. energy in the assumed  
8 hrs. of daylight).

At 60% capacity factor of the steam portion, yearly ge~

neration in thermal heat is  $2.2 \times 10^{13}$  Btu/year. Figuring

conservatively \$2.00 per MUBtu steam cost for @ competitive

project total gross yearly revenues are \$44 million dollars.

The cogeneration

project level of investment will there~

fore be in the order of 800-900 million dollars.

For any such project the RED funds are figured at 6%.

A level of \$50 million dollars will be required for the RED of such a project. Since the project is predicated under an economical basis, electricity being nearly half the cost of @ coal plant, and steas cost such lower than from oil fired plant, the project can be funded by financial enterprises on a commercial venture with FRARA, Fonento

and the PR, Government. The project could be in operation by 1991-1992.

It is assumed that the P, R. Government can contribute with 50% of R&D Funds and the Federal Government with the remaining 50%,

P. R, Government assignment to this project is at « level of \$25 millions (1979 basis).

-65-

---Page Break---

The funding distribution is estimated

Research Punds for Photovoltaic Cogeneration \$106

xe

follows:

Escalation Actual

- 1979 - \_ s10®
- 1980 +50 1.08 56
- 1981 +70 Lay 81
- 982 1.00 1.26 1.26
- 1983 2.00 13600 \*
- 1986 4.00 1.59
- 1985 5.00 un
- 1986 5.00 1.85 7.40
- 1987 4.00 2.00 4.00
- 1988 2.16 1.62
- 1989 2.33 =\_

40.73

. Advanced Photovoltaics Concepts R&D

R&D funds for advanced concepts and material research  
9 well as improvement of existing operations facilities  
should be allocated at least at the level of one million  
dollars yearly (1979 basis) beginning in 1987. When each-

lation is figured at 8% per year from the base year 1979,

~66-

---Page Break---

the following is the net result:

ADVANCED PHOTOVOLTAICS CONCEPT FUNDING (\$ Millions)

1987 1988 1989 1990

1.85 2.0 2.16 2.33

Environmental Research Scenarios for Solar Photovoltaics

?The primary environmental questions arising from this

technology have to do with:

1, site selection, given areas of land involved and

2, the actual construction effects on the sites.

The first question requires research by resource economists

and ecologists on the alternate uses of the land including

?evaluation of the possible destruction of rare and endan-

gered life forms, The second research effort is primarily

of the nature of an Environmental Impact Statement and

might properly be subcontracted to qualified industrial/

?environmental engineering firm,

It is difficult to estimate the costs of environmental

Research efforts required, but it will be assumed that

such costs are included within the allocations indicated,



---Page Break---

mn.

A, Program Objectives (In addition to actual program of species identification and production optimization) +

Design, construction, and operation of a pilot boiler plant with a capacity of 1000 tons of bioass fuel per day achievable by modification of an existing sugar mill, Project can be operational within 12 months after initial authorization, including the collaboration of the PR Department of Agriculture and the

Sugar Corporation. Boiler size is comparable with 62,500 kw electrical power plant boiler and is considered large enough for a seven-fold extrapolation to an acceptable 450 Mw boiler plant.

RRA shall be ready to request bids for 500 Mw steam  
boilers suitable for burning any of three fuels (coal,  
oil, or biomass) by 1981 or 1982, and have an operation  
al plant ready for 1987 or 1988, Additional unit  
could be operating in 1989, A 500 Mw plant operating  
at a 75% load factor will supply 10.7% of the energy  
needs by 1990.

Routine considerations to be given by PRNRA, under

available technological know-how and market conditions,  
for evaluation of biomass on a competitive basis with  
other available alternatives for future electric system

-68-

2

---Page Break---

3B, Biomass Economics in

A

additions beyond year 1990,

## Scenarios

Pilot Boiler Plant: It is estimated that a two-year project demonstrating a 1000 tons per day pilot boiler plant, operational on a 12-months basis, will

cost approximately \$2.5 million in sugar-mill modification and logistics considerations plus \$400,000 for one year operation and data gathering. About 1/3 of

the investment will be in the boiler:

production phase, with special reference to off-season biomass production during a 4-month interval when bagasse will not be available. To produce this fuel the project will require land rentals in the order of 4,000 acres from the Department of Agriculture (\$160,000/year for two years), irrigation water charges (\$96,000/year for two years), purchase of four, 15-tower center pivot irri-

gation systems with pump and diesel engine installations (\$380,000), and purchase of biomass harvesting equipment (\$250,000). the Department of Agriculture budget is estimated at \$512,000, and total production costs at \$1,142,000. With the addition of unforeseen cost items

the total value of the 2-year project is estimated to be \$3.9 million. Continued production and operational

-69-

---Page Break---

2

charges for years 3, 4, and 5 will total \$2.05 million.

This project will provide industrial-scale data

incident tot

a. Biomass production

b. Logi

ice of bionass harvesting, drying, storage,

transportation, and incineration

c. Logistics and costs of bionass-delivery technology

4. Furnace performance and design

Since the pilot project cannot be evaluated under

?a competitive economical basis its costs will be added

to those of a comercial project identified under pro~

gran objective No. 2.

## Large Scale Plant Project

Calculations for a 450 Mi plant will be made in

terns of 1987 dollars and will be compared with «

sinilar coal fired unit.

Cost of pover plant to burn coal end bionass

a, Tavestnent charges

Goal Plant: \$683/kv (1978 dollars)

Bionass plant:

A credit of \$29/net kw can be given to the biomass

plant for the unneeded equipment to burn no sulfur

fuel but at the sane tine additional requirements

---Page Break---

WALL be necessary to burn both coals and biomass in the same boiler. It is assumed these two costs cancel out. The cost of the bionass burning plant

is assumed to be the same

the coal plant.

Biomass pover plant \$683/kw (1978 dollars)

Investnent charges for a coal plant 1985

dollars is 23.2 wills per kwh. Correction for

1987 gives 27 mille/iw. hr.

b, Fuel Costs\*

The fuel coste for bionas

has been figured at

\$25(1) per ton delivered with a heat content of

15,000.00 BTU per ton, This yield \$1.66 per

millions Btu delivered fuel cost (Alex Alexander

information). This cost is taken as 1979 fuel

cost.

Assuming the same carrying charges for a bioass

stock storage of 3 month as was assumed for coal,

the carrying charges in biomass is  $\frac{1}{4}$  (1.66) (.1)

or 4 cents per million BTU, The fuel costs at

1979 dollars level is therefore \$1.70 per BTU

including 3 month stock storage charges.

?) This include \$19.00 per ton production cost and \$6/ton transporte-

tion costs. Drying of bioass will be on the field, cut and

Bales or bundles are truck transported from the field to the

over plant storage pile,

-n-

---Page Break---

Levelized fuel cost 1987 dollar, 7 1/4 % escalation.

1987 fuel Cost = (1.70) (1.0725)<sup>20</sup> yearly

Levelized (35 years) cost!) = 1.75, (2-97)=95.20amtu.

With a plant heat rate of 10,000 Btu/kWhr (at 75%

capacity factor).

o

Levelized fuel cost is 52 mills /kWhr.

Operation and Maintenance of the biomass operation

will be taken equal to a coal plant less the operation

maintenance of a FGD System, This estimated cost



for O&M of Desulfurization System for coal plant)

$is = STR (47) + 10P_{gq} (LF) (1 + \odot)?$ , wher

$8 = \text{sulfur content of coal } 2/100$

$P,$  = price of Limestone \$/ton

$TR = \text{coal firing rate tons/hr.}$

$Paq = \text{price of sludge disposal? \#/ton}$

$LF = \text{plant coal factor}$

$e+$  escalation

$Y = \text{years between time of estimate and beginning}$

?WD See CHER energy study. For levelization theory. This takes into

account rising costs during plant life.

(2) 1 ton of sulfur requires 4 tons of Limestone to produce 5 tons of

This is combined with 5 tons of water to produce 10

tons of wet sludge, which requires disposal.

120

---Page Break---

Using the same figures as for the coal CEER plant study:

$PL = P_{yd} = \$5.50/\text{ton}$

$\delta = .03$

$\tau = 200 \text{ tons/yr.}$

$LP = 75\%$

$Y = 7 \text{ years}$

$e = .08$

Substituting above figures in the formula gives, OM Desul-

furization Plant =  $\$5.2 \times 10^8/\text{year}$

The equivalent:

06M cost in mille/kvh

for Fon system ?) ig

$2 \times 106 = 1.91$  mitis/iwh

(614,000) (.75) (8760)

?The levelized 35 years OM for FGD System

Leverizes () om cost RGD =  $(1.91)(1.75) = 3.35$  milts

The total O&M levelized cost for a coal plant has been

determined at ???- 3.3

??? 3.3

plant = 12.0 mills/kwh (1985 cost)

1987 cost =  $12 \times (1.07) = 13.7$  milte/lwh.

(Q) Coat plant gross capacity is 450,000 kw. Net capacity will be

@

424,000 lew.

The factor of levelization of 1.75 is derived in other CEER studies.

It levelizes the effect of increasing escalation of operation and maintenance during the Life of the plant.

73+

---Page Break---

Total cost for Biomass plant 35 years levelized cost.

dollars is:

Investment (eane as coal plant)

Fuel

om

Total (Biomass fired plant cost)

?The comparable cost for a coal plant is

27.0

52.0

13.7

92.7 mil/kwh

120 mils/iwhe

TE the 92.7 mils/kwhr is corrected for the investment of 6.00 million (escalated) research funds invested in objective number one the correction is rather small. This

correspond to .000357 mils/hr. The R&D funds will be

more than recoverable in the program. In addition the multiplying factor in the Puerto Rico economy of a billion

dollars reinvested in local fuel of bio:

versus coal or oil

more than pays for the project.

The second and third objective of the program can stand

on its own economical basis.

Figures 1 and 2 indicate produce~

### C. Energy Research Funds Requirements for Bionss(1)

3979 19801982982 ©1983 1984 ©1985)

1979 Base 2.0 50h 4

Bocalation 1.0 1.08 1617126136147 1,59

Actual 2.26 0.59 0.50 0.54 58.64

(2) Late revision by Dr. A. 6, Alexander indicate small! additional total  
funding requirenents in the order of \$930,000.

-74-

---Page Break---

zB

is the poss:

Advanced Biomass Programs

For the development of advanced prograne such as flui-

ized bed systems, pelletizing, cycle improvements, technical difficulties of developed methods which needs improvements @ yearly assignment of 3/4 million in 1986 and

91 million thereafter is allocated (1979 basis). When

escalated at 8% per year the results is:

ADVANCE BIOMASS PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT (MILLIONS \$)

1985 1987 1988 1989 1990

8 185 2.0 236 2.33

itch Scenario for Bionass

?The primary environmental issues associated with bio-

mass fuel include:

1, Atmospheric emissions quality and quantity and potential toxicity to humans and other biota.

2. Residue disposal including possible beneficial uses

of the ash as soil amendment:

Secondary environmental research which ought to be pursued

The coupling of sewage and other waste disposal to

the rearing of biomass to ameliorate the fossil fuel subsidy re-

quired for high biomass yields.

Biomass production requires of land and site selection



---Page Break---

to consider the possible alternative uses of the land as in the case of photovoltaic generation.

It is difficult to estimate the cost of the research program for biomass program. However, it will be assumed that such costs are factored within the allocations indicated.

#### IV. Ethanol (Motor Fuels)

##### A. Potential and Economic Implications

Gasoline consumption in Puerto Rico during last fiscal year (1977-78) was 678 million gallons. (?) Gasoline consumption has been increasing and is presently increasing at the rate of 6.62% annually during the last twelve (12) years (1966-1978).

Ethanol could be produced from sugar cane as a motor

fuel substitute at prices which will be competitive with

baseline by the time that a project to produce and market  
ethanol can become a reality. Predicted costs of ethanol  
are in the ranges of \$1.00 to \$1.25 per gallon. ( ),

The equipment and facilities required are existent in

Puerto Rico and they will require relatively small investments for conversion.

Cane juice is extracted by conventional sugar cane

Ling tandum, Juice is clarified in existing sugar mill

U) Office of Energy Data

(2) Sugar crops as a source of fuels - DOE - 1978

-76-

---Page Break---

clarifiers and rotary vacuum cleaners and concentrated to

about 20% total sugar content. From this step on a mo~

dification is required to the sugar will, This modification involve yeast fermentation of the concentrated juices (fermentation can last 12-18 hours) and distillation of the same.

?The cost of additions is in the order of 10-15% of the investment cost of a sugar mill.

In the sugar industry, bad weather or rain is a disaster to the sugar sucrose yield which reduces the revenues of the farmers. This is not good for alcohol production, and

for the contrary it will be an asset.

?The production of ethanol from sugar cane and of electricity from the sugar cane bagasse combined with the uti-

Lization of cane wastes 4

a very attractive program.

Ethanol yields today from sugar cane is 15.6 gallons per ton of green sugar cane. Today the average production

of sugar cane in Puerto Rico is approximately 28 tons

a)

acre. Alexander?) has estimated that with a program partially optimized for biomass, yields as high as 29 tons

of dry bioma

(216 green tons per acre) are obtainable

today. The ethanol yield would be 1800 gallons per acre.

Historically, experience has shown that yields under actual field conditions are much lower than under controlled

(1) The potential of sugar cane as a Renewable Energy Source for Developing Tropical Nations ~ A. G. Alexander

---Page Break---

?experimental facilities. It is therefore logical to

expect a lower yield of ethanol per acre than the is

allocated figure

For the purposes of this calculation we will assume

1000 gallons of ethanol production per acre with 65-75

green tons of sugarcane per acre and 18 tons of dry biomass

In order to produce the same gallons of ethanol

equal to the same gallons of gasoline consumption last

year in P, R. a total of 658,000 acres will be required.

However, because of the lower heat content of ethanol

this will be equivalent to only 60% of gasoline requi-

renents. In addition this plantation could produce the

total energy requirements by the ethanol plant and

nerate 50% of all the electricity requirements for the

year 1982 by burning of baggasse. The acreage indicated

represent 50% of the total agricultural land in PR.

The implications to the sugar industry and to the energy situation in P, R, could be very far reaching

with such a potential progra

However, before any major scale operation is atten-

ted it is necessary to develop realistic information

Pertaining to all the technical data and economic evalua-

tion of @ project to produce ethanol and bioasss for

electricity.

-18-

---Page Break---

#### B. Program Objectives:

1, Selection of saccharum hybrid candidates for evaluation in a combined production of ethanol and dry biomass. The agricultural part of this program is under the direction of Dr. A. G. Alexander and suitable candidates have already been identified.

2, Evaluation of the ethanol production at a Pilot Plant level. A proposal for a pilot plant of 600 gallons per day is under preparation and will be ready by May 30, 1979.

3. Conversion of a sugar mill to handle 4000 tons of sugar cane per day and produce 62,500 gallons of ethanol per day (approximately 2.0% of gasoline consumption during 1977-78) will require an investment of \$1.75-2 million dollars in additional costs plus R&D funds. This project is to function in parallel with the biomass boiler project requiring 1000 tons of dry biomass (4000 green tons) per day. Project operational by

year 1983,

#### 4. Large Scale Operation ~ Goal for 1986

Ethanol production to equal 11% of 1990 ga-

Soline requirements, Investment cost for a new

ee

(D Asounes growth rate is reduced froa present 6.6% per year to 3.3%

Per year. Total 1990 gasoline consumption is predicted to be one billion gallons. One gallon of gasoline is equivalent in heat content to 1.67 gallons of ethanol.

-79- 7

---Page Break---

facility (optimized) \$225 million. Cost could be

Feduced to \$60-105 million if existing sugar mills

fare considered. Economics studies of both alterna-



tives are required. In addition optimization studies of ethanol for electric energy and electric cars scenarios need to be considered versus ethanol for cars.

b. Bioelectric generation with bagasse sufficient to feed 50% of the fuel requirements of 500 % electrical machine at 75% capacity factor (equivalent to 10.7% of the electrical energy needs in the year 1990 as stated under objective number 2 of the biomass program). Investment cost equivalent to a coal fueled electric plant, or \$325 millions.

It was shown that the alternative of direct firing of

bagasse for electricity generation alone was competitive with coal.

The combination should yield additional economic advantages.

The agricultural land requirement for both alternative combined will be twice the value estimated for biomass alone, because

of the lower yields used,

-80-

---Page Break---

R&D Funds Requirenents

?The estinated RED costs of this project, based on  
using existing sugar mill facilities and a total project

cost of \$150 millions at 6-72 of cost is:

[ETHANOL R&D PROGRAM FUNDS REQUIREMENTS

1979 Factor Millions

Year \_\$ millions Escalation § Actual

3980 50 1.08 34

1981 1.00 Lay Lay

3982 1.00 1.26 1.26

1983 1.50 1.36 2.04

3984 1.50 1.47 2.21

1985 1.00 1.59 1.9

1986 0.75 un 1.28

1987 0.50 1.85 93

1988 0.25 2.00 50

1989 0.25 2.16 36

1990 0.25 2.33 58

8.50 12.64

~s1-

---Page Break---

D.\_Advanced Concepte for Ethanol

Research for the production of ethanol at lover costs

include increasing yield production, new methods of fer~

?mentation and distillation and new cycle optinization methods,

Improvenent of technical difficulties of the first ethanol

plants will also require research funds. For these purposes

1/4 milion dollars signed for 1985, 0.8 million for

1986, 1 million for 1987, and 1988, and 1.5 millions for

1989 and 1990 (1979 dollars). After escalating the indicated

allocations the following results

ADVANCED CONCEPT ETHANOL FUND REQUIREMENTS (ESCALATED) \$ MILLIONS

1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990

0.86 1.85 2.0 3.24 3.5

E, Environmental Research Scenario for Ethanol

The principal environmental impact of ethanol production is anticipated to be related to the disposal of the molasses of "poston" which are known to be toxic to marine life at concentrations presently released. Research is needed to determine ways in which the useful components in the molasses may be recovered for their energy and/or nutrient (fertilizer) value. This would enable the former waste to become a by-

product.

-#2-

---Page Break---

Solar Steam

Potential and Economic Implications

Steam can be produced by direct solar concentration, in the

Production of ethanol as motor fuel substitute for gasoline  
there is a requirement to the order of 15-24 lbs. of steam per  
gallon of ethanol. Steam can contribute to as high as 10% of  
the cost of ethanol with today's fuel prices. Reduction costs  
could be achievable in the range of 5-72 if solar energy is used.  
This percentage fractional cost will increase with the increase  
in fuel oil costs.

Other industries using steam could probably achieve cost  
reduction of a larger magnitude.

CEER has developed a solar collector that is a linearly  
segmented compound parabolic concentrator (CPC) with a cylindrical

evacuated tube as the receiver. The collector has a concentration

ratio of 5.25, The efficiency of collection of solar energy is estimated at 55% at 350°F steam, It makes use of direct as well as 45% diffuse radiation of sunlight. It doesn't require daily tracking of the sun position and as such is very low cost, efficient collector that can be used to produce solar steam at a very low installed cost.

Presently there is a project to produce steam for the Bacardi Rum Distillery in Toa Baja (Palo Seco), This project is sponsored by Bacardi. The results of this project can be extrapolated

~83-

---Page Break---

to large industrial type of installation.

The proposed large scale ethanol facility in Section IV will require approximately 100 million pounds of steam per day. Assuming all steam requirements are produced by the solar radiation about 1000 acres of surface will be required to produce all the steam, Assuming a utilization of 67% of land a total of 1500 acres

Will be required. It is not logical to assume full production of steam by solar radiation, because the ethanol facility will have to operate on a 24 hour basis. One third of the steam requirement could be assigned to solar energy.

This will require 500 acres, About 17-20% more electricity

could be produced by the electrical plant since now 33% more fuel

in bagasse will be available for the electrical production,

Very rough calculations indicate that this project will cost

\$200-250 million dollars, could produce 10-15% profit on investment

and sell the steam for half the cost of an equivalent of 1

fueled plant (\$2 vs \$4 per 1000 pounds of steam).

### 3, Program Objective

Economical feasibility and optimization studies and

Geeten to provide steam in the order of 33 million pounds

Per day £0 an ethanol plant (producing 11.% of the gaso-  
Line requirenents by the year 1986).

2 Develop the RED Program to make a reality of ouch a pro-  
Sect operational by the year 1986.

84-

---Page Break---

Extend the technology for general industrial uses by the  
year 1988 to the use-Level of 5 percent of industry ofl

requirement for the year 1988 and 10% by 1990-1995 4

quirement

RéD Funds Requizenents

?The RED requirements a1



figured as follows:

\* So ee

?yn ; oe

-85\_

---Page Break---

#### D. Advanced Concepts for Solar Steam

RAD funds will be required for materials improvement programs which will result from the operation of the first installations, efficiency improvement for greater yield per

solar collection area, etc.

The escalated allocation for this program is.

?ADVANCED CONCEPT YOR SOLAR STEAM FUNDING CESCATED) (\$ MILLIONS)

x 19871988 a 3990

8 185 20 2.16 2.33

Environmental Research Scenatios for Solar St

The same environmental considerations given to the photovol~

tates and cogeneration concepts

plies to the solar steam concept.

Vi. SIMOURY TABLE OF TOTAL GEER FINDING REQUIREMENTS FoR EUVOLE SCENARIOS

TABLE 6 (Cole. 1-12)

?TOTAL CHER FUNDS REQUIREIENTS FOR  
8c, PHOTOVOLTATCS, BLOWASS, ETIAMOL WD SOLAR STEAM RED PROGRAMS

MILLION DoLLARs

dias 4 5 6 7 6 a

oe, asics siowass [eran ??T

08. [Ruorov. aay. pumecr| aov- ?oras

jreanlorece [ora | paoseci| coxcer.prnznc | covcee|rosecr lscasantos

9eo{3.41 3 2.16 rn i

hssi [3.97 81 0 a] 677

1982 3.09 1.26 50 1.26, 7.3

i983 4.09 27 sé 2.06 10.07,

t9ea|s.24 5.08) 38 2.21 wr 15.38

1985)5.06 2.95 su | nse! a | ane [9.62

hose 17 f a | 26] eel ass [a | aziss

hoe? teas [7.40 | 185 nas | .93[ tas] 9.70 | a.as | 21.28

hoe 2.00 [4.00 | 2.00 2.00 | 50] 2.00] 4.00] 2.00 | 18.50

1989 2.16 | 1a | 2.16 216 | sé] 3.28) 2.16 | 2.16 | 16.20

1990 235 233 2.33] 8] 3.50) 17 | 2.35 | 14.57

70, T

traisi2s.2 |r0.02| 40.73 | 9.34 5.02) 9.14 | i264) ties! 25.62 | 9.14 | 158.73

(1) Assumes Federal Government Participation in a ratio of 4.88 to 1,0, where the Puerto Rico

(2) Assumes equal participation by the Federal Government (DOE).

(2) Latest estimate revised by Dr. A. G. Alexander is six million dollars.

-87-

---Page Break---

VII. SUMMARY TABLES

---Page Break---

tase 2

ESTIMATES OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY MEQUIREMENTS TO THE YEAK 2000  
UNDER PRESENT SOCIU-LcOKOKIC STRUCTURES At) ABSENCE OF  
?STRONG R AND D FROCKAM ON ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES





? 2) om o @ ©

{LHPORTS POH EST DATED

wean [EIRGTRFCAL | casouine |] ?aabosmay | oraz |STHMTED | oo coe

euenoy (1) |e oresenia)|\_e'omencsy 2)? Sash, | 1s min2iona

a7 26.3 64.7

230 2s ez?

2a: tas 65.0)

2650 2527 8:1] 47 Toor

as 363 Tij 16.78. "03

20 27 752] 9-7 aa

33.7 EDT 7831-30 Toa

313 30.5 Baz] 95°00 2055,

S36 32.0 B61 | 28.55, Base

355 Bae 23:9] 92.70, 2330

36:7 35 93:41 36.29, 3390

ars ari 16:9 | 40-78 S305

aaa 38:3) CAECBTS 4635

as:8 20:5 29:60. 3396

ae a9 35.00, 66

308 a 38.75 79

3a ar 335.2 |e Te E86

= 23:7 130-8 [67-96 3295

z 32 13t-0 [780 3796.

Em 142-6] 76.80 ?| ?vos3ø

B75 148.9] 12 12078

20:8 135.2 | 6.00 13347

ea Yez.3| 91.15] 14799

66.6 368.6 | 96-62 ?{ ?v6200

63.3 175.61 y2.6 e016

\$155,829,

(1) Statistical Correlations between population and GXP and between Gx? and  
Bloctrical Energy Generation. Correlation 998. Seo hypendie a

{2) Gasoline Consumption growth projected conservatively between 21/2 - 3%

Ber year va. 6.6% actual. Nore accurate predictions to ve: included Sn

Caen Energy Studies

(9) Industria needs projected at 5% per year growth. More accurate predictions to be included in CEER Energy Studies,

(4) fuel oil prices escalation indicated in approximately 1960-85: 14.32/year; 1985-90: 11% year; 1990-95: 6.8E/year and 1995-2000; 62 year,

-29-

---Page Break---

Page 24

SCENARIO OF PROPOSED SCENARIOS PROG ODBC











oO @ oO. ©© o

Tae] Re P| Saas EASE | REG SOR RT OMT ony

execu [oreamosvod ctoanereg.)| niutton aay on

? }esimo. sus. | ino. Sr

1987 Sa ~ 20

71989. oe 4.0. 20

Heo ? [=a az wo a

95 eo 2

13r ET oc a0

1958 ? ee

ase ZT 7 es

3997 250 es

1388 i eo ex

i359 + ee 0

2500 =i ee 2

(1) ne tease 9-S00m base toed unkes will bo required in the period considered.

hagieional foveit fected sits nende te be s0ded,

aU 38

FOSSIBLE MILLIONS BARRELS OTL SAVED WITH PROPOSED SCENARIOS

@ 3) Oe oo ©

THORTOTERES mouse |, SFRO

eucree | STEM casohoil eceste(a| steam | rors

ie x

= at 758

333 2 38.07

Toe 1 20

19.6 37 saa

aa 18:6 a 3650

33a 10.6 \$0 aes

ps3 Toe 32.08

[3003 8:6 sob ei-or

Fo 19:6 . 65.38

700] 70.6 . 7338

7004 16:6 oo Tss e002

(2) estimated 80 habe per ton of (S18 moisture) boggase.

-90-

---Page Break---

rane 4

POTENTIAL, "ENERGY awp cost nxpucTrONS®

WE EXANDLE GCENARIOS



a wa 3) ro)

TEU maT FATT

10° ams pontans (oF scanntoe

lean SAVING EXTINGS TERVINGE OF

vam sceutos | wim seananzos | orat-now sezeaszos|

905 o.sa 17.33 ous

566 S64 wats a

67 Te:aç aa ae

988 18.07 308 7

fa Tad 7363 ae

fi 3377 as? De

351 3877 15ar a

3352 S77 209 on

1953 23550 ana a

oe att ise a

53s sag aoa mn

fae 0:31 aiecs aoe

fear ee 30 5.705. 30

558 7335 67386. ame

558 30.08 932 a

2000 80.82 EH ae

fromus| 20.0 1415.62 7.48 51,909.0 36

{iio \_ssas.ose |

-91-

---Page Break---

TABLE 5

POSSIBLE CHER REVENUES FROM PUES TAX RED LAW





a @ @ © © © @ ®

RELLION 1-5 /10" BU BeOS wae BSTO ag  
BARRELS. 7a EN 7

year | Cousunprigy  
with ss08} 8 st08} 8 s108 | a  
SCENARIOS

1980\_} 71.70 6.45 | 0.53

1961] 75.20 6:77 10-47

1982 77-80

1983 | 2.20

1984 | 86-10. T37 [ss

3985] 09-37 Tiaras

1986] 87.76 73.16-[ar

1987] 65.96 2:05[-37

7988] 85.53 12:83 [ 33

3989] 85.40 2.81 [30

7390" 12.02 [27

399% 1292 126

1992 13.71 [9a

1993 13.35 [-72

7994 73.92 [31

7995) 13.4920

7996. 13.32 [ie

33377 332 7

1998 a6.

1999. a1

2000 5

---Page Break---





0210 o\_o cork 06. 22 & ox

094 HLIM INVI~~d~~ 1¥09 SSOH9 MW OSt

Tala

QWWIA7 %E 31H NOILYTANI ANONODI

(%£90'6 449) %E LY LNVISNOD SLNANAYS ONDS ONIXNIS ONY 153431N1

eo LY 4WYLSNOD NOILINYSNOD ONIuNG 4574741

YAP KO NOUYTVISI ?STLVN JONYNIVLNIV ONY SHIAVTYS ALIUILA 7V91HL2I79

YAP 8 4L 1839144 NOLLYTYDSI 1¥09

AsO YOLDVs THN WOVM HIM ONY ?L9z73

ee sec oeee ks! hp! 8698 THLOL

ore cos don For pe fr oH Fe Woo

oes oz OveiE 61 oss) 2-601 ek eee aE tang



One fee | S887 vor oe Vor Tee eee BaF ons

oor

bo

008

oor

ay mM 1207 STUN

pa7y2027

0001

oor

021

oor!

cow

-94-

---Page Break---

FIG.2

ALTERNATIVE

1300

1200

420 mw cont

wits Foo

Bs ees

ye aw 7 ene

pez] 2027

1100

1000

900

100

20

a

2)

K

29

wy

oV

Z S2/¥L10A0LONE MW OSE ~F

532 2340 MW O52 -£

3 9° \ SSYNOI8 MN Ost -z

-

~~ 310 MM oF =I

©

& 8 wang de

2u

ay

START-UP YEAR

# ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL, PROBLEMS IN PUERTO RICO

## APPENDIX

### LONG RANGE FORECAST OF ENERGY NEEDS IN PUERTO RICO

#### CENTER FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO

-96-

---Page Break---

## APPENDIX &

### LONG RANGE FORECAST OF PUERTO RICO'S ENERGY NEEDS

#### ELECTRICAL ENERGY FORECAST

##### AL General

The problem of forecasting long range estimates of energy

use is a rather difficult task because of all the uncertain-

ties involved in the development of new technologies and

changing habits which will affect considerably the estimates

?An attempt has been made to forecast for a length of period in which present embryonic technologies could be extrapolated in a qualitative sense. A 40 year period, up to the year 2000, is believed to be long enough to provide for such an extrapolation and at the same time provide energy planners with an overview of the next four decades for the adequate focusing of energy alternatives.

GEER interest is mainly in the energy or fuel alternatives Scenarios which are required to power the Puerto Rico socio-economic development ; therefore, the forecasting has been restricted to the total electrical energy generation which is responsible for the fuel consumed in the electrical plants.

Classical statistical regression analysis were used, (1)

?The approach adopted was as simple as possible so as not to

complicate the prediction with complex relations and hypotheses

such as postulating saturation functions, etc.

1) Statistics] Methods of Decision Making, W.A. Chance 1969.

RATNSDORSEY EMTDs Fon, Ontarior

-97-

---Page Break---

?The prediction of energy generation requiresent is recognized

to be based on two main factors:

Population

2 Economic welfare or income per eapita of the population.

The above factors vere be analyzed statistically in making the prediction, After the mathenatical relationship were established, then judgenont of past experience and insight of new technologies and changing habitswere considered to Select the most appropriate relationship.

The energy prediction vas be based simply on a correlation between total GNP at constant prices and electrical energy.

The GNP vas, be predicted from the product of population predic tions, times the GNP/capita prediction at constant prices. Popu- Jations have already been predicted by the Planning Board up to the year 2000, GUP up to the year 1983. Our predictions vill be,

therefore, somewhat uncertain for the period 2000-2020.

## B Population

Population is a very sensitive variable in the prediction of

energy needs. Different government programs, economic welfare,

social and religious groups' attitudes may influence to a certain

degree, the population growth. Meléndez (2) indicates that the

Growth rate of the economy of a nation responds better to a moderate

change in the population, than to a rapid growth rate as is the

Present case concerning Puerto Rico, where population is doubled in

the next 20 years.

@ Conferencia sobre Economía y Población, Dr. Juan A. Santiago Meléndez

de Conferencias y Foros: Núm. 4 Departamento de Economía Universidad,

de Puerto Rico, Río Piedras, Puerto Rico,

---Page Break---

Less than 35 years, or to a slow population growth rate such as

doubling of population every 200 years. Doubling times of the

order 50 years in the population is considered moderate and adequate to help the economic growth.

Aapi

Population growth rate causes severe impact on the nation's substructure, the balances of resources and requires higher investments from outside sources, etc. A very slow Population growth rate on the other hand can create problem if the population matures in age and there are not enough youth



to replace those leaving the labor force. This has been experienced in certain areas of Japan. However, the concept of optimal population growth is difficult to determine because of the many factors involved.

The Planning Board has predicted a population for Puerto Rico of 4,675,000 for the year 2000. Planning Board Population Predictions on a city by city basis up to the year 2020 has been made.

The population of Puerto Rico in 1960 was approximately one half of that predicted by the Planning Board for the year 2000, i.e. the predictions indicated a doubling of the population in this 40 year period.

Using 4 linear regression analysis on historical population data, dating back to 1962, and the Planning Board predictions up to the year 2000 as input data to the regression analysis

in which the total number of input points

22, gives the

following equation:  $y_p = 2166.9 + 65.05 x$

-99-

---Page Break---

where  $y_p$  = population in thousands,  $x$  = year referred to the 1960

ie) year less 1960, Coefficient of determination of above equation,

$r^2 = 0.98$ , indicating a significant correlation of 99%.

The predicted population calculated in this manner for the Year 2020 will be 6,070,110. The approximate doubling time of the Present estimated population of 3,338,000 using the above linear relationship is 51.3 years. This is within the range satisfactory for an adequate economical growth as pointed out by Meléader. ©)

40 exponential regression of population was also attempted.

The exponential relation gave same degree of correlation and

coefficient of determination as the linear relationship but the

doubling time of the present population was 35 years. Since this

should not be the policy of government as previously indicated

it was discarded. The exponential relationship wa

Population

cauals to 2308.66, times  $e$  elevated to the exponent 0.024, x

having the sane meaning as before,

The predicted population for the year 2020 vith this

exponential relation vas 7,300,580. This was dis,

Of the more appropriate linear correlation indica

Population in the year 2020,

ee

G) op. ete,

---Page Break---

?The predicted population data to be used in the study are:

TABLET ~ POPULATION  
BY LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

ven POPULATION (ettat0Ns)

1979 3.47

1980 3.59

1981 3.65

182 3m

198 3.78

1985 3.92

1990 4.26

1995 4.52

2000 4.67

2005 5.09

2010 5.42

2015 5.75

2020

## Economic Welfare

It will be assumed in the study that the overall economic welfare of the country will be maintained and improved. The GNP per capita in constant dollars is a measure of this index.

Therefore, if the total economic welfare of the country is to be improved, the GNP per capita in constant dollars should reflect a small or moderate yearly increase. The total GNP at constant dollars

to the population growth rate in the rate GNP per capita. The total GNP in current dollars should further reflect any increase due to the inflation price factor.

should then reflect a yearly increase of at least equal

101.

---Page Break---

The Gross National Product (GNP) sums up the economic activities of the country in terms of production of goods and services. The total

consumption of electrical energy by all sectors of the economy is very sensitive to thin variable and can therefore be satisfactorily correlated. Statistical tests can determine how good the correlation is.

The Planning Board has predicted total CNP values in

current dollars up to the year 1983 as indicated in Table IL below:

TABLE IT ? ECON. sNDEXES

Planning Board Prediction (of GKP)

Current Dollars (\$ thousands)

	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983,
Current \$	9835.0	10750	1,693	12,710	13,795
Constant \$	4047.4	4298.8	4,549.7	4,814.0	5,090.1

Constant dollars were estimated by assuming a 10 percentage

Points increment in inflation for the year 1979 and 7 percentage points increment for the remaining years. The 1978 inflation factor relative to 1954 (the year that the Planning Board used to reflect constant prices) is calculated to be 2.33 from the Planning

Board reports on current and constant dollars data.

Using the predicted populations for the years 1979-83 the

above GNP in constant dollars were converted to GNP per capita,

=102-

---Page Break---

These data together with historical data back to the year 1962

were then retrieved by statistical methods. Four types of regres-

sion analysis were tried, including, Linear, exponential, loge

arithmic and power. The best fit correlated with a 97.5% corre-

lation coefficient or 95% coefficient of determination, This

fit was:  $y = 546.87 x^{.77}$ , where:  $y$  = GNP/capita in constant

1954 dollars,  $x$  = year - 1960,

Predicted values with above equation indicate yearly improvements in GNP/capita at constant dollars of the order 0.5 to 1.5 to 1.0% which is considered adequate and on the low side,

The predicted GNP per capita at constant dollars was multiplied by the predicted population to obtain the total predicted GNP at constant dollars.

## Electrical Generation

The total electrical generation was correlated with the total

GNP giving excellent correlations. Results were as follows:

1) Linear Correlation: Coeff. of determination 98%; doubling

Time: 20 year

2) Power Correlation: Coeff. of determination 98%; doubling

Time: 11 years



3) log Correlation +: Coeff. of determination 971

doubling

?Time: over 40 years

4) Exp. Correlation : Coeff. of determination 93%; doubling

Time: 5 years

~103-

---Page Break---

A statistical test indicated excellent correlations on all the above.

Of all of the above correlations the log and exponential correlations are discarded because of poorer correlations relative to the Linear and power correlations and because of the very slow and very fast growth rates respectively. The Linear and power regression analysis represent reasonable selection projections.

Electric power generation has been doubling every 5 years

during the 1960 decade. During the present decade it has been

doubling every eight years. A doubling time of 11 years for the 1980-90 decades is therefore, not unreasonable. Doubling times of the order of 20 years might be appropriate beyond the year 2000,

If the same level of technology and habits are maintained, it is felt however, that new technologies and new consumer goods will impact beyond present expectations on further needs of electric power. One example, could be the development of urban electrical vehicles requiring nightly battery charging. This requirement might offset

the leveling of power growth as predicted by a Lin

relationship.

Also, the development of new technologies for producing electrical

Power from renewable sources (solar) might bring down costs

enhancing

an increase in the demand. We, therefore, feel that the power fit

represents an adequate description of future electrical generation

Production.

given by,  $KWHR_{gen} = (0.001294) (GIP)^{1.96} \times 10^6$

where the unit for GIP is million dollars.

at 1954 constant

~ 14

---Page Break---

Table IIL indicates the correlation data for population, GIP and Electrical Energy. The figures given for electrical energy consumption are comparable to PRWRA forecasts but they tend to be on the low side. Power Technology(3) prediction for the year 2000 is  $38,261 \times 10^8$  KWtIR generation which is comparable to our Prediction of  $42,910 \times 10^8$  KwiR within 5% difference.

The prediction of electrical energy generation for the year 2020, shown in Figure 1, using the above selected relationship is 89,120 millions Kwh, which is slightly over six times the current electrical energy generation. Energy planners and researchers must, therefore, think of energy alternatives for Puerto Rico in @ scale as large as six times today's demand by the time when supposedly some energy alternatives being researched today could be highly competitive economically. Electrical energy is used round the clock, hence, large storage systems on direct solar derived energy must be looked at in perspective.

(Dy Tong Tange Soles Forecasting Study for the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority, Kevin A. Clements and Robert de Mello, Poet Technologies, Inc. Schenectady, N.Y. May, 1976.

-105-

---Page Break---

TABLE LIT

(GNP POPULATION AND ELECTRICAL: PRODUCTION CORRELATION DATA

CONSTANT PRICES (1954)

Fiscal Gnr/capita Population ?\_?<GNP\_?\_Electric Prod.

Year S/capita Thousands ?\$ millions 106 KW-hr

2 694 2,228 1683.9 2,570.7

63 736 2473 \$820.7 21934.5

ou 768 23523, 1938.9 3,403.2,

65 817 2,568 4099.2 3,819.2,

66 861 25603 1240.6 4,429.8

or 892 23623 1239.4 5,040.7,

68 927 23650 1455.3 51770.9

69 1000 2,685 24684.0 6,654.5

10 1070 2 2,901.4 7,339.5

n 1120 23747 3,075.6 8,513.3

n 1139 23823 3,215.9 10,2280

3 nas, 2,910 354503, 12,778.0

% 1168. 2,991 3,493.6 1,329.3

15 a3 3,076 3,424:7 12)208.9

6 no 3,167 31487.3 12)349.8

n 16. 31266 y644.% 1312904

78 1150 3,338, 3)837-5 13,7559

» 116.6% 3,470 aloa7ias 143611.2

80 1217.88 31530" 4)298.84 15142916

al 1246.52 31650\* 41549178 16307:2

2 1294.18 3)720« Gyei4.o\* 17519775

85 3310.9 3,920 5,138.7 23,684

90 4377.5 4,260 5,868.15 30,734

95 36.4 43520% 6,492.53 37,483

2000 1439.4 4,670" 6,955.50 42,910

2005 1537.8 51090 77827.40 54,106

2010 1582.5 5,420, 8,577.15 64,748

2015 1624.0 3,750 9,338.00 76,505

2020 1662.8 6,070 10,093.20 89,120

\* planning Board Predictions

-106-

---Page Break---

REFERENCES:

rs Statistical Methods for Decision Making, W. A. chance

1969.

TIGIIN-DORSEY LMI, Mokeleton, Ontario.

2 Gonferencia sobre Econonfa y Poblaciéa, Dr. Jaime A.

Santiago Meléndez, Serie de Conferencias y Foros? Néa. &

Departamento de Econoaia, Universidad de Puerte Ricoe

Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico.

x Long Range Sales Forecasting Study for the Puerto Rico

Water Resources Authority, Kevin A. Clements and Robert.

ge Mello, Power Technologies, Inc.? Schenectady, N. Ye

May, 1976,

-107-

---Page Break---





livviayo

fs Taa13

a deaneusuctsl

Vv e

OT vaaNas ?SUH AN Tone

fist ov .

lh 12) 3a) aM ON

é

i

ae

?NOILY?

'SLN3)

Sie.







ae

Yd a3:

3





Tr

3

BON SHH MX NZS

04

~108-

---Page Break---