

PRNC054

* PRNC=54

PUERTO RICO NUCLEAR CENTER

RESONANT ACTION OF Low

. CHROMOSOMES INCOR ?4S

SOCHROMATIC X-RAYS ON

MODEOXYURIDINE

OPERATED BY UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO UNDER CONTRACY

NO. AT 30-1893 FOR U. S. ATOMIC. ENRGY COMMISSION

---Page Break---

RESONANT ACTION OF LOW ENERGY

MONOCHROMATIC X-RAYS ON CHROMOSOMES

INCORPORATED WITH 5-BROMODEOXYURIDINE,

FP. K. S. Koo and H. J. Gonberg

?This investigation is a part of the Resonance in Radiation Effects program. It was carried out at the Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, operated by the University of Puerto Rico under contract No. AF (0-1) -1833 (Project 14) for

|. 8. Atomic Energy Commission.

?The following is a pre-print only and is not to be quoted or cited as a reference.

vanuary 1965

---Page Break---

Resonant action of low energy monochromatic X-rays on
chromosomes incorporated with 5-bromodeoxyuridine

by

FP. K. 8. Koo and H. J. Gomberg

Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, Mayaguez, P. R.

Defining radiation as electromagnetic waves or photons,
it has been shown that radiation effects are often a function
of the energy or wavelength of the incident radiation, one
of the best known examples is the induction of mutations and
chromosome aberrations by ultraviolet light. It has been well
demonstrated that UV light of about 2600 Å wavelength is most
effective in producing genetic changes and also that the
wavelength corresponds to the peak of the UV light absorption
spectrum for nucleic acid.* However, the relative efficiency
of the UV quantum at 2600 Å in producing genetic changes, based
on mutations or chromosome aberrations produced per unit energy
absorbed, does not appear to change. The change in effectiveness
would thus appear to be due to the increased absorption of the
2600 Å photons rather than the greater efficiency of the absorbed
photon or energy.

Higher energy radiation such as X-rays may also be character

Astically absorbed as determined by the constituent atons of the

system being irradiated. The interaction between photons and

—

4

4

---Page Break---

electrons by the photoelectric process is strongest with the most strongly bound electrons, i.e., the K-shell electrons.

Furthermore, for a given shell the interaction reaches the peak at the photon energies just above the ionization potential for the shell, and it falls off rapidly with increasing energies. We are particularly interested in the dependence of observable radiation effects on the energy of the incident radiation. Any change in effect with energy my be due either to change in absorption, such as occurs with Uv Light, or due to a change in efficiency (effect per unit energy absorbed) as a function of energy.

Previous attempts to elucidate the energy or wavelength

dependence of X-radiation effect in biological systems have yielded inconclusive and often contradictory results.?

Although the results of catcheside and Lea? showed a definite increase in the production of chromosome aberrations in *Tradescantia* pollen tubes at a wavelength of 4.1 & all previous studies in this area all not unequivocally demonstrate any energy dependence of X-irradiation effect as the yield which represented the efficiency was not expressed in terms of the effect produced per unit of energy absorbed. It should be noted that most of these studies were carried out using radiation sources with rather broad bands of emission energies, or with characteristic emission source with

---Page Break---

-3-

energies well above the K-absorption edge energies of the constituent elements. To help resolve the question of resonance phenomena in radiation effects, a program employing monochromatic adjustable X-ray sources (Bragg type spectrometers) has been in operation for some time.*

emphasis has been placed on distinguishing carefully between

any changes in radiation effect due to changes in the absorption coefficient of the system under study, and the efficiency of the absorbed radiation in producing its effect.

in a study of radiation inactivation of catalase, Brmons®

and Paraskevoudakis® at the University of Michigan, and Lus at the Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, found that the efficiency itself changed with change of incident energy, In three separate studies, the catalase was irradiated at energie: below, at, and above the K-absorption edge of iron which is contained in the porphyrin ring structure. A sharp, significant increase in the destruction of the ability of catalase to react with hydrogen peroxide, on a 'per unit energy absorbed' basis was observed in each case, as the incident photon energy crossed the iron K-absorption edge

Since the genetic effect is one of the most important consequences observed in living systems following exposure

it is of considerable interest to study the

actions of monochromatic X-rays on genetic material in the

---Page Break---

4s

energy range of the K-absorption edge of their constituent elements. such exploration may lead to a better understanding of the nature of radiation-induced mutations and chromosome aberrations.

The chemical composition of DNA is known but that of nucleoprotein aggregates and chromosomes is not completely understood. Nevertheless the chromosomes are believed to contain elements with low atomic numbers, To facilitate the investigation, heavier elements such as bromine and iodine in the form of halogenated thymidine analogues may be introduced into DNA and chromosomes. These heavier target atoms can be conveniently irradiated with the commercially available equipment at the K-absorption edge energies of these elements.

The target atom chosen for this study is bromine, which can be incorporated into chromosomes through the use of 5-bromodeoxyuridine (5-bromouracil deoxyriboside or BUDA).

The chromosomes thus treated contain 5-bromouracil which replaces the base thymine in the DNA, The 5-bromouracil

differs from thymine by having bromine in the place of the methyl group. Although the actual incorporation study was

not performed in our experiment, it is believed thi

BUDR-incorporation in the onion root chromosomes in view of

the success of other incorporation experiments. It has

---Page Break---

-5-

been shown that the halogenated deoxyuridines including
BUDR can be incorporated into DNA during replication in

* he

microorganisms, human cells, and plant speci

evidence of BUDR-incorporation into the ONA of *Allium cepa*

also

root cells n reported recently by Futik and

?This communication summarizes the results?® on the
resonant actions of X-rays in the energy region of 12.5 -

15.5 Kev on chromosomes which have been treated with 5-
bromodeoxyuridine.

Material and Method - The onion seeds (variety Yellow
Burmuda from Burpee) were germinated on wet filter paper in
petri dishes at 25°C. Roots reaching 6-8 mm long were treated
with BUDR solution at a concentration of 15 ug/ml for 15 hours.
For irradiation the BUDR-treated roote and the control were
washed and arranged in an exposure area of 6 x 9 mn at the
center of the Plexiglas sample holder. The area on two sides
was delimited by two stripe of Plexiglas to form a trough
0 that the roots could be arranged between the two strips.
?The exposure azea and the surroundings were first padded

with wet filter paper and then the treated and control roots were arranged in two separate rows (upper and lower) with tips opposite each other. The samples were again covered

with wet filter paper and finally to keep the moisture in,

r

---Page Break---

-6-

the whole holder was wrapped in Saran Wrap. The exposure

area was aligned with the beam delivered from « General

Electric X-ray Diffraction Unit xRD-5 operated at 25 Kv and

25 ma. The irradiation system employed a combination of collimators and a LiF diffraction crystal to produce a beam

of monochromatic X-rays with a high purity of energy (+ 50 ev) .t%

The samples were irradiated for three hours at a beam intensity

Of approximately 5.9×10^9 photons per square centimeter per

hour, and then returned to petri dishes for recovery for 21

hours at 25°C followed with 0.2% colchicine solution treat-

ment for 3 hours before being fixed in Carnoy's solution. six

Photon energies, namely, 12-5, 13.2, 13-48, 13.7, 14.1 and

15:5 Kev, with one energy level of irradiation per day, were applied. For cytological examination, the material was treated with 4°70 pectinase for 2 hours and the root tips, approximately 1.5 mm long, were squashed in a combination of aceto-orcein

and -carmine staining, Roots treated with BUDR but not irradiated were also prepared as controls of the effect of

BUDR alone.

rations observed at metaphase in the root tip cells of *Allium copa* in both treated and control series include chromatid and chromosome breaks, free acentric fragments,

interchanges, and others. In sunmarizing the data, all

---Page Break---

-T-

aberrations involving breakages are grouped together as

chromosome breakages and are presented in wuble 1. The

changes was extremely infrequent. There

were only two observed in some 240 aberrations scored:

In four out of twelve series, the number of cells examined was less than 100. For comparison, aberrations in al?

are expressed in terms of the number of breakages per

100 cells. In the FUDR-treated material (see Table 1, column

the number of breakages at the photon energy 12.5 KeV was 2.9 per 100 cells but it increased with increasing photon

energies. The amount of breakage arose sharply to a maximum of 27.9 breaks per 100 cells, showing a 3-fold increase, at the K-absorption edge energy of bromine (13.6 Kev), and then decreased slowly as the photon energies were further raised

with the exception that the aberration yield increased again

at 15.5 Kev. In contrast, there was no evidence of resonance radiation effect in the control material which was irradiated

at the same time with th

some series of photon energies as

the BUdR-treated material. The variation in aberration yield from treatment to treatment was relatively small (see Table 1, column 9).

As the essence of this investigation the efficiency of production of chromosome aberrations is elucidated as the

photon energy of an effectively monochromatic beam of X-rays

---Page Break---

*WoFaeTPEX-x Jo junoues 943 peqrosqe

Squowseer3 93 TTe Jea GuTIMsse Aq pegenfpe sy STAD COT xed Sabeyeorg Jo ZeqUNU aut,

?pouTWeXa STT99 gTZ UT punos exOM ByLAlq G ?paqeTpEATy you aq ?UNE YsTM peseexa
e700r 0g,

ret ou

? seer 26

Stet ert

eer ror

erat Lor

86 We

?~- sabeawesd

Byoor Tossa

9

9

TERI EY Teaasy wameReSTE pa

?pres Dor wed vou Teac

9b

T0e

sree

se

60k

eer

638

jBOeAtee

fer

eer

ree

ole

out

oe

at

se

of

6

Ww

a

Tis sere PONE THERES ? Tas

BT195 COT aed

___sefexzezq ?on -

3004 poqeeay- Wane

vou Tea0x

xRIBS TAFTT! 30 stte9 d73 300% Tox3u20 pue pazeezs-euTpynAxoepouorq-S UT
POTHPA le Skex-x ST FBUOXYSOUOWL Aq Paonpoxd SaBEYeDRG auosCue.r:7

soyézoua voroy!

BB oMB2e SST

TT MMSE THT

6oz ogg'Se LET

fet of?"92 gH'sT

96 0692 _aET

SET gen'ge = Stat

stro ayT)?? uono4a

ton ee xed

#6x0ug

T ersen

t cc 8

---Page Break---

-8-

is varied. The variation is over a spectrum region containing

the K-absorption edge of the target atom: bromine in the chromo-

somes, This variation, first of all, would change the spatial

distribution of the energy absorbed by the chromosomes, when
the impinging photon energy is lower than that of the K-absorp-
tion edge of the target atom, the atom is relatively 'trans-
parent', and the energy is fairly well distributed over the
chromosome. When the impinging photon energy is at or above
that of the target atom, its absorption coefficient increases
by a factor of about 7.5. However, the coefficients for the
other atoms are essentially unchanged. Thus, there is a
significant change in the spatial energy absorption pattern
over chromosomes. When the target atom is present at low
concentration, its change in absorption coefficient will have
no significant change in the very large fraction of the

energy absorbed by the rest of the chromosome, The effecte
due to energy absorbed by the non-target atoms remain

essentially unchanged. However

, the large relative increase

in the energy absorbed in the target atom will show whether

the energy absorbed on that site is of unusual sign!

or efficiency.

to determine if there is a discrete photon energy of X-rays that is capable of producing genetic damage in excess

of that produced by photons with energies slightly higher

---Page Break---

-3-

and slightly lower, the mass absorption coefficient of the gross target material must be computed, For the calculation the chromosome as an entity was chosen instead of the whole cell because it was believed that chromosomes were the target of the direct action of the x-rays on one hand and the effects assayed were chromosomal on the other hand.

As a prerequisite, the knowledge of the organization of the chromosomes in general and their chemical composition in particular should be at hand. Unfortunately, information of this nature is far from complete and often uncertain.

So the calculation at best is only a rough approximation.

In the present study it was assumed that the *Allium cepa* chromosomes contain approximately 44% DNA, 46% histone, 8.5% residual protein, and 1.5% RNA. Other components such as Ca and Mg in an unknown trace quantity were not considered in the calculation. It was also assumed that the

chromosomes contain water in the same amount by weight

all the macromolecules combined. The AT content in the DNA of *Allium cepa* is 64%. The replacement of thymine by bromouracil during one replication of DNA in the BUdR-treated chromosomes was assumed to be about 20%. Based on the above-mentioned information, the chromosomes were estimated to contain approximately 0.18% of bromine.

The ma:

absorption coefficient was calculated in terms of

---Page Break---

= 10 -

on@/gram which did not represent the actual situation of the exposure area and the bulk mass of the root tips exposed.

Nevertheless, these values calculated for the different energy levels are relative and therefore they are valid for reference.

the mass absorption coefficients for bromine, and for the control chromosomes and those treated with BUDR are plotted as a function of photon energy in Figure 1. For bromine the coefficient curve showed a sharp discontinuity at 13.48 Kev corresponding to the bromine K-absorption edge (see A). This fluctuation represents an approximate 7.5-fold increase in photon absorption at its K-absorption edge. However, the coefficient curve for the chromosomes containing approximately 9.18% bromine exhibited only a small fluctuation at the bromine K-absorption edge (see c). Here less

than 10% increase in photon absorption is noticed. As expected the curve for the control chromosomes showed no fluctuation (see 8). From these curves it is noted that the absorption coefficients at energy levels other than the K-absorption edge also differ considerably. Therefore, in comparing the efficiencies of different photon energies it is necessary to correct the differences in the amount of

vels .

photons absorbed by the chromosomes at all energy

in Table 1 columns 6 and 10 are listed for the BUdR-treated

---Page Break---

= I_{0a} -

Y_0

a

MP en] goo

?3

2 8

ii

+

o

a

a

Photon anergy {Kev}

Figure 1. Mass absorption coe ata for the element Pr

chronosones (2) x the chronosones not

with BUDR ?2), ane taose treatel «ith

---Page Break---

a

and control roots, respectively; the adjusted number of break
ages per 100 cells assuming that 211 the chromosomes at all
energy levels absorbed the same amount of X-radiation.

These adjusted numbers were plotted as a function of photon
energy in Figure 2, Evidently, the enhancement in radiation
efficiency was present at the photon energies equal to or
slightly greater than the K-absorption edge of bromine in the
pupR-treated material while there was no such difference at
any of the photon energies in the control material. The
utmost increase in efficiency at the K-absorption edge of
bromine over that observed below the K-edge energies was
about 2.5 to 3-fold and over that in the control series was
about 2.5-fold, The unexpected increase in aberration yield

at 15.5 Kev in the BUDR-treated series might have resulted from an inadequate sampling. More information will be accumulated to clarify this point.

in the physical measurement *% there were no intensity

peaks or sharp fluctuation in the intensity within the wav

Lengths employed. The maximum intensity differences between the two extreme wavelengths under observation is estimated to be about 3°. Also there is little change of the reflectivity power of the LiF crystal in this range. Thus no farther

adjustment was made.

---Page Break---

7499 001, seboxoese ?on,

---Page Break---

- ie

BUDR is known to be a mutagen,²⁷ chromosome breaker,²⁸

and radiosensitizer.²⁹ When BUDR was used alone in this

experiment it was found to have some effect on inducing the

chromosome aberrations. In a total of 216 cells examined 5
breakages were observed which approximated 2.3 breakages per
100 cells. However, the combined effect of BUDR with radiation
was enhanced when the BUDR-treated material was irradiated at
or above the F-absorption edge energies of bromine and at

13.48 Key it was found about twice as much as the sum of the separate effects of these two agents. although this result appears to be consistent with the findings by Koo*[®] on chromosome aberrations induced by SUDR and gamma rays in Zebrina endula, most likely the enhanced effect is attributable to higher efficiency of photon energies at and above the K-absorption edge rather than to radiosensitizing effect of

WUDR. In view of these facts, it becomes difficult to interpret other results obtained with radiations of much

higher energies as to the mechanisms involved. szybaleki[®]

postulated that the incorporation of the halogen atoms into DNA presumably creates a strong electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged Halogen atom and the proximate phosphate group. As a consequence, the phosphate-ester bond becomes strained and more vulnerable to radiation. In his postulate

the radiosensitizing effect of bromine is clearly implied.

---Page Break---

-33-

However, the information available from this investigation

suggests that part of the enhanced combined effect observed

in BUDR-treated material irradiated with x-rays or gamma

rays of higher energies may have resulted from the interac-

tion between bromine and photons with energies at or slightly

above the K-absorption edge of bromine. In other words, some

effect enhancement may have resulted from higher »:

efficiency

Of photon at certain energy levels. ?The photons of these

energy levels in hard X-rays and gamma rays are presumed:
derived from degradation through Compton effect.

It is of special interest to know how the monoenergetic
X-ray photons act initially on the target atom. ?The mode
of energy absorption for a given atom changes as the wave-

length change:

For the longer wavelength, absorption is
in the L and higher electron shells with ejection of one
fast electron. At the shorter wavelength, absorption is in
the K shell. It has been shown that this can result in very

high ionization involving removal of over half a dozen electrons

Presumably the initial event was the ejection of the K electron

of the bromine atoms. This was accompanied by removal of many electrons from the other shells of the atoms. As a result a high local density of bombarding particles and un-neutralized electric charge on the stripped atoms were produced. This high concentration of energy and force would cause local disruption

---Page Break---

- ub

of chemical bonds which in turn could be manifested into chromosome breakages. Therefore it is believed the chromosome aberrations might have resulted prominently from the action of photons on bromine atoms at K-absorption edge energy.

According to Lea[®] chromosome aberrations produced by X-rays are through the actions of the tail portion of the electron path. Therefore in addition to the mode of action just mentioned above, the densely-ionizing tails of electrons ejected from the bromine atoms might have also engaged in the production of chromosome aberrations

Since the roots were treated with BUDR for 15 hours it is believed the chromosomes were labeled with bromine uniformly only. Also the chromosomes studied at metaphase were those which at the time of irradiation had gone through

one division following incorporation of BUDR. Based on the semi-conservative mode) of the DNA replication and chromosome duplication these chromosomes arrived at the metaphase for ?scoring should have only one chromatid labeled in each chromosome. Therefore a certain number of chromatid aberrations were expected if the action of photons was mainly confined to the immediate vicinity of the target atoms. The whole chromosome aberrations then may be considered as resulting from either the manifestation of the initial actions of x-rays or the actions of the densely-tonizing electron

---Page Break---

-15-

tails. However, this interpretation can be applied very well only to the BUDR-treated material. Further investigations are needed to clarify this point,

Summary - Experiment with nL1ium sepa chronosomes in roots treated with 5-bronodeoxyuridine have shown an increase in breakages by monochromatic X-rays at the energy levels equal to or slightly oreater than the x-absorption edse of bromine (13.49 Kev). ?This is attributed to the increase of efficiency in photons at these particular energy levels.

3m contrast, there has been no evidence of such resonance

radiation effect in the control irradiated with the same series of photon energies as the BUDR-treated material.

The implication of radiosensitizing effect of BUDR and Probable modes of action of low energy X-rays were discussed briefly in the light of the present findings.

---Page Break---

- 16 -

The authors are grateful to Dr. F. Vazquez Martinier for setting up the irradiation system and determining the dosage and other physical measurements, and to Mrs. Baith of

R. de Irizarry for technical assistance on some phases of the investigation.

---Page Break---

<a.

Wollaender, A. and C. W. Enmons, Cold spring Harbor symp.
on quant. biol., 9, 179 (1941); Stadler, L. J. and P.M.
Uber, Genetics, 27, 8% (1942); Karby-smith, g. \$. and
D. L. Craig, Genetics, 42, 176 (1957).

See reviews in D. B. Lea's Actions of Radiation on Living
and ed. (1962), the university Press, canbridge,

conn

England, and R. E. Zirkle's Radiation Biology 1, 315

(1954), ed. A. Hollaender, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., N.Y.

Catcheside, D. G. and D. E. Lea, J. Genetics, 45, 186 (1943).

Gonberg, H. J., in Resonance in Radiation Effects, Techn.

Report Wo. 1, Puerto Rico Nuclear Center Publ. 12 (1963),

and earlier reports.

Emmons, A. H., Doctoral Thesis Resonance Radiation Effects

Qf Low Energy Monochromatic X-rays on Catalase, Univ. of

Michigan (1960) .

Paraskevoudakis, P., in Resonance in Radiation Effects,
Tech. Report No. 5, Contract No. AT (11-1)-684, univ.

of Michigan, (1960) .

Suse, R. A., in Resonance in Radiation Effects, Techn.
Report No. 1, Puerto Rico Nuclear Center Publ. 12,

(1963) «

---Page Break---

10

ul

ae

~1e -

sauerbier, W., Virology, 15, 65 (1962); Kaplan, H. S.,
K. C. Smith, and P. Tomlin, Radiation Res., 16, 98 (1962);
Djordjevic, B. and W. Saybaloki, J. Exptl. Med., 112, 509
(1960); Smith, H. H., B. H. Kugelman, S. L. Comerford,
and W. Szybalski, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 49, 451 (1963).

rods, v. and g. Kéra, Biologia Plantarum, 6 (3), 232
(1964).

A preliminary report by F. K. S. Koo was published in
Resonance in Radiation Effects, Technical Report No. 2,
Puerto Rico Nuclear Center Publ. 49 (1964).

Vazquez Martinez, P., in Resonance in Radiation Effects,
Techn. Report No. 1, Puerto Rico Nuclear Center Publ.

12 (1963) «

Since the information on chemical composition of plant
chromosomes is not available, we have used the results
on calf chromosomes reported by several investigators
(cf. Swanson's Cytology and cytogenetics) as reference
for our calculation. The percentages for the constituent
macromolecules in the onion chromosomes have been arbi-

trarily set by considering these findings.

---Page Break---

B

ay

35,

16

Ww

18

19

20

ar

- 19 -

No information is available on the water content of

the chromosomes. Here we have considered water as the

integrated part of the chromoro:

S. The estimate that

in a chronosone water weighs the sane as al) maero-

molectles conb:

wed may be concerv:

N. Sueoka reaorted sc

content in the DNA of *Allium cepa*,

is 36% (J. Mol. Biol., 2, 315-1562).

Vazquez Martinez,

Personal communication, (1968)

Freese, E. in Molecular Genetics Part 1, ed. J. He

Taylor, Academic Press, N. Y. (1953).

Hou, T. C, and C. E. Somers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. Y

396 (1961).

Koo, F. K. S., Science 181, 261 (1953).

See first three papers quoted in reference &.

Seybaiski, W., in The Molecular Basis of Neoplasia,

U. of Texas Press, Austin, (1962).

Snell, A. H. and F. Pleasonton, Physical Rev. 100, 1306

(2955), Physical Rev. 102, 1419 (1956), and Physical
Rev, 107, 740 (1957).

See D. E. Lea's book quoted in ri

rence 2,

---Page Break---

---Page Break---